Level: EMH

District: PUEBLO COUNTY 70 - 2700 (All - 1 Year')

Accredited with Improvement Plan

This is the accreditation category for the district. Districts are designated an accreditation category based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the accreditation category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Accred. w/Distinction	at or above 80%
Accredited	at or above 64% - below 80%
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	at or above 52% - below 64%
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	at or above 42% - below 52%
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	below 42%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For districts with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Meets	70.8%	(10.6 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Approaching	61.9%	(21.7 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	47.8%	(7.2 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Approaching	54.7%	(19.1 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation ³ N	leets 95% Participation Rate			

²Districts may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points

³Districts do not receive points for test participation. However, districts are assigned one accreditation category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for districts serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

58.6%

(58.6 out of 100 points)

Meets Requirements

earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

TOTAL

Safety⁴ Meets Requirements

⁴Districts do not receive points for finance and safety assurances. However, districts that do not meet requirements in at least one area default to Accredited with Priority Improvement (or remain Accredited with Turnaround Plan) until they meet requirements.

Test Participation Rates																
	% of Students Tested				Participation Rating				Students Tested				Total Students			
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.6%	99.8%	99.6%	99.7%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	1964	2307	1328	5599	1971	2311	1334	5616
Mathematics	99.7%	99.8%	99.7%	99.8%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	1962	2310	1330	5602	1967	2314	1334	5615
Writing	99.6%	99.8%	99.6%	99.7%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	1963	2307	1328	5598	1971	2311	1334	5616
Science	99.7%	99.4%	99.8%	99.7%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	674	782	655	2113	676	787	656	2119
Colorado ACT	-	-	100.0%	-	-	-	Meets	-	-	-	580	-	-	-	580	-





Daufaumanaa ludiaataua							Lovel, El	ana antam. Cabaal
Performance Indicators							Levei: Ei	ementary School
District: PUEBLO COUNTY 70 - 270							21.1.1.2	(1 Year)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	1885	75.17	63	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	1880	75.69	65	
Writing	3	4		Meets	1882	56	55	
Science	3	4		Meets	650	53.38	61	
Total	12	16	75%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	1181	50	26	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	1183	43	44	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	1181	49	41	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	1.5	2		Meets	109	49	46	Yes
Total	9.5	14	67.9%	Meets				
Acadomic Crowth Cana	Doints Faund	Dointe Fliaible	% Points	Datina	Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible		Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growins
Reading	11	20	55%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	526	45	34	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	415	50	30	Yes
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	124	35	67	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	58	42	43	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	276	48	61	No
Mathematics	7							
	<u> </u>	20	35%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4	35%	Does Not Meet	527	36	51	No
Minority Students	1 2	4	35%	Does Not Meet Approaching	417	40	49	No
Minority Students Students with Disabilities	1 2 1	4 4 4	35%	Does Not Meet Approaching Does Not Meet	417 126	40 36	49 74	No No
Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners	1 2 1 1	4 4 4 4	35%	Does Not Meet Approaching Does Not Meet Does Not Meet	417 126 60	40 36 33	49 74 58	No No No
Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up	1 2 1 1 2	4 4 4 4 4		Does Not Meet Approaching Does Not Meet Does Not Meet Approaching	417 126	40 36	49 74	No No
Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Writing	1 2 1 1 2 11	4 4 4 4 4 20	55%	Does Not Meet Approaching Does Not Meet Does Not Meet Approaching Approaching	417 126 60 253	40 36 33 43	49 74 58 76	No No No
Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Writing Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1 2 1 1 2 11 2	4 4 4 4 4 20 4		Does Not Meet Approaching Does Not Meet Does Not Meet Approaching Approaching Approaching	417 126 60 253	40 36 33 43	49 74 58 76	No No No No
Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Writing Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students	1 2 1 1 2 11 2 11 2 3	4 4 4 4 4 20 4		Does Not Meet Approaching Does Not Meet Does Not Meet Approaching Approaching Approaching Meets	417 126 60 253 524 416	40 36 33 43 44 49	49 74 58 76 48 45	No No No No No Yes
Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Writing Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities	1 2 1 1 2 11 2 11 2 3	4 4 4 4 4 20 4 4 4		Does Not Meet Approaching Does Not Meet Does Not Meet Approaching Approaching Approaching Meets Does Not Meet	417 126 60 253 524 416 125	40 36 33 43 44 44 49 33	49 74 58 76 48 45 73	No No No No Yes
Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Writing Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students	1 2 1 1 2 11 2 11 2 3	4 4 4 4 4 20 4		Does Not Meet Approaching Does Not Meet Does Not Meet Approaching Approaching Approaching Meets	417 126 60 253 524 416	40 36 33 43 44 49	49 74 58 76 48 45	No No No No No Yes

