Level: EMH

District: WIDEFIELD 3 - 0990 (All - 1 Year')

# **Accredited with Improvement Plan**

This is the accreditation category for the district. Districts are designated an accreditation category based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the accreditation category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Accred. w/Distinction	at or above 80%
Accredited	at or above 64% - below 80%
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	at or above 52% - below 64%
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	at or above 42% - below 52%
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	below 42%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For districts with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible <sup>2</sup>	
Academic Achievement	Approaching	52.1%	( 7.8 out of 15 points )	
Academic Growth	Meets	63.7%	( 22.3 out of 35 points )	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	50.6%	( 7.6 out of 15 points )	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Meets	68.3%	( 23.9 out of 35 points )	
Test Participation <sup>3</sup>	Meets 95% Participation Rate			

TOTAL 61.6% ( 61.6 out of 100 points )

<sup>2</sup>Districts may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points

earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

Districts do not receive points for test participation. However, districts are assigned one accreditation category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for districts serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels

Finance <sup>4</sup> Meets Requirements

(elementary, middle and high school grades).

Safety<sup>4</sup> Meets Requirements

<sup>4</sup>Districts do not receive points for finance and safety assurances. However, districts that do not meet requirements in at least one area default to Accredited with Priority Improvement (or remain Accredited with Turnaround Plan) until they meet requirements.

<b>Test Participation Rates</b>																
		% of Stude	nts Tested			Participa	tion Rating			Studen	ts Tested			Total S	Students	
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	100.0%	99.7%	99.6%	99.8%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	2093	1929	1316	5338	2094	1934	1321	5349
Mathematics	100.0%	99.7%	99.5%	99.8%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	2095	1929	1315	5339	2096	1934	1321	5351
Writing	99.9%	99.8%	99.5%	99.8%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	2094	1930	1315	5339	2096	1934	1321	5351
Science	99.9%	99.8%	99.7%	99.8%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	701	649	657	2007	702	650	659	2011
Colorado ACT	-	-	97.7%	-	-	-	Meets	-	-	-	586	-	-	-	600	-





Performance Indicators							Level: E	lementary School
District: WIDEFIELD 3 - 0990								(1 Year)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	1937	68.15	40	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	1932	65.42	32	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	1931	50.54	39	
Science	2	4		Approaching	652	39.42	29	
Total	8	16	50%	Approaching				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	1193	46	34	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	1199	42	53	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	1197	47	48	No
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	1.5	2		Meets	95	53	51	Yes
Total	8.5	14	60.7%	Approaching				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	12	20	60%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	592	45	37	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	599	45	36	Yes
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	163	34	72	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	50	55	46	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	412	51	62	No
Mathematics	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	598	44	58	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	604	42	57	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4	-	Approaching	164	40	83	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	51	54	62	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	380	45	80	No
Writing	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	596	46	52	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	602	45	49	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	162	37	79	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	51	51	55	No

