District: ASPEN 1 - 2640 (All - 3 Year')

Accredited with Distinction

This is the accreditation category for the district. Districts are designated an accreditation category based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the accreditation category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Accred. w/Distinction	at or above 80%
Accredited	at or above 64% - below 80%
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	at or above 52% - below 64%
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	at or above 42% - below 52%
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	below 42%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For districts with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Exceeds	95.8%	(14.4 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	84.5%	(29.6 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	75.0%	(11.3 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Exceeds	93.8%	(32.8 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			
TOTAL		88.1%	(88.1 out of 100 points)	

²Districts may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Districts do not receive points for test participation. However, districts are assigned one accreditation category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for districts serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Finance ⁴ Meets Requirements

Safety⁴ Meets Requirements

⁴Districts do not receive points for finance and safety assurances. However, districts that do not meet requirements in at least one area default to Accredited with Priority Improvement (or remain Accredited with Turnaround Plan) until they meet requirements.

Test Participation Rates																
		% of Stude	ents Tested			Participat	ion Rating			Students Tested				Total Students		
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.1%	99.4%	98.7%	99.1%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	1452	861	820	3133	1465	866	831	3162
Mathematics	99.3%	99.5%	98.7%	99.2%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	1457	863	820	3140	1467	867	831	3165
Writing	99.2%	99.8%	98.7%	99.2%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	1454	864	820	3138	1465	866	831	3162
Science	99.5%	98.8%	97.6%	98.6%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	369	419	405	1193	371	424	415	1210
Colorado ACT	-	-	98.6%	-	-	-	Meets	-	-	-	363	-	-	-	368	-





