District: SHERIDAN 2 - 0123 (All - 1 Year')

Accredited w/Priority Improvement Plan

Will enter Year 3* of Priority Improvement or Turnaround

This is the accreditation category for the district. Districts are designated an accreditation category based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the accreditation category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Accred. w/Distinction	at or above 80%
Accredited	at or above 64% - below 80%
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	at or above 52% - below 64%
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	at or above 42% - below 52%
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	below 42%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For districts with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Does Not Meet	25.0%	(3.8 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Approaching	54.8%	(19.2 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	52.8%	(7.9 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Approaching	42.2%	(14.8 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation ³	Neets 95% Participation Rate			

²Districts may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Districts do not receive points for test participation. However, districts are assigned one accreditation category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for districts serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

45.7%

(45.7 out of 100 points)

Finance⁴ Meets Requirements

TOTAL

Safety⁴ Meets Requirements

⁴Districts do not receive points for finance and safety assurances. However, districts that do not meet requirements in at least one area default to Accredited with Priority Improvement (or remain Accredited with Turnaround Plan) until they meet requirements.

Test Participation Rates																
		% of Stude	ents Tested			Participa	tion Rating			Studen	ts Tested			Total S	Students	
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	100.0%	99.1%	99.5%	99.5%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	297	326	196	819	297	329	197	823
Mathematics	100.0%	99.4%	100.0%	99.8%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	296	326	197	819	296	328	197	821
Writing	100.0%	99.7%	99.5%	99.8%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	296	328	196	820	296	329	197	822
Science	100.0%	99.0%	100.0%	99.7%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	107	104	99	310	107	105	99	311
Colorado ACT	-	-	97.4%	-	-	-	Meets	-	-	-	76	-	-	-	78	-





^{*} on July 1, 2013

Performance Indicators							Level: El	ementary School
District: SHERIDAN 2 - 0123								(1 Year)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	284	47.54	4	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	283	46.29	3	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	283	30.74	4	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	103	16.5	0	
Total	4	16	25%	Does Not Meet				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	181	55	53	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	181	48	66	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	180	50	63	No
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	1.5	2		Meets	246	54	39	Yes
Total	8.5	14	60.7%	Approaching				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N ,	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	13	20	65%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	174	55	55	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	152	55	51	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	22	42	86	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	112	56	62	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	111	54	72	No
Mathematics	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	174	49	66	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	152	48	66	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	22	32	89	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	112	49	69	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	86	50	83	No
Writing	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	173	50	63	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	151	50	63	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	22	40	90	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	111	53	64	No

Approaching

Approaching

2

134

Students needing to catch up

Total

2

32

4

60

53.3%

53

71

No

- C								
Performance Indicators							Leve	l: Middle School
District: SHERIDAN 2 - 0123								(1 Year)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	318	43.4	3	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	318	27.99	8	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	320	36.25	7	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	102	15.69	0	
Total	4	16	25%	Does Not Meet				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	Δ	70 FOIILS	Meets	298	55	56	No
Mathematics	<u> </u>	4		Does Not Meet	301	34	83	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	304	60	77	No
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)		2		Approaching	90	50	51	No
Total	8	14	57.1%	Approaching	30		31	NO
Total	8	14	37.170	Approaching				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Academic Growth Gaps Reading	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating Meets		- ·		•
<u> </u>						- ·		•
Reading	14	20		Meets	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	14 2	20		Meets Approaching	N 268	Percentile 54	Growth Percentile 58	Growth?
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students	14 2 3	20 4 4		Meets Approaching Meets	268 247	Percentile 54 55	Growth Percentile 58 56	No No
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities	14 2 3 3	20 4 4 4		Meets Approaching Meets Meets	268 247 35	Percentile 54 55 56	58 56 91	No No No
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners	14 2 3 3 3	20 4 4 4 4		Meets Approaching Meets Meets Meets Meets	268 247 35 159	Percentile 54 55 56 56	58 56 91 60	No No No No
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up	14 2 3 3 3 3	20 4 4 4 4 4	70%	Meets Approaching Meets Meets Meets Meets Meets Meets	268 247 35 159	Percentile 54 55 56 56	58 56 91 60	No No No No
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Mathematics	14 2 3 3 3 3 5	20 4 4 4 4 4 20	70%	Meets Approaching Meets Meets Meets Meets Meets Does Not Meet	268 247 35 159 184	Percentile 54 55 56 56 56	58 56 91 60 69	No No No No No
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Mathematics Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	14 2 3 3 3 3 5	20 4 4 4 4 4 20 4	70%	Meets Approaching Meets Meets Meets Meets Meets Does Not Meet Does Not Meet	268 247 35 159 184	54 55 56 56 56 34	58 56 91 60 69	No No No No No No No No
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Mathematics Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students	14 2 3 3 3 3 5 1	20 4 4 4 4 4 20 4	70%	Meets Approaching Meets Meets Meets Meets Meets Does Not Meet Does Not Meet Does Not Meet	268 247 35 159 184 271 250	54 55 56 56 56 34 33	58 56 91 60 69	No No No No No No No No No
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Mathematics Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities	14 2 3 3 3 3 5 1 1	20 4 4 4 4 4 20 4 4 4	70%	Meets Approaching Meets Meets Meets Meets Meets Does Not Meet Does Not Meet Does Not Meet Does Not Meet	268 247 35 159 184 271 250 37	54 55 56 56 56 34 33 33	58 56 91 60 69 84 84 99	No N
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Mathematics Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners	14 2 3 3 3 3 5 1 1 1	20 4 4 4 4 4 20 4 4 4 4	70%	Meets Approaching Meets Meets Meets Meets Meets Meets Does Not Meet	268 247 35 159 184 271 250 37 159	Percentile 54 55 56 56 56 34 33 33 33 33 32	58 56 91 60 69 84 84 99 82	No N
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Mathematics Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up	14 2 3 3 3 3 5 1 1 1 1	20 4 4 4 4 4 20 4 4 4 4 4	25%	Meets Approaching Meets Meets Meets Meets Meets Does Not Meet	268 247 35 159 184 271 250 37 159	Percentile 54 55 56 56 56 34 33 33 33 33 32	58 56 91 60 69 84 84 99 82	No N
Reading Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Mathematics Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Writing	14 2 3 3 3 3 5 1 1 1 1 1 1 15	20 4 4 4 4 20 4 4 4 4 4 20	25%	Meets Approaching Meets Meets Meets Meets Meets Does Not Meet Meets Meets	268 247 35 159 184 271 250 37 159 181	Percentile 54 55 56 56 34 33 33 32 31	S8 S6 S6 S6 S6 S6 S6 S6	No N