626

Approaching

Approaching

Students needing to catch up

Total

2

31

4

60

51.7%

45

66

No

Performance Indicators							Leve	l: Middle School
District: WIDEFIELD 3 - 0990								(1 Year)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	1784	65.36	33	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	1784	60.37	78	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	1785	48.18	26	
Science	2	4		Approaching	594	44.28	44	
Total	9	16	56.3%	Approaching				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	1650	45	32	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	1653	62	69	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	1654	40	55	No
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	2	2		Exceeds	25	72	46	Yes
Total	10	14	71.4%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
					- J.	Cargicap incaran crosses	cangicap incaran incquate	
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
•	Points Earned 12	Points Eligible	% Points		N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading				Approaching				
Reading Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	12	20			749 820	Percentile           46           46	38 36	Yes
Reading	<b>12</b>	<b>20</b>		Approaching  Meets	749	46	38	
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students	<b>12</b> 3 3	20 4 4		Approaching  Meets  Meets	749 820	46 46	38 36	Yes Yes
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities	3 3 1	20 4 4 4		Approaching Meets Meets Does Not Meet	749 820 178	46 46 38	38 36 79	Yes Yes No
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners	3 3 1 3	20 4 4 4 4		Approaching  Meets  Meets  Does Not Meet  Meets	749 820 178 73	46 46 38 48	38 36 79 45	Yes Yes No Yes
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up	3 3 1 3 2	20 4 4 4 4 4	60%	Approaching Meets Meets Does Not Meet Meets Approaching	749 820 178 73	46 46 38 48	38 36 79 45	Yes Yes No Yes
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Mathematics	12 3 3 1 3 2 14	20 4 4 4 4 4 4 20	60%	Approaching  Meets  Meets  Does Not Meet  Meets  Approaching  Meets	749 820 178 73 552	46 46 38 48 44	38 36 79 45 62	Yes Yes No Yes
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Mathematics Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	12 3 3 1 3 2 14 3	20 4 4 4 4 4 4 20 4	60%	Approaching  Meets  Meets  Does Not Meet  Meets  Approaching  Meets  Meets	749 820 178 73 552	46 46 38 48 44	38 36 79 45 62	Yes Yes No Yes No
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Mathematics Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students	12 3 3 1 3 2 14 3 3	20 4 4 4 4 4 20 4	60%	Approaching  Meets  Meets  Does Not Meet  Meets  Approaching  Meets  Meets  Meets  Meets	749 820 178 73 552 749	46 46 38 48 44 61 64	38 36 79 45 62 73	Yes Yes No Yes No No
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Mathematics Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities	12 3 3 1 3 2 14 3 3 2	20 4 4 4 4 4 20 4 4	60%	Approaching  Meets  Meets  Does Not Meet  Meets  Approaching  Meets  Meets  Meets  Approaching	749 820 178 73 552 749 820 178	46 46 38 48 44 61 64 44	38 36 79 45 62 73 73 97	Yes Yes No Yes No No No No
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Mathematics Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners	12 3 3 1 3 2 14 3 3 2 3 3	20 4 4 4 4 4 20 4 4 4	60%	Approaching  Meets  Meets  Does Not Meet  Meets  Approaching  Meets  Meets  Meets  Approaching  Meets  Meets  Meets  Meets  Approaching  Meets	749 820 178 73 552 749 820 178	46 46 38 48 44 61 64 44 69	38 36 79 45 62 73 73 97	Yes Yes No Yes No No No No No
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Mathematics Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up	12 3 3 1 3 2 14 3 3 2 2 3 3 3	20 4 4 4 4 4 20 4 4 4 4	70%	Approaching  Meets  Meets  Does Not Meet  Meets  Approaching  Meets  Meets  Approaching  Meets  Meets  Meets  Meets  Approaching  Meets  Meets  Approaching  Meets	749 820 178 73 552 749 820 178	46 46 38 48 44 61 64 44 69	38 36 79 45 62 73 73 97	Yes Yes No Yes No No No No No
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Mathematics Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Writing	12 3 3 1 3 2 14 3 3 2 3 3 6	20 4 4 4 4 20 4 4 4 4 4 20	70%	Approaching Meets Meets Does Not Meet Meets Approaching Meets Meets Meets Meets Meets Meets Meets Approaching Meets Does Not Meet	749 820 178 73 552 749 820 178 73 657	46 46 38 48 44 61 64 44 69 60	38 36 79 45 62 73 73 97 79 93	Yes Yes No Yes No No No No No No No No
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Mathematics Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Writing Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	12 3 3 1 3 2 14 3 3 2 3 3 6 1	20 4 4 4 4 4 20 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	70%	Approaching Meets Meets Does Not Meet Meets Approaching Meets Meets Meets Meets Meets Meets Meets Approaching Meets Does Not Meet Does Not Meet	749 820 178 73 552 749 820 178 73 657	46 46 38 48 44 61 64 44 69 60	38 36 79 45 62 73 73 97 79 93	Yes Yes No Yes No No No No No No No No No

