District: MOUNTAIN BOCES - 9030 (All - 1 Year')

# **Accredited with Turnaround Plan**

Will enter Year 4\* of Priority Improvement or Turnaround

This is the accreditation category for the district. Districts are designated an accreditation category based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the accreditation category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Accred. w/Distinction	at or above 80%
Accredited	at or above 64% - below 80%
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	at or above 52% - below 64%
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	at or above 42% - below 52%
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	below 42%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For districts with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible <sup>2</sup>	
Academic Achievement	Does Not Meet	31.3%	( 4.7 out of 15 points )	
Academic Growth	-	-	( 0.0 out of 0 points )	1
Academic Growth Gaps	-	-	( 0.0 out of 0 points )	1
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Does Not Meet	33.3%	( 11.7 out of 35 points )	
Test Participation <sup>3</sup>	Meets 95% Participation Rate			
TOTAL		32.8%	( 16.4 out of 50 points )	

<sup>2</sup>Districts may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

<sup>3</sup>Districts do not receive points for test participation. However, districts are assigned one accreditation category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for districts serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Finance <sup>4</sup> Meets Requirements

Safety <sup>4</sup> Meets Requirements

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Districts do not receive points for finance and safety assurances. However, districts that do not meet requirements in at least one area default to Accredited with Priority Improvement (or remain Accredited with Turnaround Plan) until they meet requirements.

Test Participation Rates																
		% of Stu	idents Tested			Participo	ation Rating			Studen	ts Tested			Total S	tudents	
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	4	29	33	-	4	29	33
Mathematics	-	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	4	28	32	-	4	28	32
Writing	-	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	4	29	33	-	4	29	33
Science	-	0.0%	95.2%	95.2%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	0	20	20	-	0	21	21
Colorado ACT	-	-	100.0%	-	-	-	Meets	-	-	-	35	-	-	-	35	-





<sup>\*</sup> on July 1, 2013

Daufau							l construction	lanna ann Cale
Performance Indicators							Level: E	lementary Scho
District: MOUNTAIN BOCES - 9030								(1 Ye
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading				-				
Mathematics				-				
Writing				-				
Science				-				
Total			<u></u> %					
								Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading				<u> </u>			,	
Mathematics			-	-				
Writing				-				
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)				-				
Total			%					
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading			%					0.0
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible				-				
Minority Students				_				
Students with Disabilities				-			,	
English Learners				_			,	
Students needing to catch up				_			,	
Mathematics			%					
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible			7-5	-			,	
Minority Students				_				
Students with Disabilities				-				
English Learners				-				
Students needing to catch up				-				
Writing			%					
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible				-				
Minority Students				-			,	
Students with Disabilities				_				
English Learners			-	-				
Students needing to catch up			-	-				

2

Performance Indicators							Leve	el: Middle Schoo
<b>District: MOUNTAIN BOCES - 9</b>	030							(1 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	0	0		-	N<16	-	<u>-</u>	
Mathematics	0	0		-	N<16	-	-	
Writing	0	0		-	N<16	-	-	
Science	0	0		-				
Total	0	0	%	-				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	0	0		-	N<20	-	<u>.</u>	-
Mathematics	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Writing	0	0		-	N<20	-	<u>-</u>	-
English Language Proficiency (CELA	pro)			-				
Total	0	0	%	-				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	<del>-</del>	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Mathematics	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	<del>-</del>	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	<del>-</del>	-
Writing	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
0. 1 . 1								

