District: DENVER COUNTY 1 - 0880 (All - 1 Year')

Accredited w/Priority Improvement Plan

Will enter Year 3* of Priority Improvement or Turnaround

This is the accreditation category for the district. Districts are designated an accreditation category based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the accreditation category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Accred. w/Distinction	at or above 80%
Accredited	at or above 64% - below 80%
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	at or above 52% - below 64%
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	at or above 42% - below 52%
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	below 42%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For districts with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Rating/Plan	% OJ POIITG	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Approaching	41.7%	(6.3 out of 15 points)	
Meets	66.7%	(23.3 out of 35 points)	
Approaching	60.0%	(9.0 out of 15 points)	
Approaching	37.5%	(13.1 out of 35 points)	
Meets 95% Participation Rate			
	Meets Approaching Approaching	Meets 66.7% Approaching 60.0% Approaching 37.5%	Meets 66.7% (23.3 out of 35 points) Approaching 60.0% (9.0 out of 15 points) Approaching 37.5% (13.1 out of 35 points)

²Districts may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Districts do not receive points for test participation. However, districts are assigned one accreditation category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for districts serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

51.7%

(51.7 out of 100 points)

Finance ⁴ Meets Requirements

TOTAL

Safety⁴ Meets Requirements

⁴Districts do not receive points for finance and safety assurances. However, districts that do not meet requirements in at least one area default to Accredited with Priority Improvement (or remain Accredited with Turnaround Plan) until they meet requirements.

Test Participation Rates																
	% of Students Tested					Participation Rating				Student	s Tested			Total St	tudents	
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.4%	99.5%	97.5%	99.0%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	18828	16391	8867	44086	18949	16470	9090	44509
Mathematics	99.7%	99.4%	98.2%	99.3%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	18893	16374	8926	44193	18950	16469	9094	44513
Writing	99.5%	99.3%	97.7%	99.0%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	18862	16354	8881	44097	18961	16470	9090	44521
Science	99.8%	98.8%	98.0%	99.0%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	6025	5130	4236	15391	6038	5190	4322	15550
Colorado ACT	-	-	96.9%	-	-	-	Meets	-	-	-	3831	-	-	-	3952	-