Does Not Meet

Approaching

3

758

Students needing to catch up

Total

1

32

4

60

53.3%

39

78

No

Performance Indicators							Lev	el: High Scho
District: WIDEFIELD 3 - 0990								(1 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	1224	63.81	27	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	1223	28.29	36	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	1223	40.96	30	
Science	2	4		Approaching	611	41.73	32	
Total	8	16	50%	Approaching				
A and and a Consult	Delate Formed	Detects Eliethie	0/ 0-1-4-	Dut'u u		Adadison Consulto Danas atila	Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequat
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	1137	45	24	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	1135	41	89	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	1136	40	59	No
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	0	0		-	N<20	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	-
Total Total	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequa
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N .	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	13	20	65%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	450	45	33	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	502	45	28	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	100	43	92	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	31	55	51	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	413	44	70	No
Mathematics	7	20	35%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	448	39	96	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	500	42	93	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	100	31	99	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	31	52	96	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	620	38	99	No
Writing	8	20	40%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	450	37	76	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	500	38	67	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	99	41	99	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	31	47	80	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	567	42	92	No
otal	28	60	46.7%	Approaching				
and the other parties are			0/ Dainta			A/	Data IS and	F t t'
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness		Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	3 25	4	750/	Meets		552/625/626/ <b>598</b>	82.5/84.8/83.2/ <b>85.3</b> %	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	2.25	3	75%	Meets		202/445/122/17	76 7/ <b>93 F</b> /93/F9 99/	000/
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.75	1		Meets		202/ <b>115</b> /133/17	76.7/ <b>83.5</b> /82/58.8%	80%
Minority Students	0.75	1		Meets		84/ <b>273</b> /231/227	82.4/ <b>85</b> /84.8/82.8%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0.75	1		Meets		69/62/66/ <b>57</b>	66.7/67.7/72.7/ <b>80.7</b> %	80%
English Learners	0	0		-	N<1	6/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
Dropout Rate	3	4		Meets		4628	1.9%	3.6%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	2	4		Approaching		586	18.6	20.0
Total	10.25	15	68.3%	Meets				

4

Scoring Guide Level: EMH

formance Indicator	Scorina Guide			Rating	Point	Value	Total Possible per EMH Level	Framework Po
	The district's percentage of students scoring proficient or advance	ed was:					<b>P</b> = ==================================	
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 b			Exceeds	l	4	16	
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile			Meets		3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile	, , ,		Approaching		2	content area)	
Acmevement	below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseling)			Does Not Meet		1	- content area,	
	If the district meets the median adequate student growth percent.	•	e mus.	DOCS NOT WICCE	TCAP	CELA		
	• at or above 60.	ne and its median student growth percentil	c was.	Exceeds	4	2	14	
	below 60 but at or above 45.			Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each	
	below 45 but at or above 30.			Approaching	2	1	content area	
Academic	• below 30.			Does Not Meet	1	0.5	and 2 for	35
Growth	If the district does not meet the median adequate student growth	nercentile and its median student arouth r	parcantila was:	DOCS NOT WICE	TCAP	CELA	English	
Glowth	• at or above 70.	percentile and its median student growth p	Dercentile was.	Exceeds	4	2	language	
	below 70 but at or above 55.			Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)	i
	below 70 but at or above 35.      below 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching	2	1.5	proficiency)	
	• below 40.			Does Not Meet	1	0.5	1	
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student grow	th percentile and its median student arout	h narcantila was:	Does Not Weet		0.5		
	• at or above 60.	nti percentile una its median student growt	n percentile was.	Exceeds	Г	4	1	
	below 60 but at or above 45.			Meets		3	†	
	below 45 but at or above 45.      below 45 but at or above 30.			Approaching		2	60	
Academic	• below 30.			Does Not Meet		1	(4 for each of 5	i
		ant arouth narcontile and its madian stude	nt arouth percentile was	Does Not Weet		1	<b>-</b> i	15
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate stude  • at or above 70.	ent growth percentile and its median studer	nt growth percentile was:	I consider	Ĭ	4	subgroups in 3	15
				Exceeds		3	subject areas)	
	below 70 but at or above 55.      below 55 but at or above 40.			Meets		2	+	
				Approaching		1	-	
	• below 40.	. 4. 4. 4. 4		Does Not Meet				
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate: The	e aistrict's graduation rate/aisaggrego	atea graduation rate was:		Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.			Exceeds	4	1	4	
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.			Meets	3	0.75	4	
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.			Approaching	2	0.5	_	
	• below 65%.			Does Not Meet	1	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The district's dropout rate was:				,		16	
stsecondary and	• at or below 1%.			Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35
rkforce Readiness	<ul> <li>at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-10 bath)</li> </ul>	aseline).		Meets		3	indicator)	
	<ul> <li>at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-10 b</li> </ul>	baseline).		Approaching		2	]	
	• above 10%.			Does Not Meet		1	1	
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The district's average Colo	orado ACT composite score was:						
	at or above 22.			Exceeds		4	1	
	• at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10 ba	seline).		Meets		3	1	
	• at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10 ba	seline).		Approaching		2	1	
	• below 17.			Does Not Meet		1	1	
oints for each perf	ormance indicator		Cut-Points for accreditation ca	tegory assignm	ent			
						of the t	stal Francouscule mainta elicible	
	Point: The district earned of the points eligible on this				earned	or the to	otal Framework points eligible.	
	t or above 87.5%	Exceeds	• at c	or above 80%				Distinction