N<20

3

Students needing to catch up

Total

0

0

%

Performance Indicators							Lev	el: High Scho
District: MOUNTAIN BOCES - 9030								(1 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	26	57.69	17	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	21	4.76	1	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	26	23.08	5	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	16	12.5	1	
otal	5	16	31.3%	Does Not Meet				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequat
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	0	0	70 1 011113		N<20	inedian drowth reference	rereentile	Growth
Mathematics	0	0			N<20			
Writing	0	0			N<20			
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	0	0		-	N<20	<del></del>	-	
otal	0	0		-	14<20	-	-	-
otal	U	U	76	•				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequat
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Nathematics	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Writing	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	<del>-</del>	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	<del>-</del>	-	<u>-</u>
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	_
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	<del>-</del>	-	_
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	=	=	
otal	0	0	%					
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Destina		N	Rate/Score	Functation
			% PUIIIS	Rating		70/ <b>85/85/85</b>	•	Expectation 80%
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr  Disaggregated Graduation Rate	1	3	33.3%	Does Not Meet		/0/85/85/85	44.3/58.8/ <b>62.4</b> /56.5%	80%
	1		33.3%	Does Not Meet		41 /46 /47/22	41 F/62/66/6F 29/	900/
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.5	1		Approaching  Doos Not Most		41/46/ <b>47</b> /23	41.5/63/ <b>66</b> /65.2%	80%
Minority Students	0.25	1		Does Not Meet	N -4	36/44/ <b>42</b> /35	36.1/54.5/ <b>61.9</b> /54.3%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0	0		Page Not March		6/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
English Learners	0.25	1		Does Not Meet	N<	16/N<16/ <b>17</b> /N<16	-/-/ <b>58.8</b> /-%	80%
Dropout Rate	2	4		Approaching		202	4%	3.6%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	1 -	4	22.20/	Does Not Meet		35	16.1	20.0
Total	5	15	33.3%	Does Not Meet				

4

Scoring Guide Level: EMH

erformance Indicator	Scorina Guide			Rating	Point	Value	Total Possible per EMH Level	Framework Poi
,	The district's percentage of students scoring proficient or advance	ed was.						
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 b			Exceeds	Ĭ	4	16	
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile	•		Meets		3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile			Approaching		2	content area)	
Acmevement	below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baselii	· · ·		Does Not Meet		1	- content died,	
	If the district meets the median adequate student growth percent	·	ntile was:	DOCS NOT WICE	TCAP	CELA		
	• at or above 60.	ine and its median student growth percer	rene was.	Exceeds	4	2	14	
	below 60 but at or above 45.			Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each	
	below 45 but at or above 30.			Approaching	2	1	content area	
Academic	• below 30.			Does Not Meet	1	0.5	and 2 for	0
Growth	If the district does not meet the median adequate student growth	nercentile and its median student arout	th nercentile was:	Does not meet	TCAP	CELA	English	
Growth	• at or above 70.	percentile and its median stadent growt	in percentile was.	Exceeds	4	2	language	
	• below 70 but at or above 55.			Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)	
	below 76 but at or above 33.      below 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching	2	1.5	_ proficiency)	
	• below 40.			Does Not Meet	1	0.5	-	
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student grow	uth percentile and its median student are	with nercentile was:	Does Not Meet	1 1	0.5		
	• at or above 60.	vin percentile una its median stadent gro	will percentile was.	Exceeds	I	4	†	
	below 60 but at or above 45.			Meets		3	†	
	below 45 but at or above 45.      below 45 but at or above 30.			Approaching		2	60	
Academic	• below 30.			Does Not Meet		1	(4 for each of 5	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate stude	ant growth percentile and its median stu	dent growth percentile was:	DOCS NOT WICE			subgroups in 3	0
Growth Gaps	• at or above 70.	ent growth percentile and its median sta	dent growth percentile was.	Exceeds	1	4	subject areas)	0
	below 70 but at or above 55.			Meets		3	subject areas)	
	below 75 but at or above 35.      below 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching		2	+	
	• below 40.			Does Not Meet		1	+	
		a district's araduation rate /disagar	anatad araduation rate wa		Overall			
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate: The	e district s graduation rate/disaggre	egatea graduation rate wi			Disaggr.	4	
	• at or above 90%.			Exceeds	4	1	4	
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.			Meets	3	0.75	-	
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.			Approaching	2	0.5	-	
	• below 65%.			Does Not Meet	1	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The district's dropout rate was:				1		16	
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.			Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35
Norkforce Readiness	at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-10 bath)			Meets		3	indicator)	
	• at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-10 b	baseline).		Approaching		2	1	
	above 10%.			Does Not Meet		1		
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The district's average Colo	orado ACT composite score was:						
	• at or above 22.			Exceeds		4	1	
	at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10 ba	aseline).		Meets		3	1	
	at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10 ba	aseline).		Approaching		2		
	• below 17.			Does Not Meet		1		
ıt-Points for each per	formance indicator		Cut-Points for accredita	ion category assignm	ent			
i e	Point: The district earned of the points eligible on this	Indicator				of the to	otal Framework points eligible.	
				• at or above 80%	earned .	טו נוופ ננ	rai Franiework points eligible.	
	at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		at or above 80%      at or above 64% - bel	014 900/			Distinction Accredited
Growth; Gaps •	at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framowork	• at or above 64% - bel				Improvement

