#### District Performance Framework 2012

#### District: WIGGINS RE-50(J) - 2515

# Accredited

This is the accreditation category for the district. Districts are designated an accreditation category based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the accreditation category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned				
Accred. w/Distinction	at or above 80%				
Accredited	at or above 64% - below 80%				
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	at or above 52% - below 64%				
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	at or above 42% - below 52%				
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	below 42%				

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For districts with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

				ţ •
Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible <sup>2</sup>	
Academic Achievement	Meets	66.7%	( 10.0 out of 15 points )	
Academic Growth	Meets	69.7%	( 24.4 out of 35 points )	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	60.9%	(9.1 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Meets	78.6%	( 27.5 out of 35 points )	
Test Participation <sup>3</sup>	Meets 95% Participation Rate			
TOTAL		71.0%	(71.0 out of 100 points )	

<sup>2</sup>Districts may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

<sup>3</sup>Districts do not receive points for test participation. However, districts are assigned one accreditation category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for districts serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Finance <sup>₄</sup>	Meets Requirements
Safety <sup>4</sup>	Meets Requirements

<sup>4</sup>Districts do not receive points for finance and safety assurances. However, districts that do not meet requirements in at least one area default to Accredited with Priority Improvement (or remain Accredited with Turnaround Plan) until they meet requirements.

Test Participation Rates																
% of Students Tested				Participation Rating			Students Tested					Total Students				
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	100.0%	100.0%	99.5%	99.9%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	308	343	220	871	308	343	221	872
Mathematics	100.0%	100.0%	99.5%	99.9%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	308	343	220	871	308	343	221	872
Writing	100.0%	100.0%	99.5%	99.9%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	308	343	220	871	308	343	221	872
Science	100.0%	100.0%	99.2%	99.7%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	102	112	117	331	102	112	118	332
Colorado ACT	-	-	97.3%	-	-	-	Meets	-	-	-	109	-	-	-	112	-

Level: EMH

(All - 3 Year<sup>1</sup>)

Performance Indicators							Level: Ele	ementary Schoo
District: WIGGINS RE-50(J) - 2515								(3 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	293	81.57	81	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	294	79.25	78	
Writing	3	4		Meets	293	61.09	70	
Science	3	4		Meets	99	55.56	69	
Total	12	16	75%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	187	61	27	Yes
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	188	65	45	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	187	57	40	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	1.5	2		Meets	103	55	47	Yes
Total	12.5	14	89.3%	Exceeds				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	14	16	87.5%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	95	56	34	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	54	58	39	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	46	62	38	Yes
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	39	67	57	Yes
Mathematics	13	16	81.3%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	96	64	52	Yes
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	55	52	60	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	47	60	57	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	40	65	71	No
Writing	11	16	68.8%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	95	55	43	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	54	51	51	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	46	51	52	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	78	58	59	No
Total	38	48	79.2%	Meets				

2

Performance Indicators							Lev	el: Middle Schoo
District: WIGGINS RE-50(J) - 2515								(3 Yeai
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	327	77.68	81	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	326	57.06	72	
Writing	3	4		Meets	327	64.83	75	
Science	2	4		Approaching	108	46.3	48	
Total	11	16	68.8%	Meets				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	315	52	26	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	317	53	67	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	315	54	43	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	11	20	55%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	155	52	33	Yes
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	62	39	36	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	21	53	60	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	57	37	37	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	67	52	62	No
Mathematics	12	20	60%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	156	53	74	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	63	53	80	No
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	21	64	95	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	58	54	81	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	115	64	90	No
Writing	12	20	60%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	155	50	54	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	62	49	61	No
Students with Disabilities	4	4		Exceeds	21	71	84	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	57	44	69	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	103	50	80	No
Total	35	60	58.3%	Approaching				