29

Total

60

48.3%

Approaching

Performance Indicators							Leve	el: Middle School
District: PUEBLO COUNTY 70 - 270	00							(1 Year)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	2198	73.29	63	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	2201	53.79	61	
Writing	3	4		Meets	2198	57.37	55	
Science	3	4		Meets	746	49.6	58	
Total	12	16	75%	Meets				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	2084	47	28	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	2086	44	65	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	2084	43	47	No
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	1.5	2		Meets	41	54	54	Yes
Total	8.5	14	60.7%	Approaching				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	824	42	37	Yes
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	828	40	32	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	189	46	77	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	72	49	57	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4						
		4		Approaching	574	51	63	No
Mathematics	9	20	45%	Approaching Approaching	574	51	63	No
Mathematics Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible		· ·	45%		574 824	51 41	63 74	No No
11 111	9	20	45%	Approaching	-			
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	9 2	20	45%	Approaching Approaching	824	41	74	No
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students	9 2 2	20 4 4	45%	Approaching Approaching Approaching	824 831	41 41	74 70	No No
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities	9 2 2 1	20 4 4 4	45%	Approaching Approaching Approaching Does Not Meet	824 831 189	41 41 36	74 70 96	No No No
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners	9 2 2 1 2	20 4 4 4 4	45%	Approaching Approaching Approaching Does Not Meet Approaching	824 831 189 72	41 41 36 41	74 70 96 83	No No No No
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up	9 2 2 1 2 2	20 4 4 4 4 4		Approaching Approaching Approaching Does Not Meet Approaching Approaching	824 831 189 72	41 41 36 41	74 70 96 83	No No No No

189

72

748

3

Does Not Meet

Approaching

Approaching

Approaching

Students with Disabilities

Students needing to catch up

English Learners

Total

1

2

2

27

4

4

4

60

45%

35

43

41

88

76

77

No

No

No

Performance Indicators							Le	vel: High Schoo
District: PUEBLO COUNTY 70 - 2700								(1 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	1275	71.45	49	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	1277	26.55	34	
Writing	3	4		Meets	1275	51.45	55	
Science	3	4		Meets	631	51.35	57	
Total	10	16	62.5%	Meets				
							Madian Adamsata Crawth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4	701 011165	Meets	1210	48	19	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	1211	41	92	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	1209	46	49	No
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	1	2		Approaching	25	52	67	No
Total	8	14	57.1%	Approaching	23	32	0,	110
Total			37.176	Approaching				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	13	20	65%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	434	49	28	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	405	48	24	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	93	44	93	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	35	57	52	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	348	49	70	No
Mathematics	8	20	40%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	435	40	98	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	404	43	97	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	94	39	99	No
English Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	35	38	99	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	724	41	99	No
Writing	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	434	44	70	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	405	43	58	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	93	38	99	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	35	46	84	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	507	45	89	No
Total .	30	60	50%	Approaching				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	2	4	,	Approaching	-	515 /628/728/688	79.2 /77.9/73.9/73.8%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	1.75	4	43.8%	Approaching		,,,	75.2, 77.3, 73.3, 73.070	
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.5	1		Approaching	7	213/ 235 /243/250	71.4/ 75.3 /66.3/68%	80%
Minority Students	0.5	1		Approaching		1 71 /169/214/184	71.4/75.5/ 66.5/65.7	80%
Students with Disabilities	0.5	1		Approaching		52/ 57 /61/ 57	65.4/57.9/60.7/ 75.4 %	80%
English Learners	0.25	1		Does Not Meet	N.	I<16/N<16/ 17 /18	-/-/ 58.8 /50%	80%
Dropout Rate	3	4		Meets		4995	2.1%	3.6%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	2	4		Approaching		580	19.8	20.0
colorado Aci composite score	-			Approaching		300	13.0	20.0