37

160

210

Meets

Meets

Meets

Approaching

Students with Disabilities

Students needing to catch up

English Learners

Total

3

3

3

34

4

4

4

60

56.7%

57

65

59

96

77

85

No

No

No

Performance Indicators							Lev	el: High Schoo
District: SHERIDAN 2 - 0123								(1 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	,
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	189	37.57	1	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	191	9.95	4	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	189	18.52	3	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	95	26.32	9	
Total	4	16	25%	Does Not Meet				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	177	39	61	No
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	181	43	99	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	182	49	92	No
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	1.5	2		Meets	63	58	77	No
Total	6.5	14	46.4%	Approaching				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4	1070	Approaching	153	40	61	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	154	41	65	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	21	25	94	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	93	49	63	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	103	41	88	No
Mathematics	10	20	50%	Approaching	103	71	50	140
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4	30/0		156	43	99	No
	2	4		Approaching	157		99	No No
Minority Students Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	22	45 54	99	No No
	2	4		Approaching	96		99	
English Learners				Approaching		51		No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	142	48	99	No
Writing	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	157	50	94	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	159	50	94	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	23	52	99	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	97	52	92	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	134	50	98	No
Total	29	60	48.3%	Approaching				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	2	4		Approaching		19/108/140/ 123	37/54.6/67.1/ 68.3 %	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	1.75	4	43.8%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.5	1		Approaching		89/76/ 104 /80	41.6/65.8/ 72.1 /71.3%	80%
Minority Students	0.5			Approaching		96/75/104/ 80	34.4/50.7/65.4/ 70 %	80%
Students with Disabilities	0.25	<u>_</u> 1		Does Not Meet		16 /N<16/ 16 /18	25/-/ 62.5 /50%	80%
English Learners	0.5	1		Approaching		39/33/ 44 /35	35.9/54.5/ 65.9 /60%	80%
Dropout Rate	2	4		Approaching		963	5.3%	3.6%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	1	4		Does Not Meet		76	16.7	20.0
Total	6.75	16						

4

Scoring Guide Level: EMH

ormance Indicator	Scoring Guide		Rating	Poin	t Value	Total Possible per EMH Level	Framework Po
	The district's percentage of students scoring proficient or advance	ed was:	, ,	_		· ·	
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 b		Exceeds		4	16	
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile	·	Meets		3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile	e of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baselii	ne).	Does Not Me	et	1	[′]	
	If the district meets the median adequate student growth percent			TCAP	CELA		
	at or above 60.	<u> </u>	Exceeds	4	2	14	
	below 60 but at or above 45.		Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each	
	• below 45 but at or above 30.		Approaching	2	1	content area	
Academic	• below 30.		Does Not Me	et 1	0.5	and 2 for	35
Growth	If the district does not meet the median adequate student growth	percentile and its median student arowth percentile	was:	TCAP	CELA	English	
	• at or above 70.	,,	Exceeds	4	2	language	
	below 70 but at or above 55.		Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)	
	below 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching	2	1	1	
	• below 40.		Does Not Me		0.5	1	
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student grow	yth percentile and its median student growth percent					
	• at or above 60.	,	Exceeds		4	1	
	below 60 but at or above 45.		Meets		3	1	
	below 45 but at or above 30.		Approaching		2	60	
Academic	• below 30.		Does Not Me	et	1	(4 for each of 5	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate stude	ent growth percentile and its median student growth	percentile was:			subgroups in 3	15
	• at or above 70.	<u> </u>	Exceeds		4	subject areas)	
	below 70 but at or above 55.		Meets		3	1	
	below 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching		2	1	
	• below 40.		Does Not Me	et	1	1	
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate: Th	e district's araduation rate/disagareaated ara	duation rate was:	Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.		Exceeds	4	1	1	
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.		Meets	3	0.75	1	
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.		Approaching	2	0.5	1	
	• below 65%.		Does Not Me	et 1	0.25	1	
	Dropout Rate: The district's dropout rate was:					16	
stsecondary and	• at or below 1%.		Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35
rkforce Readiness	at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-10 base)	aseline)	Meets		3	indicator)	
rkioice neddiness	at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-10 but above the state average (using 2009-10 but above the state average).	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Approaching	,	2	- malcatory	
	above 10%.	buseine).	Does Not Me	o†	1	†	
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The district's average Colo	orado ACT composite score was:	DOCS NOT WE			1	
	• at or above 22.	ordao Aer composite score was.	Exceeds	1	4	1	
	at or above 22. at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10 ba	seline)	Meets	+	3	†	
	at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10 ba at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10 ba		Approaching	,	2	1	
	below 17. below 17. below 17. below 17.	ocinic).	Does Not Me	ot o	1	1	
						1	
oints for each perf			s for accreditation category assign				
Cut F	Point: The district earned of the points eligible on this	Indicator.		ct earned .	of the to	otal Framework points eligible.	
	t or above 87.5%	Exceeds	at or above 80%				Distinction

