Level: EMH

District: COLORADO SPRINGS 11 - 1010 (All - 1 Year')

Accredited with Improvement Plan

This is the accreditation category for the district. Districts are designated an accreditation category based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the accreditation category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Accred. w/Distinction	at or above 80%
Accredited	at or above 64% - below 80%
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	at or above 52% - below 64%
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	at or above 42% - below 52%
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	below 42%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For districts with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Approaching	56.3%	(8.4 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	65.5%	(22.9 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	54.4%	(8.2 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Approaching	53.1%	(18.6 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			
TOTAL		58.1%	(58.1 out of 100 points)	

²Districts may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Districts do not receive points for test participation. However, districts are assigned one accreditation category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for districts serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Finance ⁴ Meets Requirements

Safety⁴ Meets Requirements

⁴Districts do not receive points for finance and safety assurances. However, districts that do not meet requirements in at least one area default to Accredited with Priority Improvement (or remain Accredited with Turnaround Plan) until they meet requirements.

Test Participation Rates																
		% of Stud	ents Tested			Participat	tion Rating			Studen	ts Tested			Total S	Students	
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.7%	99.5%	98.0%	99.2%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	6703	5976	3940	16619	6720	6009	4021	16750
Mathematics	99.8%	99.5%	98.7%	99.4%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	6699	5981	3966	16646	6714	6010	4018	16742
Writing	99.8%	99.5%	98.2%	99.3%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	6704	5977	3948	16629	6716	6010	4020	16746
Science	99.9%	99.2%	97.6%	98.9%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	2178	2018	1942	6138	2180	2034	1990	6204
Colorado ACT	-	-	95.2%	-	-	-	Meets	-	-	-	1780	-	-	-	1869	-





Daufaussanas Indiantaus							Lavel, F	lawaantawa Cabaal
Performance Indicators							Level: E	lementary School
District: COLORADO SPRINGS 11 -								(1 Year)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	6310	70.38	46	- U
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	6295	72.04	53	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	6298	53.59	47	
Science	2	4		Approaching	2062	44.33	39	
Total	9	16	56.3%	Approaching				,
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	3967	49	30	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	3984	52	49	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	3977	46	44	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	1.5	2		Meets	1173	56	43	Yes
Total	10.5	14	75%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	12	20	60%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	2233	47	38	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	1913	47	36	Yes
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	251	38	79	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	591	52	45	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	1164	52	62	No
Mathematics	11	20	55%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	2247	51	57	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	1927	51	56	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	255	43	87	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	593	50	58	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	1129	55	79	No
Writing	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	2240	42	50	No
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students	2	4		Approaching Approaching	2240 1919	42 44	50 49	No No

Approaching

Approaching

Approaching

593

1812

2

English Learners

Total

Students needing to catch up

2

2

32

4

4

60

53.3%

48

47

55

64

No

No

Performance Indicators							Leve	el: Middle School
District: COLORADO SPRINGS 11 -	1010							(1 Year)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	5599	68.99	44	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	5601	51.65	52	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	5599	54.69	44	
Science	2	4		Approaching	1884	44.9	46	
Total	9	16	56.3%	Approaching				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	5297	48	29	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	5306	45	67	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	5299	43	48	No
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	1.5	2		Meets	360	53	51	Yes
Total	8.5	14	60.7%	Approaching				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	13	20	65%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	2773	46	39	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	2411	46	36	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	360	44	83	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	638	48	48	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	1648	48	65	No
Mathematics	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	2788	43	76	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	2420	44	75	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	366	44	99	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	645	46	78	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	2204	48	92	No
Writing	9	20	45%	Approaching				

