District: ADAMS 12 FIVE STAR SCHOOLS - 0020 (All - 1 Year')

Accredited with Improvement Plan

This is the accreditation category for the district. Districts are designated an accreditation category based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the accreditation category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Accred. w/Distinction	at or above 80%
Accredited	at or above 64% - below 80%
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	at or above 52% - below 64%
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	at or above 42% - below 52%
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	below 42%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For districts with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Approaching	56.3%	(8.4 out of 15 points)	
Meets	64.3%	(22.5 out of 35 points)	
Approaching	51.1%	(7.7 out of 15 points)	
Approaching	46.9%	(16.4 out of 35 points)	
Meets 95% Participation Rate			
	Approaching Meets Approaching Approaching	Approaching 56.3% Meets 64.3% Approaching 51.1%	Approaching 56.3% (8.4 out of 15 points) Meets 64.3% (22.5 out of 35 points) Approaching 51.1% (7.7 out of 15 points) Approaching 46.9% (16.4 out of 35 points)

²Districts may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Districts do not receive points for test participation. However, districts are assigned one accreditation category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for districts serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

55.0%

(55.0 out of 100 points)

Finance ⁴ Meets Requirements

TOTAL

Safety⁴ Meets Requirements

⁴Districts do not receive points for finance and safety assurances. However, districts that do not meet requirements in at least one area default to Accredited with Priority Improvement (or remain Accredited with Turnaround Plan) until they meet requirements.

Test Participation Rates																
		% of Stude	ents Tested			Participa	tion Rating			Students	Tested			Total St	udents	
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.4%	99.1%	98.2%	99.0%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	10005	9831	5684	25520	10064	9923	5791	25778
Mathematics	99.7%	99.2%	98.5%	99.2%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	10030	9850	5706	25586	10064	9925	5791	25780
Writing	99.5%	99.1%	98.4%	99.1%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	10010	9842	5697	25549	10056	9928	5792	25776
Science	99.6%	99.0%	98.5%	99.1%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	3294	3268	2764	9326	3307	3301	2805	9413
Colorado ACT	-	-	98.5%	-	-	-	Meets	-	-	-	2434	-	-	-	2470	-





Performance Indicators							Level: F	lementary Schoo
District: ADAMS 12 FIVE STAR SCH	10018 - 0020						Ecven E	(1 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	(1 164
Reading	2	4		Approaching	9638	66.34	32	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	9659	69.05	42	1
Writing	2	4		Approaching	9648	47.83	33	1
Science	2	4		Approaching	3165	45.69	43	
Total	8	16	50%	Approaching				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	6062	47	31	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	6088	50	48	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	6076	47	47	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	1.5	2		Meets	2314	46	40	Yes
Total	10.5	14	75%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	11	20	55%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	2206	43	48	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	2504	45	41	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	533	40	79	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	1208	47	49	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	2049	47	66	No
Mathematics	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	2230	45	62	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	2522	50	58	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	539	38	83	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	1220	54	60	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	1686	48	81	No
Writing	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	2223	41	61	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	2520	44	56	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	536	37	81	No

Approaching

Approaching

Approaching

1220

3064

2

English Learners

Total

Students needing to catch up

2

2

29

4

4

60

48.3%

49

47

59

67

No

No

Performance Indicators							Lov	el: Middle Schoo
District: ADAMS 12 FIVE STAR SCH	10015 0030						Lev	
		Delete Ellette	0/ D-1-4-	D. etter er	N	0/ Bu-fi-i-ut/Adamd	Districtle Deves at the	(1 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	9337	64.98	32	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	9341	53.82	61	1
Writing	2	4		Approaching	9345	51.78	35	
Science	3	4		Meets	3095	49.18	57	
Total	10	16	62.5%	Meets				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	8834	46	31	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	8847	46	65	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	8841	45	53	No
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	1	2		Approaching	687	46	50	No
Total	8	14	57.1%	Approaching				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	3249	42	50	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	3696	44	43	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	765	41	81	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	1674	45	50	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	2943	44	68	No
Mathematics	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	3263	42	80	No