Performance Indicators							Level: E	ementary School
District: ASPEN 1 - 2640								(3 Year)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	1411	86.96	95	
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	1414	85.08	93	
Writing	3	4		Meets	1417	68.95	85	
Science	4	4		Exceeds	358	70.11	94	
Total	15	16	93.8%	Exceeds				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	Δ	701 011113	Meets	969	51	19	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	974	53	42	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	970	52	35	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	1.5	2		Meets	185	58	48	Yes
Total	10.5	14	75%	Meets	165	38	40	163
Iotai	10.5	14	73/6	ivieera				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Academic Growth Gaps Reading	Points Earned 14	Points Eligible 20	% Points 70%	Rating Meets	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					N 58	Percentile 47	Growth Percentile 30	Growth? Yes
Reading	14	20		Meets				
Reading Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	14 3	20		Meets Meets	58	47	30	Yes
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students	14 3 3	20 4 4		Meets Meets Meets	58 131	47 48	30 33	Yes Yes
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities	14 3 3 2	20 4 4 4		Meets Meets Meets Approaching	58 131 72	47 48 43	30 33 50	Yes Yes No
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners	14 3 3 2 3	20 4 4 4 4		Meets Meets Meets Meets Approaching Meets	58 131 72 138	47 48 43 52	30 33 50 35	Yes Yes No Yes
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up	14 3 3 2 3 3	20 4 4 4 4 4 4	70%	Meets Meets Meets Approaching Meets Meets Meets	58 131 72 138	47 48 43 52	30 33 50 35	Yes Yes No Yes
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Mathematics	14 3 3 2 3 3 10	20 4 4 4 4 4 4 20	70%	Meets Meets Meets Approaching Meets Meets Approaching	58 131 72 138 123	47 48 43 52 58	30 33 50 35 54	Yes Yes No Yes Yes
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Mathematics Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	14 3 3 2 3 3 10 2	20 4 4 4 4 4 4 20 4	70%	Meets Meets Meets Approaching Meets Meets Approaching Approaching	58 131 72 138 123	47 48 43 52 58	30 33 50 35 54	Yes Yes No Yes Yes No
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Mathematics Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students	14 3 3 2 3 3 10 2	20 4 4 4 4 4 20 4	70%	Meets Meets Meets Approaching Meets Meets Approaching Approaching Approaching	58 131 72 138 123 60 132	47 48 43 52 58 42 54	30 33 50 35 54 51 56	Yes Yes No Yes Yes No No No
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Mathematics Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities	14 3 3 2 3 3 10 2 2 1	20 4 4 4 4 4 20 4 4	70%	Meets Meets Meets Approaching Meets Meets Approaching Approaching Approaching Approaching Does Not Meet	58 131 72 138 123 60 132 74	47 48 43 52 58 42 54 39	30 33 50 35 54 51 56 62	Yes Yes No Yes Yes No No No
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Mathematics Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners	14 3 3 2 3 3 10 2 2 1 2	20 4 4 4 4 4 20 4 4 4 4	70%	Meets Meets Meets Approaching Meets Meets Approaching Approaching Approaching Approaching Does Not Meet Approaching	58 131 72 138 123 60 132 74 139	47 48 43 52 58 42 54 39 50	30 33 50 35 54 51 56 62 54	Yes Yes No Yes Yes No No No No
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Mathematics Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up	14 3 3 2 3 3 10 2 2 1 2 3 3	20 4 4 4 4 4 20 4 4 4 4 4	70% 50%	Meets Meets Meets Approaching Meets Meets Approaching Approaching Approaching Approaching Approaching Does Not Meet Approaching Meets	58 131 72 138 123 60 132 74 139	47 48 43 52 58 42 54 39 50	30 33 50 35 54 51 56 62 54	Yes Yes No Yes Yes No No No No
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Mathematics Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Writing	14 3 3 2 3 3 10 2 2 1 2 3 16	20 4 4 4 4 20 4 4 4 4 4 20	70% 50%	Meets Meets Meets Approaching Meets Meets Approaching Approaching Approaching Approaching Approaching Meets Meets Meets Meets Meets	58 131 72 138 123 60 132 74 139 129	47 48 43 52 58 42 54 39 50 66	30 33 50 35 54 51 56 62 54 70	Yes Yes No Yes Yes No No No No No No
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Mathematics Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Writing Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	14 3 3 2 3 3 10 2 2 1 2 3 16 4	20 4 4 4 4 4 20 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	70% 50%	Meets Meets Meets Approaching Meets Meets Approaching Approaching Approaching Approaching Does Not Meet Approaching Meets Meets Exceeds	58 131 72 138 123 60 132 74 139 129	47 48 43 52 58 42 54 39 50 66	30 33 50 35 54 51 56 62 54 70	Yes Yes Yes No Yes Yes No No No No No No No Yes

Exceeds

Meets

293

2

Students needing to catch up

Total

4

40

4

60

66.7%

61

57

Yes

Performance Indicators							Level	: Middle Scho
District: ASPEN 1 - 2640								(3 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	838	86.04	96	
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	839	77.47	98	
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	841	78.48	95	
Science	4	4		Exceeds	408	77.21	98	
Total	16	16	100%	Exceeds				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	771	59	19	Yes
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	779	67	54	Yes
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	777	60	39	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	2	2		Exceeds	28	62	45	Yes
Total	13	14	92.9%	Exceeds				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	20	20	100%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	58	63	40	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	105	63	35	Yes
Students with Disabilities	4	4		Exceeds	79	70	47	Yes
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	86	62	37	Yes
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	113	71	57	Yes
Mathematics	17	20	85%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	59	60	73	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	106	68	76	No
Students with Disabilities	4	4		Exceeds	82	75	80	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	85	67	76	No
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	158	74	88	No
Writing	16	20	80%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	58	52	67	No
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	106	64	60	Yes
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	81	68	73	No
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	85	64	61	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4	-	Meets	179	68	74	No
	53	60	88.3%	Exceeds				