3

Performance Indicators							Le	vel: High Schoo
District: WIGGINS RE-50(J) - 2515								(3 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	208	68.75	41	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	208	26.44	36	
Writing	3	4		Meets	208	52.88	57	
Science	2	4		Approaching	108	39.81	26	
Total	9	16	56.3%	Approaching				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	2	4	/01/01/10	Approaching	200	44	22	Yes
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	200	38	93	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	200	53	54	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	6	12	50%	Approaching	11<20		-	
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	6	16	37.5%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	104	43	25	Yes
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	55	39	42	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	41	38	47	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	57	51	76	No
Mathematics	6	16	37.5%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	104	37	94	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	55	40	99	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	41	40	99	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	120	39	99	No
Writing	10	16	62.5%	Meets	120			
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4	02.3/0	Approaching	104	53	66	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	55	60	75	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	2	4	1	Approaching	41	54	78	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	92	55	85	No
Total	22	48	45.8%	Approaching	52		03	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	4	4		Exceeds		157/123/77/ <b>32</b>	84.7/86.2/84.4/ <b>93.8</b> %	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	1	2	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.5	1		Approaching		71/ <b>53</b> /33/N<16	77.5/ <b>79.2</b> /78.8/-%	80%
Minority Students	0.5	1		Approaching		32/ <b>27</b> /17/N<16	75/ <b>77.8</b> /70.6/-%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-		.6/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
English Learners	0	0		-	N<1	.6/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
Dropout Rate	4	4	1	Exceeds		833	0.6%	3.9%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	2	4		Approaching		109	18.8	20.1
Total	11	14	78.6%	Meets				

Scoring Guide

formance Indicator	Scoring Guide			Rating	Point	: Value	Total Possible per EMH Level	Framework Poi
•	The district's percentage of students scoring proficient or advance	ed was:			!		·	
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 l			Exceeds		4	16	
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile	e of all schools (using 2009-10 baselin	e).	Meets		3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile	e of all schools (using 2009-10 baselin	e).	Approaching		2	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseli		-,	Does Not Meet		1	1	
	If the district meets the median adequate student growth percent	•	rcentile was:		ТСАР	CELA		
	• at or above 60.	<u> </u>		Exceeds	4	2	14	
	below 60 but at or above 45.			Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each	
	below 45 but at or above 30.			Approaching	2	1	content area	
Academic	• below 30.			Does Not Meet	1	0.5	and 2 for	35
Growth	If the district does not meet the median adequate student growth	percentile and its median student ar	owth percentile was:		ТСАР	CELA	English	
	• at or above 70.			Exceeds	4	2	language	
	below 70 but at or above 55.			Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)	
	below 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching	2	1		
	• below 40.			Does Not Meet	1	0.5	-	
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student grow	vth percentile and its median student	growth percentile was:					
	• at or above 60.	•		Exceeds	1	4		
	below 60 but at or above 45.			Meets		3		
	below 45 but at or above 30.			Approaching		2	60	
Academic	• below 30.			Does Not Meet	et 1		(4 for each of 5	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate stud	ent growth percentile and its median	student growth percentile was:	•			subgroups in 3	15
	• at or above 70.	5 ,		Exceeds		4	subject areas)	
	below 70 but at or above 55.			Meets	3		,	
	below 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching		2		
	• below 40.			Does Not Meet		1		
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate: Th	e district's araduation rate/disad	areaated araduation rate w	as:	Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.			Exceeds	4	1	-	
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.			Meets	3	0.75		
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.			Approaching	2	0.5	-	
	• below 65%.			Does Not Meet	1	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The district's dropout rate was:					-	16	
ostsecondary and	• at or below 1%.			Exceeds	1	4	(4 for each sub-	35
orkforce Readiness	• at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-10 b.	aseline)		Meets		3	indicator)	
orkiorce Reduitess	<ul> <li>at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-10)</li> <li>at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-10)</li> </ul>	•		Approaching		2	indicatory	
	above 10%.	baseline).		Does Not Meet		1	-	
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The district's average Col	orado ACT composita scora was:		Does Not Micer		1		
	• at or above 22.	orduo Act composite score wus.		Evenede	r	4	-	
		ventine)		Exceeds Meets		3	4	
	• at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10 ba	-		Approaching		2	4	
	<ul> <li>at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10 bate below 17.</li> </ul>	iseline).		Does Not Meet		1	4	
						1		
	formance indicator		Cut-Points for accredita					
Cut	Point: The district earned of the points eligible on this	Indicator.		Cut Point: The district	earned .	of the to	otal Framework points eligible.	
chievement;	at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 80%				Distinction
Growth; Gaps	at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets		• at or above 64% - bel	ow 80%			Accredited
	at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Total Framework	• at or above 52% - bel	ow 64%			Improvement
	below 37.5%	Does Not Meet	Points	• at or above 42% - bel	OW E 29/		Dri	iority Improveme