^{*} on July 1, 2013

Company Comp	Dayfawa a la diastawa							land. F	lamantam Cabaal
Reading	Performance Indicators							Levei: E	
Reading									(1 Year)
Mathematics	Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points				District's Percentile	
Writing 2	Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	18279	53.45	6	
Science 2	Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet		53.67		
Name	Writing	2	4		Approaching	18277	41.44	18	
Reading 3	Science	2	4		Approaching	5846	29.85	15	
Academic Growth Points Earned Points Eligible % Points Rating N Median Growth Percentile Percentile Growth? Reading 3 4 Meets 10831 56 45 Yes Mathematics 2 4 Approaching 11403 54 63 No Writing 3 4 Meets 10829 56 57 No English Language Proficiency (CELApro) 1.5 2 Meets 12606 53 41 Yes Total 9.5 14 67.9% Meets 12606 53 41 Yes Academic Growth Gaps Points Eligible % Points Meets Subgroup Subgroup Median Growth Subgroup Median Adequate Made Adequate Made Adequate Made Adequate Made Adequate Made Adequate Meets Free/Readed Lunch Eligible 2 4 Approaching 7785 54 55 No Hunority Students 3 4 Meets 8663 <td>Total</td> <td>6</td> <td>16</td> <td>37.5%</td> <td>Approaching</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Total	6	16	37.5%	Approaching				
Reading 3	Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eliaible	% Points	Ratina	N	Median Growth Percentile	•	Made Adequate Growth?
Mathematics 2 4 Approaching 11403 54 63 No Writing 3 4 Meets 10829 56 57 No English Language Proficiency (CELApro) 1.5 2 Meets 12606 53 41 Yes Total 9.5 14 67.9% Meets Fore/Reduced Lond Region Subgroup Median Growth Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile Made Adequate Growth? Reading 13 20 65% Meets Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible % Points Eligible % Points Meets Meets Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Subgroup Median Growth Percentile Made Adequate Growth? Meets Meets 5 No No Meets Meets 5 No Meet Adequate Growth? Meet		3	4			10831	56	45	Yes
Writing 3 4 Meets 10829 56 57 No English Language Proficiency (CELApro) 1.5 2 Meets 12606 53 41 Yes Total 9.5 14 67.9% Meets Subgroup Median Growth Subgroup Median Adequate Mode Adequate Academic Growth Gaps Points Earned Points Eligible % Points Rating N Subgroup Median Growth Subgroup Median Adequate Made Adequate Reading 13 20 65% Meets Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 2 4 Approaching 7785 54 55 No Minority Students 3 4 Meets 8663 54 52 Yes Students with Disabilities 2 4 Approaching 1297 44 82 No English Learners 3 4 Meets 4708 58 58 Yes Students needing to catch up 3 4 Approaching 83		2	4						
English Language Proficiency (CELApro) 1.5 2 Meets 12606 53 41 Yes	Writing	3	4			10829	56	57	No
Total 9.5		1.5	2		Meets	12606	53	41	Yes
Academic Growth Gaps Points Earned Points Eligible % Points Rating N Percentile Growth Percentile Growth? Reading 13 20 65% Meets Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 2 4 Approaching 7785 54 55 No Minority Students 3 4 Meets 8663 54 52 Yes Students with Disabilities 2 4 Approaching 1297 44 82 No English Learners 3 4 Meets 4708 58 58 Yes Students needing to catch up 3 4 Meets 540 55 70 No Mathematics 11 20 55% Approaching 8346 52 71 No Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 2 4 Approaching 9235 52 69 No Students with Disabilities 2 4 Approaching 9235 52 69 No<	Total		14	67.9%	Meets				
Academic Growth Gaps Points Earned Points Eligible % Points Rating N Percentile Growth Percentile Growth? Reading 13 20 65% Meets Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 2 4 Approaching 7785 54 55 No Minority Students 3 4 Meets 8663 54 52 Yes Students with Disabilities 2 4 Approaching 1297 44 82 No English Learners 3 4 Meets 4708 58 58 Yes Students needing to catch up 3 4 Meets 5400 55 70 No Mathematics 11 20 55% Approaching 8346 52 71 No Minority Students 2 4 Approaching 9335 52 69 No Students with Disabilities 2 4 Approaching 9235 52 69 No						Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 2 4 Approaching 7785 54 55 No Minority Students 3 4 Meets 8663 54 52 Yes Students with Disabilities 2 4 Approaching 1297 44 82 No English Learners 3 4 Meets 4708 58 58 78 Students needing to catch up 3 4 Meets 5400 55 70 No Mathematics 11 20 55% Approaching 8346 52 71 No Minority Students 2 4 Approaching 9235 52 69 No Students with Disabilities 2 4 Approaching 9235 52 69 No English Learners 3 4 Meets 5273 56 70 No Students needing to catch up 2 4 Approaching 5410 54 84	Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating			Growth Percentile	Growth?
Minority Students 3 4 Meets 8663 54 52 Yes Students with Disabilities 2 4 Approaching 1297 44 82 No English Learners 3 4 Meets 4708 58 58 Yes Students needing to catch up 3 4 Meets 5460 55 70 No Mathematics 11 20 55% Approaching 8346 52 71 No Minority Students 2 4 Approaching 8346 52 71 No Minority Students 2 4 Approaching 9235 52 69 No Students with Disabilities 2 4 Approaching 1368 41 90 No Students with Disabilities 2 4 Approaching 5273 56 70 No Students needing to catch up 2 4 Approaching 5410 84 No<	Reading	13	20	65%	Meets				