3

Performance Indicators							Le	vel: High Schoo
District: ASPEN 1 - 2640								(3 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	819	88.4	95	
Mathematics	4	4	1	Exceeds	819	52.26	94	
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	819	74.36	97	
Science	3	4	1	Meets	405	65.93	88	
Total	15	16	93.8%	Exceeds				
							Madian Adamsta Crowth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	4	4	701 011103	Exceeds	726	60	7	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	724	56	57	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	727	57	25	
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	2	2		Exceeds	30	71		Yes Yes
			05 70/		30	71	54	163
Total	12	14	85.7%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	19	20	95%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	34	56	33	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	107	64	24	Yes
Students with Disabilities	4	4		Exceeds	49	60	41	Yes
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	85	64	25	Yes
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	82	73	74	No
Mathematics .	12	20	60%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	36	50	99	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	106	49	97	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	50	42	99	No
English Learners	3	4	1	Meets	85	57	95	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	252	56	98	No
Writing	11	20	55%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4	30/0	Approaching	35	50	75	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	107	50	58	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	49	51	87	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	85	50	59	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	166	62	82	No
Total	42	60	70%	Meets	100		92	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	4	4	70 F UIIILS	Exceeds		536/409/266/ 135	94/95.6/95.9/ 96.3 %	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	3	4	75%	Meets		550/ 4 05/200/ 135	J4/ 33.0/ 33.3/ 30.3 //	0070
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.5	1	13/0	Approaching		23/ 16 /N<16/N<16	69.6/ 75 /-/-%	80%
Minority Students	0.75	<u>1</u> 1		Meets		68/ 49 /36/N<16	76.5/ 85.7 /83.3/-%	80%
Students with Disabilities						· · ·		
	0.75	1		Exceeds		26 /17/N<16/N<16 48/ 33 /23/N<16	92.3 /88.2/-/-%	80%
English Learners	0.75 4	1 4		Meets Exceeds		48/ 33 /23/N<16 2701	79.2/ 87.9 /82.6/-% 0.4%	80% 3.9%
Dropout Rate								
Colorado ACT Composite Score	4	4	00.00/	Exceeds		363	23.1	20.1
Total	15	16	93.8%	Exceeds				

4

Scoring Guide Level: EMH

formance Indicator	Scoring Guide		Rating	Poin	t Value	Total Possible per EMH Level	Framework Po
<u> </u>	The district's percentage of students scoring proficient or advance	d was:	, ,	_		·	
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 b		Exceeds		4	16	
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile		Meets		3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile		Approachi	ng	2	content area)	
710	below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseling)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Does Not M	<u> </u>	1	_	
	If the district meets the median adequate student growth percenti		2000110111	TCAP	CELA		
	• at or above 60.		Exceeds	4	2	14	
	below 60 but at or above 45.		Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each	
	below 45 but at or above 30.		Approachi	ıg 2	1	content area	
Academic	• below 30.		Does Not M		0.5	and 2 for	35
	If the district does not meet the median adequate student growth	nercentile and its median student arowth percentile		TCAP	CELA	English	
Growth	• at or above 70.	percentile una les median stadent growth percentile	Exceeds	4	2	language	
	• below 70 but at or above 55.		Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)	
	below 55 but at or above 40.		Approachi	_	1	_ proficiency)	
	• below 40.		Does Not M	9	0.5	-	
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student grow	th percentile and its median student arowth percen					
	• at or above 60.	an percentile and its median stadent growth percent	Exceeds	1	4		
	below 60 but at or above 45.		Meets		3	1	
	• below 45 but at or above 30.		Approachi	ng .	2	60	
Academic	• below 30.		Does Not M		1	(4 for each of 5	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate stude	ent arouth percentile and its median student arouth				subgroups in 3	15
Growth Gaps	• at or above 70.	the growth percentile and its median stadent growth	Exceeds		4	subject areas)	15
	below 70 but at or above 55.		Meets		3	3ubject areasy	
	below 55 but at or above 40.		Approachi	ισ	2	1	
	• below 40.		Does Not M	9	1	-	
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate: The	e district's araduation rate/disaggregated are		Overall	<u> </u>		
	• at or above 90%.	district's graduation rate, alsaggregated gra	Exceeds	4	1	-	
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.		Meets	3	0.75	-	
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.		Approachi		0.73	-	i
	• below 65%.		Does Not N	9	0.25	-	
	Dropout Rate: The district's dropout rate was:		DOCS NOT IV		0.23	16	
			Evende	1	4		35
ostsecondary and	• at or below 1%.	P. A	Exceeds		· ·	(4 for each sub-	35
orkforce Readiness	• at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-10 ba		Meets		3	indicator)	
	at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-10 below 10%)	paseline).	Approachi	<u> </u>	2	-	
	• above 10%.		Does Not N	eet	1		
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The district's average Colo	orado ACT composite score was:		<u> </u>		4	
	at or above 22.		Exceeds		4	_	
	at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10 bar		Meets		3	_	
	at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10 bar	seline).	Approachi	~	2	_	
	• below 17.		Does Not N	eet	1		
oints for each perf	ormance indicator	Cut-Poin	s for accreditation category assig	nment			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Point: The district earned of the points eligible on this				of the to	otal Framework points eligible.	
	t or above 87.5%	Exceeds	• at or above 80%			, and a second	Distinction
	t or above 62.5% - helow 87.5%	Moots	• at or above 64% -	helow 80%			Accredited