4

Scoring Guide Level: EMH

erformance Indicator	Scoring Guide			Rating	Point	Value	Total Possible per EMH Level	Framework Poi
,	The district's percentage of students scoring proficient or advance	ed was.						
	at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 by			Exceeds	Ĭ	4	16	
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile	•		Meets		3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile			Approaching		2	content area)	
7 territe verificati	below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baselii			Does Not Meet		1		
	If the district meets the median adequate student growth percent	·	ntile was:	Does not meet	TCAP	CELA		
	• at or above 60.	and its median student growin percen	tine tradi	Exceeds	4	2	14	
	below 60 but at or above 45.			Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each	
	below 45 but at or above 30.			Approaching	2	1	content area	
Academic	• below 30.			Does Not Meet	1	0.5	and 2 for	35
Growth	If the district does not meet the median adequate student growth	n nercentile and its median student arowt	h nercentile was:		TCAP	CELA	English	
Cionai	• at or above 70.	percentile and its median stadent growt	n percentile wasi	Exceeds	4	2	language	
	• below 70 but at or above 55.			Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)	
	below 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching	2	1.3	_ proficiency)	
	• below 40.			Does Not Meet	1	0.5	1	
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student grow	wth nercentile and its median student aro	wth nercentile was:	Does Not Meet		0.5		
	• at or above 60.	vin percentile and its median stadent gro	win percentile was.	Exceeds	Ι	4	†	
	below 60 but at or above 45.			Meets		3	†	
	below 45 but at or above 45. below 45 but at or above 30.			Approaching		2	60	
Academic	• below 30.			Does Not Meet		1	(4 for each of 5	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate stude	ent growth perceptile and its median stu	dent arowth nercentile was:	Does Not Meet		•	subgroups in 3	15
Growth Gaps	• at or above 70.	ent growth percentile and its median state	dent growth percentile was.	Exceeds	Ĭ	4	subject areas)	15
	below 70 but at or above 55.			Meets		3	Subject areas)	
	below 75 but at or above 35. below 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching		2	+	
	• below 40.			Does Not Meet		1	†	
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate: The	a district's graduation rate/disaggra	paated araduation rate we		Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.	e district's graduation rate, disaggre	gatea graduation rate wa		4	Disaggi.	4	
	• at or above 90%. • at or above 80% but below 90%.			Exceeds Meets	3	0.75	+	
	• at or above 80% but below 90%. • at or above 65% but below 80%.			Approaching	2	0.75	-	
	• below 65%.			Does Not Meet	1	0.25	+	
				Does Not Meet		0.25	16	
	Dropout Rate: The district's dropout rate was:			1		•	16	
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.			Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35
Norkforce Readiness	at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-10 bath)			Meets		3	indicator)	
	at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-10 by	baseline).		Approaching		2	1	
	• above 10%.			Does Not Meet		1		
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The district's average Colo	orado ACT composite score was:						
	at or above 22.			Exceeds		4]	
	at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10 ba	aseline).		Meets		3]	
	at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10 ba	aseline).		Approaching		2]	
	• below 17.			Does Not Meet		1		
t-Points for each per	formance indicator		Cut-Points for accreditat	tion category assignm	ent			
	Point: The district earned of the points eligible on this	Indicator				of the to	otal Framework points eligible.	
	at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 80%	carried .	. or the te	tar i tarriework points eligible.	Distinction
	at or above 87.5% at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets		• at or above 64% - bel	ow 80%			Accredited
Growth; Gaps	at of above 02.3/0 - Delow 07.3/0	ivieets	Total Framowork	• at or above 64% - bel				Improvement