# Cut-Points for each performance indeator Cut Point: The district earned ... of the points eligible on this Indicator. Achievement; Growth; Gaps 4 or above 87.5% - at or above 87.5% - below 87.5% - at or above 87.5% - below 87.5% - below 62.5% - below 87.5% - below 62.5% - below 64% - at or above 52% - below 64% - at or above 52% - below 64% - at or above 52% - below 64% - below 52% - below 64% - below 52% - below 52

# Districts on Turnaround or Priority Improvement

A district may not be accredited with a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education is required to restructure or close the district. The five consecutive years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the district is notified that it is Accredited with a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

5

## 1-year vs. 3-year Report

Districts receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated District Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more districts to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small districts may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official accreditation category for the district: the one under which the district has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and accreditation rating. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

# Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

#### Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a district's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

# Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	175	165	167	176	165	167	175	165	167	133	135	138
15th percentile	59.26	58.87	57.14	57.99	34.46	18.30	38.48	42.37	32.85	29.46	28.57	30.27
50th percentile	71.51	70.50	71.53	70.51	50.00	32.16	54.72	56.36	48.61	48.00	45.60	48.93
90th percentile	84.37	83.57	84.78	84.60	68.84	52.06	69.66	72.27	67.56	69.72	69.09	70.39


## Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading Math				Writing		Science					
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	181	182	183	181	182	182	181	182	183	172	175	179
15th percentile	60.45	56.61	57.63	56.84	36.37	17.78	41.44	41.85	33.82	32.93	30.02	31.43
50th percentile	72.19	69.22	71.31	70.37	49.11	30.51	55.78	56.79	49.70	47.50	46.81	49.18
90th percentile	85.16	81.53	83.80	83.42	65.33	48.01	71.02	70.87	67.71	66.52	65.86	67.31

#### Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this district compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the district to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

6



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the district met adequate growth. Districts that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; districts that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

DPF 2012 - 0990

## **Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness**

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

#### State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

# <u>This District's</u> Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	76.3	82.4	84	85.3
Anticipated Year	2009	76.5	81.1	83.2	
of Graduation	2010	77.1	84.8		
	2011	82.5			

#### Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	58.8	58.8
Anticipated Year	2009	72.5	77.4	82	
of Graduation	2010	74.8	83.5		
	2011	76.7			

#### Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	73.9	79.4	80.8	82.8
Anticipated Year	2009	77	82.3	84.8	
of Graduation	2010	76.5	85		
	2011	82.4			

#### Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	51.7	63.2	73.7	80.7
Anticipated Year	2009	50.7	62.1	72.7	
of Graduation	2010	54.7	67.7		
	2011	66.7			

#### **English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)**

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

#### State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

# This District's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	76.3	82.4	84	85.3
Anticipated Year	2009	76.5	81.1	83.2	
of Graduation	2010	77.1	84.8		
	2011	82.5			
	Aggregated	78.2	82.8	83.6	85.3

#### Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	58.8	58.8
Anticipated Year	2009	72.5	77.4	82	
of Graduation	2010	74.8	83.5		
	2011	76.7			
	Aggregated	75.2	79.3	79.3	58.8

#### Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	73.9	79.4	80.8	82.8
Anticipated Year	2009	77	82.3	84.8	
of Graduation	2010	76.5	85		
	2011	82.4			
	Aggregated	77.7	82.4	82.8	82.8

#### Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	51.7	63.2	73.7	80.7
Anticipated Year	2009	50.7	62.1	72.7	
of Graduation	2010	54.7	67.7		
	2011	66.7			
	Aggregated	56.2	64.3	73.2	80.7

# English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

7

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	65.8	70.4	78.9	N<16

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year DPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

DPF 2012 - 0990