Students with Disabilities 2 4 Approaching 1297 44 82 No English Learners 3 4 Meets 4708 58 58 Yes Students needing to catch up 3 4 Meets 5460 55 70 No Mathematics 11 20 55% Approaching 8346 52 71 No Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 2 4 Approaching 8346 52 71 No Minority Students 2 4 Approaching 9235 52 69 No Students with Disabilities 2 4 Approaching 1368 41 90 No English Learners 3 4 Meets 5273 56 70 No Students needing to catch up 2 4 Approaching 5410 54 84 No Writing 14 20 70% Meets 7780 55 <	Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	7785	54	55	No
English Learners 3 4 Meets 4708 58 58 Yes Students needing to catch up 3 4 Meets 5460 55 70 No Mathematics 11 20 55% Approaching 8346 52 71 No Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 2 4 Approaching 9235 52 69 No Students with Disabilities 2 4 Approaching 1368 41 90 No English Learners 3 4 Meets 5273 56 70 No Students needing to catch up 2 4 Approaching 5410 54 84 No Writing 14 20 70% Meets Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 3 4 Meets 7780 55 66 No Minority Students 3 4 Meets 8659 55 63 No	Minority Students	3	4		Meets	8663	54	52	Yes
Students needing to catch up 3 4 Meets 5460 55 70 No Mathematics 11 20 55% Approaching 8346 52 71 No Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 2 4 Approaching 935 52 69 No Minority Students 2 4 Approaching 1368 41 90 No Students with Disabilities 2 4 Approaching 1368 41 90 No English Learners 3 4 Meets 5273 56 70 No Students needing to catch up 2 4 Approaching 5410 54 84 No Writing 14 20 70% Meets 7780 55 66 No Minority Students 3 4 Meets 8659 55 63 No	Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	1297	44	82	No
Mathematics 11 20 55% Approaching Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 2 4 Approaching 8346 52 71 No Minority Students 2 4 Approaching 9235 52 69 No Students with Disabilities 2 4 Approaching 1368 41 90 No English Learners 3 4 Meets 5273 56 70 No Students needing to catch up 2 4 Approaching 5410 54 84 No Writing 14 20 70% Meets 7780 55 66 No Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 3 4 Meets 7780 55 66 No Minority Students 3 4 Meets 8659 55 63 No	English Learners	3	4		Meets	4708	58	58	Yes
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 2 4 Approaching 8346 52 71 No Minority Students 2 4 Approaching 9235 52 69 No Students with Disabilities 2 4 Approaching 1368 41 90 No English Learners 3 4 Meets 5273 56 70 No Students needing to catch up 2 4 Approaching 5410 54 84 No Writing 14 20 70% Meets Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 3 4 Meets 7780 55 66 No Minority Students 3 4 Meets 8659 55 63 No	Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	5460	55	70	No
Minority Students 2 4 Approaching 9235 52 69 No Students with Disabilities 2 4 Approaching 1368 41 90 No English Learners 3 4 Meets 5273 56 70 No Students needing to catch up 2 4 Approaching 5410 54 84 No Writing 14 20 70% Meets 55 66 No Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 3 4 Meets 7780 55 66 No Minority Students 3 4 Meets 8659 55 63 No	Mathematics	11	20	55%	Approaching				
Students with Disabilities 2 4 Approaching 1368 41 90 No English Learners 3 4 Meets 5273 56 70 No Students needing to catch up 2 4 Approaching 5410 54 84 No Writing 14 20 70% Meets Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 3 4 Meets 7780 55 66 No Minority Students 3 4 Meets 8659 55 63 No	Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	8346	52	71	No
English Learners 3 4 Meets 5273 56 70 No Students needing to catch up 2 4 Approaching 5410 54 84 No Writing 14 20 70% Meets Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 3 4 Meets 7780 55 66 No Minority Students 3 4 Meets 8659 55 63 No	Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	9235	52	69	No
Students needing to catch up 2 4 Approaching 5410 54 84 No Writing 14 20 70% Meets 7780 55 66 No Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 3 4 Meets 8659 55 63 No Minority Students 3 4 Meets 8659 55 63 No	Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	1368	41	90	No
Writing 14 20 70% Meets Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 3 4 Meets 7780 55 66 No Minority Students 3 4 Meets 8659 55 63 No	English Learners	3	4		Meets	5273	56	70	No
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 3 4 Meets 7780 55 66 No Minority Students 3 4 Meets 8659 55 63 No	Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	5410	54	84	No
Minority Students 3 4 Meets 8659 55 63 No	Writing	14	20	70%	Meets				
	Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	7780	55	66	No
Students with Disabilities 2 4 Approaching 1312 46 86 No	Minority Students	3	4		Meets	8659	55	63	No
	Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	1312	46	86	No