## Districts on Turnaround or Priority Improvement

A district may not be accredited with a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education is required to restructure or close the district. The five consecutive years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the district is notified that it is Accredited with a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

# Reference

# 1-year vs. 3-year Report

Districts receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated District Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more districts to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small districts may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official accreditation category for the district: the one under which the district has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and accreditation rating. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

# **Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators**

# Academic Achievement

	Percent of Stude	nts Profi	cient or A	dvanced	d by Perc	centile Cu	it-Points	- 1-year	· (2009-10	) baselin	e)		
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a district's		Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and	N of Schools	175	165	167	176	165	167	175	165	167	133	135	138
	15th percentile	59.26	58.87	57.14	57.99	34.46	18.30	38.48	42.37	32.85	29.46	28.57	30.27
	50th percentile	71.51	70.50	71.53	70.51	50.00	32.16	54.72	56.36	48.61	48.00	45.60	48.93
Escritura.	90th percentile	84.37	83.57	84.78	84.60	68.84	52.06	69.66	72.27	67.56	69.72	69.09	70.39
	Percent of Stude	nts Profi	cient or A	dvanced	d by Perc	centile Cu	t-Points	- 3-year	aggrega	te (2008-	-10 base	line)	
All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first			Reading			Math			Writing			Science	
year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
	N of Schools	181	182	183	181	182	182	181	182	183	172	175	179
	15th percentile	60.45	56.61	57.63	56.84	36.37	17.78	41.44	41.85	33.82	32.93	30.02	31.43

69.22

81.53

71.31

83.80

70.37

83.42

49.11

65.33

30.51

48.01

55.78

71.02

56.79

70.87

49.70

67.71

47.50

66.52

46.81

65.86

49.18

67.31

## Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps


The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this district compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the district to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

72.19

85.16

50th percentile

90th percentile



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the district met adequate growth. Districts that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; districts that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

## Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

#### State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate		
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6		
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9		

### <u>This District's</u> Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	90.6	93.8	93.8	93.8
Anticipated Year	2009	77.8	77.8	77.8	
of Graduation	2010	89.1	89.1		
	2011	82.4			

### State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

### <u>This District's</u> Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	90.6	93.8	93.8	93.8
Anticipated Year	2009	77.8	77.8	77.8	
of Graduation	2010	89.1	89.1		
	2011	82.4			
	Aggregated	84.7	86.2	84.4	93.8

#### Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	72.7	72.7	72.7	
of Graduation	2010	80	80		
	2011	72.2			
	Aggregated	77.5	79.2	78.8	N<16

### Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

4-ye	ar 5-yea	ir 6-year	7-year	
3 N<1	.6 N<16	5 N<16	N<16	
€ N<1	.6 N<16	5 N<16		
) N<1	.6 N<16	5		
1 N<1	.6			
ated 75	77.8	70.6	N<16	
	8 N<1 9 N<1 0 N<1 1 N<1	N<16	8         N<16	

#### Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

#### English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		1 00	-0		
		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year DPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

#### Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	72.7	72.7	72.7	
of Graduation	2010	80	80		
	2011	72.2			

#### Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

#### Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

#### **English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)**

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			