District: PUEBLO CITY 60 - 2690

## Accredited w/Priority Improvement Plan

Will enter Year 3\* of Priority Improvement or Turnaround

This is the accreditation category for the district. Districts are designated an accreditation category based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the accreditation category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned				
Accred. w/Distinction	at or above 80%				
Accredited	at or above 64% - below 80%				
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	at or above 52% - below 64%				
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	at or above 42% - below 52%				
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	below 42%				

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For districts with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

\* on July 1, 2013

				•
Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible <sup>2</sup>	
Academic Achievement	Approaching	43.8%	( 6.6 out of 15 points )	
Academic Growth	Approaching	44.0%	( 15.4 out of 35 points )	<b></b>
Academic Growth Gaps	Does Not Meet	35.0%	(5.3 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Approaching	43.8%	(15.3 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation <sup>3</sup>	Meets 95% Participation Rate			
TOTAL		42.6%	( 42.6 out of 100 points )	

<sup>2</sup>Districts may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

<sup>3</sup>Districts do not receive points for test participation. However, districts are assigned one accreditation category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for districts serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Finance <sup>4</sup>	Meets Requirements
Safety <sup>4</sup>	Meets Requirements

<sup>4</sup>Districts do not receive points for finance and safety assurances. However, districts that do not meet requirements in at least one area default to Accredited with Priority Improvement (or remain Accredited with Turnaround Plan) until they meet requirements.

<b>Test Participation Rates</b>																
		% of Stude	ents Tested			Participat	tion Rating			Student	s Tested			Total St	udents	
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.6%	99.3%	98.7%	99.3%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	12498	10646	7364	30519	12548	10718	7463	30729
Mathematics	99.5%	99.4%	99.0%	99.4%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	12491	10657	7383	30549	12552	10719	7460	30731
Writing	99.6%	99.4%	98.7%	99.4%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	12498	10648	7368	30531	12546	10716	7463	30725
Science	99.6%	98.9%	98.5%	99.0%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	4096	3474	3595	11167	4113	3514	3648	11275
Colorado ACT	-	-	97.2%	-	-	-	Meets	-	-	-	3043	-	-	-	3131	-

Level: EMH

(All - 3 Year<sup>1</sup>)

Performance Indicators							Level: El	ementary Schoo
District: PUEBLO CITY 60 - 2690								(3 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	12091	68.01	32	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	12082	64.82	31	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	12076	49.72	34	
Science	2	4		Approaching	3968	33.69	16	
Total	8	16	50%	Approaching				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	7619	39	32	Yes
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	7639	36	50	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	7627	42	41	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	1.5	2		Meets	1197	51	38	Yes
Total	6.5	14	46.4%	Approaching				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	8	20	40%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	5514	37	35	Yes
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	5580	38	34	Yes
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	1019	33	55	No
English Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	617	37	41	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	2225	40	58	No
Mathematics	6	20	30%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	5533	34	53	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	5593	36	52	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	1024	31	66	No
English Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	639	37	59	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	2214	42	74	No
Writing	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	5522	40	45	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	5582	40	44	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	1020	35	69	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	624	41	51	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	3818	43	61	No
Total	23	60	38.3%	Approaching				

DPF 2012 - 2690

2

Performance Indicators							Leve	I: Middle School
District: PUEBLO CITY 60 - 2690								(3 Year)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	10241	59.3	22	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	10250	34.06	12	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	10249	45.81	19	
Science	2	4		Approaching	3339	31.63	16	
Total	7	16	43.8%	Approaching				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	9765	39	35	Yes
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	9790	29	74	No
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	9777	38	55	No
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	1	2		Approaching	358	43	47	No
Total	5	14	35.7%	Does Not Meet				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	5	20	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	7092	37	38	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	7039	37	38	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	1054	34	71	No
English Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	896	39	50	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	3727	39	64	No
Mathematics	5	20	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	7125	29	77	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	7059	29	76	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	1068	32	96	No
English Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	903	29	82	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	5414	33	91	No
Writing	6	20	30%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	7100	36	60	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	7048	37	58	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	1060	38	88	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	900	41	69	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	4898	39	80	No
Total	16	60	26.7%	Does Not Meet				