# Districts on Turnaround or Priority Improvement

• below 37.5%

• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%

A district may not be accredited with a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education is required to restructure or close the district. The five consecutive years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the district is notified that it is Accredited with a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

5

Approaching

**Total Framework** 

**Points** 

• at or above 52% - below 64%

• at or above 42% - below 52%

• below 42%

Improvement

Priority Improvement

## 1-year vs. 3-year Report

Districts receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated District Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more districts to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small districts may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official accreditation category for the district: the one under which the district has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and accreditation rating. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

# Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

#### Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a district's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

## Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	175	165	167	176	165	167	175	165	167	133	135	138
15th percentile	59.26	58.87	57.14	57.99	34.46	18.30	38.48	42.37	32.85	29.46	28.57	30.27
50th percentile	71.51	70.50	71.53	70.51	50.00	32.16	54.72	56.36	48.61	48.00	45.60	48.93
90th percentile	84.37	83.57	84.78	84.60	68.84	52.06	69.66	72.27	67.56	69.72	69.09	70.39


## Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading Math				Writing		Science					
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	181	182	183	181	182	182	181	182	183	172	175	179
15th percentile	60.45	56.61	57.63	56.84	36.37	17.78	41.44	41.85	33.82	32.93	30.02	31.43
50th percentile	72.19	69.22	71.31	70.37	49.11	30.51	55.78	56.79	49.70	47.50	46.81	49.18
90th percentile	85.16	81.53	83.80	83.42	65.33	48.01	71.02	70.87	67.71	66.52	65.86	67.31

### Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this district compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the district to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

6



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the district met adequate growth. Districts that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; districts that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

DPF 2012 - 9030

## **Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness**

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

### State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

# <u>This District's</u> Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	51.2	56.1	55.3	56.5
Anticipated Year	2009	39.5	56.5	62.4	
of Graduation	2010	48.8	58.8		
	2011	44.3			

#### Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	52.9	66.7	63.6	65.2
Anticipated Year	2009	42.9	55.3	66	
of Graduation	2010	52.4	63		
	2011	41.5			

#### Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	44.8	53.1	51.4	54.3
Anticipated Year	2009	32.4	51.2	61.9	
of Graduation	2010	35.7	54.5		
	2011	36.1			

#### Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

#### **English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)**

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	55.6	58.8	
of Graduation	2010	43.8	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

#### State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

# <u>This District's</u> Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	51.2	56.1	55.3	56.5
Anticipated Year	2009	39.5	56.5	62.4	
of Graduation	2010	48.8	58.8		
	2011	44.3			
	Aggregated	46.1	57.1	58.8	56.5

#### Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	52.9	66.7	63.6	65.2
Anticipated Year	2009	42.9	55.3	66	
of Graduation	2010	52.4	63		
	2011	41.5			
	Aggregated	46.5	60.5	65.2	65.2

#### Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	44.8	53.1	51.4	54.3
Anticipated Year	2009	32.4	51.2	61.9	
of Graduation	2010	35.7	54.5		
	2011	36.1			
	Aggregated	36.9	52.9	57.1	54.3

#### Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	31.4	40	40	N<16

## **English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)**

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	55.6	58.8	
of Graduation	2010	43.8	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	34.7	52.5	46.2	N<16

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year DPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

7 DPF 2012 - 9030