Meets

Meets

Meets

4696

6797

2

English Learners

Total

Students needing to catch up

3

3

38

4

4

60

63.3%

61

57

67

72

No

No

Performance Indicators								Level: Middle School
District: DENVER COUNTY 1 - 0	880							(1 Year)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	15905	51.24	8	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	15886	42.81	31	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	15871	45.35	20	
Science	2	4		Approaching	4980	33.71	24	
Total	7	16	43.8%	Approaching				

							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	15009	53	48	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	15013	53	79	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	14972	58	67	No
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	1.5	2		Meets	4173	55	56	No
Total	9.5	14	67.9%	Meets				

Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	10928	52	59	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	12377	52	56	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	1659	49	88	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	6821	52	60	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	7353	53	74	No
Mathematics	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	10930	51	87	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	12382	52	85	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	1668	49	99	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	6834	54	85	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	7854	52	96	No
Writing	14	20	70%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	10910	57	76	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	12348	58	74	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	1660	51	94	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	6803	61	76	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	8060	59	85	No
Total	34	60	56.7%	Approaching				

3

Performance Indicators							Lev	vel: High Scho
District: DENVER COUNTY 1 - 0880								(1 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	·
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	8307	53.68	9	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	8360	24.52	30	1
Writing	2	4		Approaching	8320	36.33	19	1
Science	2	4		Approaching	3948	34.02	22	
	7	16	43.8%	Approaching				
Acadomic Cuovith	Doints Farmed	Dointe Fliaible	0/ Doints	Datina	Α,	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequat
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	7052		Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	7052	54	37	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	7106	53	98	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	7075	56	81	No
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	1	2		Approaching	2186	46	72	No
Total	9	14	64.3%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequat
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	12	20	60%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	4659	54	61	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	5734	54	52	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	656	48	99	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	2950	56	67	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	3236	53	88	No
Mathematics	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	4697	52	99	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	5776	52	99	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	672	50	99	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	2964	54	99	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	4752	53	99	No
Writing	14	20	70%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	4678	55	92	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	5755	55	88	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	670	48	99	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	2954	60	94	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4	1	Meets	4315	56	97	No
Total	36	60	60%	Approaching				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness		Points Eligible	% Points			N	Rate/Score	Evacetation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	1	4	/0 FUIILS	Rating Does Not Meet	EOC	08/ 5105 /5312/5400	56.1/ 58.5 /55.8/53%	Expectation 80%
7 . 7 . 7 . 7	1	4	25%	Does Not Meet Does Not Meet	500	00/ 3103 /3312/3400	30.1/ 30.3 /33.8/33%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.25	1	2370		2/16	68/ 3523 /3456/3326	51.9/ 55.8 /52.6/50.8%	80%
, ,				Does Not Meet Does Not Meet				
Minority Students Students with Disabilities	0.25	1				53/ 3957 /4008/4147	53.5/ 56.3 /52.7/49.8%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0.25	1		Does Not Meet		525/655/ 723 /656	38.9/44.7/ 48.4 /47.3%	80%
English Learners	0.25	1		Does Not Meet	57	79/628/1032/ 1062	47.2/52.9/53.4/ 53.5 %	80%
Dropout Rate	2	4		Approaching		35718	6.4%	3.6%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	2	4	0=/	Approaching		3831	17.6	20.0
Total	6	16	37.5%	Approaching				

4

Scoring Guide Level: EMH

erformance Indicator	Scorina Guide			Ratina	Point	Value	Total Possible per EMH Level	Framework Poi		
.,	The district's percentage of students scoring proficient or advance	ed mae.			7 0		Гозан гозолого рол шин догол			
	at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 let)			Exceeds	ı	4	16			
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile			Meets	l	3	(4 for each	15		
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Approaching		2	content area)	13		
Acinevement	below the 35th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseli			Does Not Meet		1	- content area)			
	If the district meets the median adequate student growth percent		ntile was:	DOCS NOT WICCO	TCAP	CELA				
	• at or above 60.	the and its median student growth perce	nthe was.	Exceeds	4	2	14			
	below 60 but at or above 45.			Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each			
	below 45 but at or above 30.			Approaching	2	1	content area			
Academic	• below 30.			Does Not Meet	1	0.5	and 2 for	35		
Growth	If the district does not meet the median adequate student growth	nercentile and its median student arow	th percentile was:	DOCS NOT WICCO	TCAP	CELA	English	33		
Growth	• at or above 70.	i percentile una its median student grow	un percentile was.	Exceeds	4	2	language			
	• below 70 but at or above 55.			Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)			
	below 70 but at or above 55. below 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching	2	1.5	proficiency)			
	below 40. below 40.				1	0.5	-			
		uth neverntile and its median student are	auth parantila was	Does Not Meet	1	0.5				
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student grow • at or above 60.	vin percentile and its median student gro	owth percentile was:	Exceeds	ı	4	1			
	below 60 but at or above 45.			Meets		3	+			
	below 60 but at or above 45. below 45 but at or above 30.			Approaching		2	60			
Academic	below 45 but at of above 50. below 30.					1	┪			
			1	Does Not Meet		1	(4 for each of 5	4.5		
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate stud	ent growth percentile and its median stu	ident growth percentile was:				subgroups in 3	15		
	• at or above 70.			Exceeds		3	subject areas)			
	below 70 but at or above 55. below 75 but at an above 40.			Meets		_	4			
	below 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching		2	4			
	• below 40.			Does Not Meet		1				
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate: Th	e district's graduation rate/disaggr	egated graduation rate was:		Overall	Disaggr.				
	• at or above 90%.			Exceeds	4	1	4			
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.			Meets	3	0.75				
	at or above 65% but below 80%.			Approaching	2	0.5				
	• below 65%.			Does Not Meet	1	0.25				
	Dropout Rate: The district's dropout rate was:						16			
ostsecondary and	at or below 1%.			Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35		
orkforce Readiness	• at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-10 b	aseline).		Meets		3	indicator)			
	• at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-10	baseline).		Approaching		2				
	• above 10%.			Does Not Meet		1				
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The district's average Col	orado ACT composite score was:								
	at or above 22.	·		Exceeds		4	1			
	at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10 bat)	aseline).		Meets		3	1			
	at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10 bath)	aseline).		Approaching		2	1			
	• below 17.			Does Not Meet		1	1			
Points for each no	rformance indicator		Cut-Points for accreditation		ont					
		Indianta:	,			- C 41	tal Francisco de la Caración de la C			
	t Point: The district earned of the points eligible on this				earned .	of the to	tal Framework points eligible.			
	at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		at or above 80%		Distinctio Accredite				
Growth; Gaps •	at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets		 at or above 64% - bel 	ow 80%	• at or above 64% - below 80%				