Cut-Points for each	performance indicator		Cut-Points for accreditation category assignment					
	Cut Point: The district earned of the points eligible on this	Indicator.	Cut Point: The district earned of the total Framework points eligible.					
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 80%	Distinction			
Growth; Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets		• at or above 64% - below 80%	Accredited			
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Total Framework	• at or above 52% - below 64%	Improvement			
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet	Points	• at or above 42% - below 52%	Priority Improvement			
				• below 42%	Turnaround			

Districts on Turnaround or Priority Improvement

A district may not be accredited with a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education is required to restructure or close the district. The five consecutive years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the district is notified that it is Accredited with a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

5

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Districts receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated District Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more districts to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small districts may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official accreditation category for the district: the one under which the district has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and accreditation rating. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a district's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading				Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
N of Schools	175	165	167	176	165	167	175	165	167	133	135	138	
15th percentile	59.26	58.87	57.14	57.99	34.46	18.30	38.48	42.37	32.85	29.46	28.57	30.27	
50th percentile	71.51	70.50	71.53	70.51	50.00	32.16	54.72	56.36	48.61	48.00	45.60	48.93	
90th percentile	84.37	83.57	84.78	84.60	68.84	52.06	69.66	72.27	67.56	69.72	69.09	70.39	


Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading				Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
N of Schools	181	182	183	181	182	182	181	182	183	172	175	179	
15th percentile	60.45	56.61	57.63	56.84	36.37	17.78	41.44	41.85	33.82	32.93	30.02	31.43	
50th percentile	72.19	69.22	71.31	70.37	49.11	30.51	55.78	56.79	49.70	47.50	46.81	49.18	
90th percentile	85.16	81.53	83.80	83.42	65.33	48.01	71.02	70.87	67.71	66.52	65.86	67.31	

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this district compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the district to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

6



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the district met adequate growth. Districts that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; districts that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

DPF 2012 - 2640

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

<u>This District's</u> Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	95.6	96.3	96.3	96.3
Anticipated Year	2009	93.8	94.7	95.4	
of Graduation	2010	95.1	95.8		
	2011	91.4			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	76.2	85.7	85.7	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	68.4			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

<u>This District's</u> Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	95.6	96.3	96.3	96.3
Anticipated Year	2009	93.8	94.7	95.4	
of Graduation	2010	95.1	95.8		
	2011	91.4			
	Aggregated	94	95.6	95.9	96.3

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	69.6	75	N<16	N<16

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	76.2	85.7	85.7	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	68.4			
	Aggregated	76.5	85.7	83.3	N<16

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	92.3	88.2	N<16	N<16

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	79.2	87.9	82.6	N<16

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year DPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

7 DPF 2012 - 2640