Districts on Turnaround or Priority Improvement

• below 37.5%

• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%

A district may not be accredited with a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education is required to restructure or close the district. The five consecutive years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the district is notified that it is Accredited with a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

5

Approaching

Total Framework

Points

• at or above 52% - below 64%

• at or above 42% - below 52%

• below 42%

Improvement

Priority Improvement

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Districts receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated District Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more districts to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small districts may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official accreditation category for the district: the one under which the district has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and accreditation rating. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a district's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

		Reading		Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	175	165	167	176	165	167	175	165	167	133	135	138
15th percentile	59.26	58.87	57.14	57.99	34.46	18.30	38.48	42.37	32.85	29.46	28.57	30.27
50th percentile	71.51	70.50	71.53	70.51	50.00	32.16	54.72	56.36	48.61	48.00	45.60	48.93
90th percentile	84.37	83.57	84.78	84.60	68.84	52.06	69.66	72.27	67.56	69.72	69.09	70.39


Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

		Reading Math			Writing			Science				
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	181	182	183	181	182	182	181	182	183	172	175	179
15th percentile	60.45	56.61	57.63	56.84	36.37	17.78	41.44	41.85	33.82	32.93	30.02	31.43
50th percentile	72.19	69.22	71.31	70.37	49.11	30.51	55.78	56.79	49.70	47.50	46.81	49.18
90th percentile	85.16	81.53	83.80	83.42	65.33	48.01	71.02	70.87	67.71	66.52	65.86	67.31

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this district compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the district to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

6



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the district met adequate growth. Districts that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; districts that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

DPF 2012 - 2700

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

<u>This District's</u> Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	70.5	71.8	73.3	73.8
Anticipated Year	2009	68.3	71.2	73.9	
of Graduation	2010	73.8	77.9		
	2011	79.2			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

_			4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Ī		2008	59.8	64.7	66.9	68
	Anticipated Year	2009	59	63.2	66.3	
	of Graduation	2010	66.5	75.3		
		2011	71.4			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	57.6	60	60.8	61.4
Anticipated Year	2009	54.4	57.6	61.2	
of Graduation	2010	63.3	68.6		
	2011	71.9			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	29.3	53.4	71.9	75.4
Anticipated Year	2009	32.3	51.6	60.7	
of Graduation	2010	48.3	57.9		
	2011	65.4			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

	4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
2008	33.3	50	50	50
2009	52.9	58.8	58.8	
2010	47.1	N<16		
2011	N<16			
	2009 2010	2009 52.9 2010 47.1	2009 52.9 58.8 2010 47.1 N<16	2009 52.9 58.8 58.8 2010 47.1 N<16

State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

<u>This District's</u> Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	70.5	71.8	73.3	73.8
Anticipated Year	2009	68.3	71.2	73.9	
of Graduation	2010	73.8	77.9		
	2011	79.2			
	Aggregated	72.7	73.4	73.6	73.8

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	59.8	64.7	66.9	68
Anticipated Year	2009	59	63.2	66.3	
of Graduation	2010	66.5	75.3		
	2011	71.4			
	Aggregated	63.8	67.6	66.6	68

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	57.6	60	60.8	61.4
Anticipated Year	2009	54.4	57.6	61.2	
of Graduation	2010	63.3	68.6		
	2011	71.9			
	Aggregated	61.2	61.6	61	61.4

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	29.3	53.4	71.9	75.4
Anticipated Year	2009	32.3	51.6	60.7	
of Graduation	2010	48.3	57.9		
	2011	65.4			
	Aggregated	42.9	54.2	66.1	75.4

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	33.3	50	50	50
Anticipated Year	2009	52.9	58.8	58.8	
of Graduation	2010	47.1	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	47.6	56	54.3	50

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year DPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

7 DPF 2012 - 2700