2778

2417

362

642

2165

Approaching

Does Not Meet

Approaching

Approaching

Approaching

40

41

38

43

43

Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible

Students needing to catch up

Students with Disabilities

Minority Students

English Learners

Total

2

2

1

2

2

32

4

4

4

4

60

53.3%

60

57

92

65

79

No

No

No

No

No

Performance Indicators							Le	vel: High Scho
District: COLORADO SPRINGS 11 - 101	0							(1 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	3688	67.65	40	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	3712	32.92	53	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	3692	47.43	46	
Science	2	4		Approaching	1814	47.96	47	
Total	9	16	56.3%	Approaching				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4	701 011165	Meets	3459	50	18	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	3484	51	90	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	3460	48	54	No
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	1.5	2		Meets	350	59	70	No
Total	8.5	14	60.7%	Approaching	330		70	110
iotai	6.5	14	00.778	Approaching				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequat
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	13	20	65%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	1552	49	37	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	1515	49	30	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	209	46	97	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	386	55	57	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	1133	51	77	No
Mathematics	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	1565	49	98	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	1523	51	97	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	211	54	99	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	390	51	99	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	1960	54	99	No
Writing	11	20	55%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	1554	48	79	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	1514	48	73	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	202	50	99	No
English Learners	3	4	1	Meets	386	58	89	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4	1	Approaching	1613	50	92	No
Total	34	60	56.7%	Approaching				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	2	4	70 F UII163	Approaching	227	73/2381/ 2594 /2810	64.8/71.6/ 73.1 /70.3%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	1.5	4	37.5%	Approaching	237	- 3/ 2301/ 2334 / 2010	04.0//1.0/ /3.1 //0.3%	0070
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.25	1	37.370	Does Not Meet	1	103/999/ 864 /865	50.6/62/ 64.7 /63.4%	80%
Minority Students	0.25	1	1	Does Not Meet		004/852/ 929 /947	57.1/64.2/ 64.3 /60.9%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0.23	1				217/202/244/ 206	35/48/57/ 67.5 %	80%
English Learners	0.5	<u>1</u> 1		Approaching Approaching		109/88/88/ 85	46.8/65.9/64.8/ 67.1 %	80%
Dropout Rate	3	<u>1</u>		Meets		15799	46.8/65.9/64.8/ 67.1 %	3.6%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	2	4	1	Approaching		1780	18.9	20.0
Colorado ACT Composite Score	8.5	16	53.1%	Approaching		1700	10.3	20.0

4

Scoring Guide Level: EMH

formance Indicator	Scorina Guide			Rating	Point	Value	Total Possible per EMH Level	Framework Po
	The district's percentage of students scoring proficient or advance	ed was:					P = ==================================	
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 b			Exceeds	l	4	16	
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile			Meets		3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile	, , ,		Approaching		2	content area)	
Acmevement	below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseling)			Does Not Meet		1	- content area,	
	If the district meets the median adequate student growth percent.	•	e mus.	DOCS NOT WICCE	TCAP	CELA		
	• at or above 60.	ne and its median student growth percentil	c was.	Exceeds	4	2	14	
	below 60 but at or above 45.			Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each	
	below 45 but at or above 30.			Approaching	2	1	content area	
Academic	• below 30.			Does Not Meet	1	0.5	and 2 for	35
Growth	If the district does not meet the median adequate student growth	nercentile and its median student arouth r	parcantila was:	DOCS NOT WICE	TCAP	CELA	English	
Glowth	• at or above 70.	percentile and its median student growth p	Dercentile was.	Exceeds	4	2	language	
	below 70 but at or above 55.			Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)	i
	below 70 but at or above 35. below 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching	2	1.5	proficiency)	
	• below 40.			Does Not Meet	1	0.5	1	
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student grow	th percentile and its median student arout	h narcantila was:	Does Not Weet		0.5		
	• at or above 60.	nti percentile una its median student growt	n percentile was.	Exceeds	Г	4	1	
	below 60 but at or above 45.			Meets		3	†	
	below 45 but at or above 45. below 45 but at or above 30.			Approaching		2	60	
Academic	• below 30.			Does Not Meet		1	(4 for each of 5	i
		ant arouth narcontile and its madian stude	nt arouth percentile was	Does Not Weet		1	- i	15
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate stude • at or above 70.	ent growth percentile and its median studer	nt growth percentile was:	I consider	Ĭ	4	subgroups in 3	15
				Exceeds		3	subject areas)	
	below 70 but at or above 55. below 55 but at or above 40.			Meets		2	+	
				Approaching		1	-	
	• below 40.	. 4. 4. 4. 4		Does Not Meet				
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate: The	e aistrict's graduation rate/aisaggrego	atea graduation rate was:		Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.			Exceeds	4	1	4	
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.			Meets	3	0.75	4	
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.			Approaching	2	0.5	_	
	• below 65%.			Does Not Meet	1	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The district's dropout rate was:				,		16	
stsecondary and	• at or below 1%.			Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35
rkforce Readiness	 at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-10 bath) 	aseline).		Meets		3	indicator)	
	 at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-10 b 	baseline).		Approaching		2]	
	• above 10%.			Does Not Meet		1	1	
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The district's average Colo	orado ACT composite score was:						
	at or above 22.			Exceeds		4	1	
	• at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10 ba	seline).		Meets		3	1	
	• at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10 ba	seline).		Approaching		2	1	
	• below 17.			Does Not Meet		1	1	
oints for each perf	ormance indicator		Cut-Points for accreditation ca	tegory assignm	ent			
						of the t	stal Francouscule mainta elicible	
	Point: The district earned of the points eligible on this				earned	or the to	otal Framework points eligible.	
	t or above 87.5%	Exceeds	● at c	or above 80%				Distinction