3700

779

1678

3435

3258

3705

775

1682

3829

Approaching

Approaching

Approaching

Approaching

Approaching

Approaching

Approaching

Approaching

Approaching

Approaching

Approaching

45

42

46

45

41

43

41

47

45

2

2

2

2

10

2

2

2

2

2

30

Minority Students

English Learners

Minority Students

English Learners

Writing

Total

Students with Disabilities

Students needing to catch up

Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible

Students needing to catch up

Students with Disabilities

4

4

4

4

20

4

4

4

4

4

60

50%

50%

75

97

78

94

71

65

91

70

81

No

No

No

No

No

No

No

No

No

Performance Indicators							Le	vel: High Schoo
District: ADAMS 12 FIVE STAR SCHOO	LS - 0020							(1 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	5504	64.37	28	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	5523	33.66	55	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	5519	44.41	38	
Science	2	4		Approaching	2678	44.14	37	
Total	9	16	56.3%	Approaching				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	5116	49	21	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	5138	49	91	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	5131	48	61	No
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	1.5	2		Meets	526	60	73	No
Total	8.5	14	60.7%	Approaching				
1000	0.5			, трргоссии В				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	13	20	65%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	1359	48	46	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	2141	49	37	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	376	47	97	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	1044	52	51	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	1804	48	79	No
Mathematics	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	1363	48	99	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	2155	48	98	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	383	47	99	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	1051	51	98	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	2880	50	99	No
Writing	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	1367	48	 85	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	2147	46	79	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	384	47	99	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	1047	49	86	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	2555	47	94	No
Total	33	60	55%	Approaching				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	2	4	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Approaching	298	38/3196/2988/ 2758	65.3/68.4/66.2/ 75.3 %	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	1.5	4	37.5%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.25	1		Does Not Meet	8	380/873/715/ 609	58.4/63.1/56.9/ 64.2 %	80%
Minority Students	0.5	1		Approaching		14/1231/1217/ 1132	61/63.8/63.1/ 68.3 %	80%
Students with Disabilities	0.5	1		Approaching		216/249/222/ 232	44.9/56.2/49.5/ 66.4 %	80%
English Learners	0.25	1		Does Not Meet		106/407/418/ 374	62.1/63.4/63.4/ 63.6 %	80%
Dropout Rate	2	4		Approaching	-	21771	3.8%	3.6%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	2	4		Approaching		2434	19.5	20.0
Total	7.5	16	46.9%	Approaching			13.3	

4

Scoring Guide Level: EMH

erformance Indicator	Scorina Guide			Rating	Point	Value	Total Possible per EMH Level	Framework Poir
,	The district's percentage of students scoring proficient or advance	od muc.			7 0		Тотал г осолого рег шинг шогог	
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10)			Exceeds	ı	4	16	
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile			Meets		3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Approaching		2	content area)	15
Acilievellielit	below the 15th percentile but at of above the 15th percentile below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseli			Does Not Meet		1	- content area)	
	If the district meets the median adequate student growth percent	•	atile was:	Does Not Meet	TCAP	CELA		
	• at or above 60.	me and its median stadent growth percen	itile was.	Exceeds	4	2	14	
	below 60 but at or above 45.			Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each	
	below 45 but at or above 45. below 45 but at or above 30.			Approaching	2	1.5	content area	
Academic	• below 30.			Does Not Meet	1	0.5	and 2 for	35
			h	Does Not Meet	TCAP		-	33
Growth	If the district does not meet the median adequate student growth	percentile and its median student growt	n percentile was:		-	CELA	English	
	• at or above 70.			Exceeds	4	2	language	
	below 70 but at or above 55.			Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)	
	below 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching	2	1		
	• below 40.		the site of the si	Does Not Meet	1	0.5		
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student grov	oth percentile and its median student gro	wth percentile was:		ı		4	
	• at or above 60.			Exceeds		4	4	
	below 60 but at or above 45.			Meets		3	-	
	below 45 but at or above 30.			Approaching		2	60	
Academic	• below 30.			Does Not Meet		1	(4 for each of 5	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate stud	ent growth percentile and its median stu	dent growth percentile was:		,		subgroups in 3	15
	• at or above 70.			Exceeds		4	subject areas)	
	below 70 but at or above 55.			Meets		3		
	below 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching		2		
	• below 40.			Does Not Meet		1		
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate: Th	e district's graduation rate/disaggre	egated graduation rate was:		Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.			Exceeds	4	1		
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.			Meets	3	0.75		
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.			Approaching	2	0.5		
	• below 65%.			Does Not Meet	1	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The district's dropout rate was:						16	
Postsecondary and	at or below 1%.			Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35
Vorkforce Readiness	at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-10 b)	aseline).		Meets		3	indicator)	
	• at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-10			Approaching		2	-	
	• above 10%.			Does Not Meet		1	-	
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The district's average Col	orado ACT composite score was:		Does Hot Micec				
	• at or above 22.	brado Acr composite score was.		Exceeds	ı	4	-	
	• at or above 22. • at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10 ba	esolino)		Meets		3	-	
	• at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10 ba			Approaching		2	-	
	• below 17.	iseille).		Does Not Meet		1	4	
	1111					1		
t-Points for each per			Cut-Points for accreditatio	n category assignm	ent			
Cut	Point: The district earned of the points eligible on this	Indicator.	Cu	t Point: The district	earned.	of the to	otal Framework points eligible.	
Achievement; •	at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		at or above 80%				Distinction
Growth; Gaps •	at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets		at or above 64% - bel	ow 80%			Accredited