Performance Indicators							Lev	el: High Schoo
District: PUEBLO CITY 60 - 2690								(3 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	7074	60.69	20	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	7082	17.09	13	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	7079	41.39	31	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	3434	29.97	11	
Total	6	16	37.5%	Approaching				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	6643	46	27	Yes
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	6678	35	98	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	6656	42	62	No
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	1	2		Approaching	163	50	70	No
Total	7	14	50%	Approaching				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	4057	44	40	Yes
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	4235	44	36	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	564	42	93	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	568	45	52	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	2560	46	78	No
Mathematics	5	20	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	4092	34	99	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	4261	33	99	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	571	29	99	No
English Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	580	32	99	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	4908	36	99	No
Writing	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	4068	41	78	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	4246	40	72	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	565	39	99	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	575	40	85	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	3512	43	92	No
Total	24	60	40%	Approaching				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness		Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	2	4		Approaching	559	95/4105/ <b>2714</b> /1356	60.6/65.1/ <b>67.4</b> /66.5%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	1	4	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.25	1		Does Not Meet		40/2618/ <b>1710</b> /825	54.1/59.3/ <b>62.3</b> /60.8%	80%
Minority Students	0.25	1		Does Not Meet		97/2465/ <b>1617</b> /807	56.3/61.3/ <b>63.8</b> /62.7%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0.25	1		Does Not Meet		534/410/273/ <b>131</b>	21.7/38/54.6/ <b>64.1</b> %	80%
English Learners	0.25	1		Does Not Meet		305/239/ <b>157</b> /65	52.1/61.5/ <b>64.3</b> /55.4%	80%
Dropout Rate	2	4		Approaching		27143	5%	3.9%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	2	4		Approaching		3043	18	20.1
Total	7	16	43.8%	Approaching				

Scoring Guide

rformance Indicator	ormance Indicators on the District Performance Framewo			Rating	Point	Value	Total Possible per EMH Level	Framework Do
ijormance maicator				Kuting	Point	vulue	Totul Possible per Elvin Lever	FIGHEWORKPC
	The district's percentage of students scoring proficient or advance			Eveneda	r –	4	16	
Acadamia	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10	•		Exceeds		4 3	(4 for each	15
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Meets			- '	15
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile	1 0	ie).	Approaching		2	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseli	1		Does Not Meet		1		
	If the district meets the median adequate student growth percent • at or above 60.	tile and its median student growth pe	rcentile was:	Evenede	TCAP 4	CELA 2	14	
	<ul> <li>below 60 but at or above 45.</li> </ul>			Exceeds	4	1.5	-	
	<ul> <li>below 60 but at or above 45.</li> <li>below 45 but at or above 30.</li> </ul>			Meets	2		(4 for each	
• · · · • · ·				Approaching		1	content area	25
Academic	• below 30.			Does Not Meet	1	0.5	and 2 for	35
Growth	If the district does not meet the median adequate student growth	n percentile and its median student gr	owth percentile was:	F	TCAP	CELA	English	
	• at or above 70.			Exceeds	4	2	language	
	below 70 but at or above 55.		Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)		
	below 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching	2	1		
	• below 40.			Does Not Meet	1	0.5		
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student grow	vth percentile and its median student	growth percentile was:					
	• at or above 60.			Exceeds		4		
	below 60 but at or above 45.			Meets		3		
	<ul> <li>below 45 but at or above 30.</li> </ul>			Approaching		2	60	
Academic	• below 30.			Does Not Meet		1	(4 for each of 5	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate stud	ent growth percentile and its median	student growth percentile was:	<u>_</u>			subgroups in 3	15
	• at or above 70.			Exceeds		4	subject areas)	
	below 70 but at or above 55.			Meets		3		
	below 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching		2		
	• below 40.			Does Not Meet		1		
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate: Th	e district's araduation rate/disad	areaated araduation rate w	as:	Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.		, g g	Exceeds	4	1	-	
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.			Meets	3	0.75	-	
	at or above 65% but below 80%.			Approaching	2	0.5	-	
	below 65%.			Does Not Meet	1	0.25	-	
	Dropout Rate: The district's dropout rate was:			D des ride meet	-	0.25	16	
) ante a constant and	• at or below 1%.			Exceeds	1	4	(4 for each sub-	35
Postsecondary and							- '	35
orkforce Readiness	• at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-10 b			Meets		3	indicator)	
	• at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-10	baseline).		Approaching		2	4	
	• above 10%.			Does Not Meet		1		
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The district's average Col	orado ACT composite score was:		F	r		_	
	• at or above 22.			Exceeds		4		
	at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10 ba	-		Meets		3		
	at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10 ba	aseline).		Approaching		2		
	• below 17.			Does Not Meet		1		
-Points for each per	formance indicator		Cut-Points for accredita	tion category assignm	ent			
	Point: The district earned of the points eligible on this	Indicator.				of the to	otal Framework points eligible.	
		1		• at or above 80%	. carneu .	. or the tt		Distinction
	at or above 87.5%	Exceeds			our 80%			
· · · -	at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total From over th	at or above 64% - bel				Accredited
	at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Total Framework	• at or above 52% - bel				Improvement
•	below 37.5%	Does Not Meet	Points	<ul> <li>at or above 42% - bel</li> </ul>	ow 52%		Pr	ority Improveme