Districts on Turnaround or Priority Improvement

• below 37.5%

• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%

A district may not be accredited with a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education is required to restructure or close the district. The five consecutive years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the district is notified that it is Accredited with a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

5

Approaching

Total Framework

Points

• at or above 52% - below 64%

• at or above 42% - below 52%

• below 42%

Improvement

Priority Improvement

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Districts receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated District Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more districts to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small districts may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official accreditation category for the district: the one under which the district has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and accreditation rating. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a district's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math				Writing		Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	175	165	167	176	165	167	175	165	167	133	135	138
15th percentile	59.26	58.87	57.14	57.99	34.46	18.30	38.48	42.37	32.85	29.46	28.57	30.27
50th percentile	71.51	70.50	71.53	70.51	50.00	32.16	54.72	56.36	48.61	48.00	45.60	48.93
90th percentile	84.37	83.57	84.78	84.60	68.84	52.06	69.66	72.27	67.56	69.72	69.09	70.39


Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	181	182	183	181	182	182	181	182	183	172	175	179
15th percentile	60.45	56.61	57.63	56.84	36.37	17.78	41.44	41.85	33.82	32.93	30.02	31.43
50th percentile	72.19	69.22	71.31	70.37	49.11	30.51	55.78	56.79	49.70	47.50	46.81	49.18
90th percentile	85.16	81.53	83.80	83.42	65.33	48.01	71.02	70.87	67.71	66.52	65.86	67.31

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this district compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the district to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

6



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the district met adequate growth. Districts that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; districts that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

DPF 2012 - 0880

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

<u>This District's</u> Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	43.1	49.6	51.5	53
Anticipated Year	2009	46.4	53.2	55.8	
of Graduation	2010	51.8	58.5		
	2011	56.1			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	39.7	46.6	49.2	50.8
Anticipated Year	2009	40.3	49.2	52.6	
of Graduation	2010	47.7	55.8		
	2011	51.9			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	38.3	46.1	48.4	49.8
Anticipated Year	2009	41.8	50	52.7	
of Graduation	2010	49	56.3		
	2011	53.5			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	32.2	39.3	43	47.3
Anticipated Year	2009	31.1	41.1	48.4	
of Graduation	2010	34.4	44.7		
	2011	38.9			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	36.3	49.2	52.3	53.5
Anticipated Year	2009	39.4	49.4	53.4	
of Graduation	2010	42.5	52.9		
	2011	47.2			

State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

<u>This District's</u> Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	43.1	49.6	51.5	53
Anticipated Year	2009	46.4	53.2	55.8	
of Graduation	2010	51.8	58.5		
	2011	56.1			
	Aggregated	49.2	53.7	53.7	53

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	39.7	46.6	49.2	50.8
Anticipated Year	2009	40.3	49.2	52.6	
of Graduation	2010	47.7	55.8		
	2011	51.9			
	Aggregated	45	50.6	50.9	50.8

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	38.3	46.1	48.4	49.8
Anticipated Year	2009	41.8	50	52.7	
of Graduation	2010	49	56.3		
	2011	53.5			
	Aggregated	45.5	50.7	50.5	49.8

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	32.2	39.3	43	47.3
Anticipated Year	2009	31.1	41.1	48.4	
of Graduation	2010	34.4	44.7		
	2011	38.9			
	Aggregated	34	41.7	45.8	47.3

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	36.3	49.2	52.3	53.5
Anticipated Year	2009	39.4	49.4	53.4	
of Graduation	2010	42.5	52.9		
	2011	47.2			
	Aggregated	40.2	50.1	52.8	53.5

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year DPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

7 DPF 2012 - 0880