Districts on Turnaround or Priority Improvement

• below 37.5%

• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%

A district may not be accredited with a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education is required to restructure or close the district. The five consecutive years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the district is notified that it is Accredited with a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

5

Approaching

Total Framework

Points

• at or above 52% - below 64%

• at or above 42% - below 52%

• below 42%

Improvement

Priority Improvement

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Districts receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated District Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more districts to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small districts may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official accreditation category for the district: the one under which the district has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and accreditation rating. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a district's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	175	165	167	176	165	167	175	165	167	133	135	138
15th percentile	59.26	58.87	57.14	57.99	34.46	18.30	38.48	42.37	32.85	29.46	28.57	30.27
50th percentile	71.51	70.50	71.53	70.51	50.00	32.16	54.72	56.36	48.61	48.00	45.60	48.93
90th percentile	84.37	83.57	84.78	84.60	68.84	52.06	69.66	72.27	67.56	69.72	69.09	70.39


Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	181	182	183	181	182	182	181	182	183	172	175	179
15th percentile	60.45	56.61	57.63	56.84	36.37	17.78	41.44	41.85	33.82	32.93	30.02	31.43
50th percentile	72.19	69.22	71.31	70.37	49.11	30.51	55.78	56.79	49.70	47.50	46.81	49.18
90th percentile	85.16	81.53	83.80	83.42	65.33	48.01	71.02	70.87	67.71	66.52	65.86	67.31

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this district compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the district to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

6



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the district met adequate growth. Districts that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; districts that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

DPF 2012 - 0020

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

This District's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	72.3	74.2	74	75.3
Anticipated Year	2009	60.5	63	66.2	
of Graduation	2010	61.7	68.4		
	2011	65.3			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	58	62.5	62.7	64.2
Anticipated Year	2009	49	53.8	56.9	
of Graduation	2010	53.7	63.1		
	2011	58.4			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	64.5	66.7	66.3	68.3
Anticipated Year	2009	55.2	58.4	63.1	
of Graduation	2010	55	63.8		
	2011	61			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	58.4	61.4	63.4	66.4
Anticipated Year	2009	41.8	44.9	49.5	
of Graduation	2010	47.8	56.2		
	2011	44.9			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	59.3	63.6	60.6	63.6
Anticipated Year	2009	51.2	54.9	63.4	
of Graduation	2010	49.6	63.4		
	2011	62.1			

State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

<u>This District's</u> Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	72.3	74.2	74	75.3
Anticipated Year	2009	60.5	63	66.2	
of Graduation	2010	61.7	68.4		
	2011	65.3			
	Aggregated	64.7	68.3	70	75.3

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	58	62.5	62.7	64.2
Anticipated Year	2009	49	53.8	56.9	
of Graduation	2010	53.7	63.1		
	2011	58.4			
	Aggregated	54.8	59.9	59.6	64.2

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	64.5	66.7	66.3	68.3
Anticipated Year	2009	55.2	58.4	63.1	
of Graduation	2010	55	63.8		
	2011	61			
	Aggregated	58.7	62.8	64.7	68.3

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	58.4	61.4	63.4	66.4
Anticipated Year	2009	41.8	44.9	49.5	
of Graduation	2010	47.8	56.2		
	2011	44.9			
	Aggregated	48.3	54.2	56.7	66.4

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

7

		, 00			
		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	59.3	63.6	60.6	63.6
Anticipated Year	2009	51.2	54.9	63.4	
of Graduation	2010	49.6	63.4		
	2011	62.1			
	Aggregated	55.1	60.3	62.1	63.6

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year DPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

DPF 2012 - 0020