## Districts on Turnaround or Priority Improvement

A district may not be accredited with a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education is required to restructure or close the district. The five consecutive years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the district is notified that it is Accredited with a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

# Reference

## 1-year vs. 3-year Report

Districts receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated District Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more districts to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small districts may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official accreditation category for the district: the one under which the district has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and accreditation rating. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

# **Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators**

### Academic Achievement

	Percent of Stude	nts Profi	cient or A	dvance	d by Pero	centile Cu	t-Points	- 1-year	(2009-10	) baselin	e)		
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a district's			Reading		Math			Writing					
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes	N of Schools	175	165	167	176	165	167	175	165	167	133	135	138
results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading,	15th percentile	59.26	58.87	57.14	57.99	34.46	18.30	38.48	42.37	32.85	29.46	28.57	30.27
mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and	50th percentile	71.51	70.50	71.53	70.51	50.00	32.16	54.72	56.36	48.61	48.00	45.60	48.93
Escritura.	90th percentile	84.37	83.57	84.78	84.60	68.84	52.06	69.66	72.27	67.56	69.72	69.09	70.39
	Percent of Stude	nts Profi	cient or A	dvance	d by Perc	centile Cu	t-Points	- 3-year	aggregat	te (2008-	10 base	line)	
All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first			Reading			Math			Writing			Science	
year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
	N of Schools	181	182	183	181	182	182	181	182	183	172	175	179
	15th percentile	60.45	56.61	57.63	56.84	36.37	17.78	41.44	41.85	33.82	32.93	30.02	31.43

69.22

81.53

71.31

83.80

70.37

83.42

49.11

65.33

30.51

48.01

55.78

71.02

56.79

70.87

49.70

67.71

46.81

65.86

49.18

67.31

47.50

66.52

### Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps


The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this district compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the district to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

72.19

85.16

50th percentile

90th percentile



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the district met adequate growth. Districts that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; districts that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

### Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

#### State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate		
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6		
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9		

### <u>This District's</u> Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	56	63.3	64.8	66.5
Anticipated Year	2009	63.3	66.7	69.9	
of Graduation	2010	60.5	65.4		
	2011	62.9			

#### State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Average Score			
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0			
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1			

#### <u>This District's</u> Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	56	63.3	64.8	66.5
Anticipated Year	2009	63.3	66.7	69.9	
of Graduation	2010	60.5	65.4		
	2011	62.9			
	Aggregated	60.6	65.1	67.4	66.5

#### Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	48.7	57.1	59	60.8
Anticipated Year	2009	56.3	61.5	65.5	
of Graduation	2010	53.8	59.2		
	2011	57.3			
	Aggregated	54.1	59.3	62.3	60.8

#### Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	51.8	59.7	60.8	62.7
Anticipated Year	2009	58.9	63.2	66.8	
of Graduation	2010	56	60.9		
	2011	58.6			
	Aggregated	56.3	61.3	63.8	62.7
	Aggregated	56.3	61.3	63.8	62.7

#### Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	25.2	40.6	55.6	64.1
Anticipated Year	2009	22.7	38.5	53.6	
of Graduation	2010	22.6	35.1		
	2011	16			
	Aggregated	21.7	38	54.6	64.1

#### English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

0		1 00	-0/		
		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	42.4	51.5	53	55.4
Anticipated Year	2009	55.3	68.1	72.5	
of Graduation	2010	55.7	62		
	2011	53			
	Aggregated	52.1	61.5	64.3	55.4

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year DPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

#### Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	48.7	57.1	59	60.8
Anticipated Year	2009	56.3	61.5	65.5	
of Graduation	2010	53.8	59.2		
	2011	57.3			

#### Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	51.8	59.7	60.8	62.7
Anticipated Year	2009	58.9	63.2	66.8	
of Graduation	2010	56	60.9		
	2011	58.6			

#### Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	25.2	40.6	55.6	64.1
Anticipated Year	2009	22.7	38.5	53.6	
of Graduation	2010	22.6	35.1		
	2011	16			

#### **English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)**

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	42.4	51.5	53	55.4
Anticipated Year	2009	55.3	68.1	72.5	
of Graduation	2010	55.7	62		
	2011	53			