Cut-Points for each performance indicator Cut Point: The district earned ... of the points eligible on this Indicator. Achievement; Growth; Gaps 4 or above 87.5% • at or above 87.5% - below 87.5% • at or above 80.5% - below 87.5% • at or above 62.5% - below 87.5% • at or above 62.5% - below 87.5% • below 37.5% • below 37.5% • below 37.5% • below 42% - below 52% • at or above 52% - below 64% • at or above 42% - below 52% • at or above 52% - below 52% • at or above 52% - below 64% • at or above 52% - below 52% • at or above 42% - below 52%

Districts on Turnaround or Priority Improvement

A district may not be accredited with a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education is required to restructure or close the district. The five consecutive years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the district is notified that it is Accredited with a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

5

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Districts receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated District Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more districts to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small districts may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official accreditation category for the district: the one under which the district has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and accreditation rating. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a district's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	175	165	167	176	165	167	175	165	167	133	135	138
15th percentile	59.26	58.87	57.14	57.99	34.46	18.30	38.48	42.37	32.85	29.46	28.57	30.27
50th percentile	71.51	70.50	71.53	70.51	50.00	32.16	54.72	56.36	48.61	48.00	45.60	48.93
90th percentile	84.37	83.57	84.78	84.60	68.84	52.06	69.66	72.27	67.56	69.72	69.09	70.39


Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	181	182	183	181	182	182	181	182	183	172	175	179
15th percentile	60.45	56.61	57.63	56.84	36.37	17.78	41.44	41.85	33.82	32.93	30.02	31.43
50th percentile	72.19	69.22	71.31	70.37	49.11	30.51	55.78	56.79	49.70	47.50	46.81	49.18
90th percentile	85.16	81.53	83.80	83.42	65.33	48.01	71.02	70.87	67.71	66.52	65.86	67.31

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this district compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the district to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

6



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the district met adequate growth. Districts that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; districts that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

DPF 2012 - 0123

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

This District's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	44	58	61	68.3
Anticipated Year	2009	47.4	56.9	67.1	
of Graduation	2010	33	54.6		
	2011	37			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	46.1	61	62.5	71.3
Anticipated Year	2009	47.6	61.8	72.1	
of Graduation	2010	36.4	65.8		
	2011	41.6			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	41.9	57.9	60	70
Anticipated Year	2009	45	54.5	65.4	
of Graduation	2010	28.2	50.7		
	2011	34.4			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	35.3	44.4	50	50
Anticipated Year	2009	41.2	52.9	62.5	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	25			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	35.3	50	54.3	60
Anticipated Year	2009	48.9	56.8	65.9	
of Graduation	2010	31	54.5		
	2011	35.9			

State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

<u>This District's</u> Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	44	58	61	68.3
Anticipated Year	2009	47.4	56.9	67.1	
of Graduation	2010	33	54.6		
	2011	37			
	Aggregated	40.8	56.6	64.3	68.3

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	46.1	61	62.5	71.3
Anticipated Year	2009	47.6	61.8	72.1	
of Graduation	2010	36.4	65.8		
	2011	41.6			
	Aggregated	43.2	62.7	67.9	71.3

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	41.9	57.9	60	70
Anticipated Year	2009	45	54.5	65.4	
of Graduation	2010	28.2	50.7		
	2011	34.4			
	Aggregated	37.8	54.4	63	70

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	35.3	44.4	50	50
Anticipated Year	2009	41.2	52.9	62.5	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	25			
	Aggregated	36.7	53.3	55.9	50

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

7

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	35.3	50	54.3	60
Anticipated Year	2009	48.9	56.8	65.9	
of Graduation	2010	31	54.5		
	2011	35.9			
	Aggregated	38.8	54.1	60.8	60

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year DPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

DPF 2012 - 0123