Cut-Points for each performance indicator Cut Point: The district earned ... of the points eligible on this Indicator. Achievement; Growth; Gaps 4 or above 87.5% • at or above 87.5% - below 87.5% • at or above 80.5% - below 80.5% • at or above 62.5% - below 87.5% • at or above 62.5% - below 87.5% • below 37.5% • below 37.5% • below 37.5% • below 42% - below 52% • at or above 52% - below 64% • at or above 42% - below 52% • at or above 52% - below 52% • at or above 52% - below 64% • at or above 52% - below 52% • at or above 42% - below 52%

Districts on Turnaround or Priority Improvement

A district may not be accredited with a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education is required to restructure or close the district. The five consecutive years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the district is notified that it is Accredited with a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

5

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Districts receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated District Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more districts to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small districts may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official accreditation category for the district: the one under which the district has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and accreditation rating. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a district's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading				Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
N of Schools	175	165	167	176	165	167	175	165	167	133	135	138	
15th percentile	59.26	58.87	57.14	57.99	34.46	18.30	38.48	42.37	32.85	29.46	28.57	30.27	
50th percentile	71.51	70.50	71.53	70.51	50.00	32.16	54.72	56.36	48.61	48.00	45.60	48.93	
90th percentile	84.37	83.57	84.78	84.60	68.84	52.06	69.66	72.27	67.56	69.72	69.09	70.39	


Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	181	182	183	181	182	182	181	182	183	172	175	179
15th percentile	60.45	56.61	57.63	56.84	36.37	17.78	41.44	41.85	33.82	32.93	30.02	31.43
50th percentile	72.19	69.22	71.31	70.37	49.11	30.51	55.78	56.79	49.70	47.50	46.81	49.18
90th percentile	85.16	81.53	83.80	83.42	65.33	48.01	71.02	70.87	67.71	66.52	65.86	67.31

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this district compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the district to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

6



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the district met adequate growth. Districts that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; districts that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

DPF 2012 - 1010

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

<u>This District's</u> Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	63.8	67.5	69.2	70.3
Anticipated Year	2009	65.5	70.3	73.1	
of Graduation	2010	65	71.6		
	2011	64.8			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	53.2	59.3	62.4	63.4
Anticipated Year	2009	53.1	62.3	64.7	
of Graduation	2010	56	62		
	2011	50.6			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	53.5	57.1	59.4	60.9
Anticipated Year	2009	56	61.2	64.3	
of Graduation	2010	56.5	64.2		
	2011	57.1			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	38.7	48.1	58.1	67.5
Anticipated Year	2009	30.6	43.4	57	
of Graduation	2010	35.5	48		
	2011	35			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	53.1	60.2	65.5	67.1
Anticipated Year	2009	54.1	60.4	64.8	
of Graduation	2010	52.7	65.9		
	2011	46.8			

State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

<u>This District's</u> Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	63.8	67.5	69.2	70.3
Anticipated Year	2009	65.5	70.3	73.1	
of Graduation	2010	65	71.6		
	2011	64.8			
	Aggregated	64.7	69.7	71.1	70.3

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	53.2	59.3	62.4	63.4
Anticipated Year	2009	53.1	62.3	64.7	
of Graduation	2010	56	62		
	2011	50.6			
	Aggregated	53.1	61.2	63.6	63.4

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	53.5	57.1	59.4	60.9
Anticipated Year	2009	56	61.2	64.3	
of Graduation	2010	56.5	64.2		
	2011	57.1			
	Aggregated	55.8	60.7	61.8	60.9

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	38.7	48.1	58.1	67.5
Anticipated Year	2009	30.6	43.4	57	
of Graduation	2010	35.5	48		
	2011	35			
	Aggregated	34.8	46.3	57.5	67.5

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

7

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	53.1	60.2	65.5	67.1
Anticipated Year	2009	54.1	60.4	64.8	
of Graduation	2010	52.7	65.9		
	2011	46.8			
	Aggregated	51.4	62.2	65.1	67.1

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year DPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

DPF 2012 - 1010