#### District Performance Framework 2012

District: VALLEY RE-1 - 1828

# **Accredited with Improvement Plan**

This is the accreditation category for the district. Districts are designated an accreditation category based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the accreditation category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Accred. w/Distinction	at or above 80%
Accredited	at or above 64% - below 80%
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	at or above 52% - below 64%
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	at or above 42% - below 52%
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	below 42%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For districts with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

				(//// 0/166
Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible <sup>2</sup>	
Academic Achievement	Meets	70.8%	( 10.6 out of 15 points )	
Academic Growth	Approaching	61.9%	(21.7 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	50.0%	(7.5 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Approaching	53.1%	( 18.6 out of 35 points )	
Test Participation <sup>3</sup>	Meets 95% Participation Rate			
TOTAL		58.4%	( 58.4 out of 100 points )	

<sup>2</sup>Districts may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

<sup>3</sup>Districts do not receive points for test participation. However, districts are assigned one accreditation category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for districts serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Finance <sup>4</sup>	Meets Requirements	
Safety⁴	Meets Requirements	

<sup>4</sup>Districts do not receive points for finance and safety assurances. However, districts that do not meet requirements in at least one area default to Accredited with Priority Improvement (or remain Accredited with Turnaround Plan) until they meet requirements.

<b>Test Participation Rates</b>																
		% of Stud	ents Tested			Participa	tion Rating			Studen	ts Tested			Total	Students	
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.4%	99.7%	98.9%	99.5%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	1577	1521	1075	4177	1586	1526	1087	4199
Mathematics	98.9%	99.5%	98.9%	99.3%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	1564	1517	1077	4168	1582	1525	1089	4196
Writing	98.9%	99.5%	98.7%	99.2%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	1565	1520	1075	4164	1582	1527	1089	4198
Science	99.2%	99.4%	98.5%	99.3%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	521	539	523	1587	525	542	531	1598
Colorado ACT	-	-	98.4%	-	-	-	Meets	-	-	-	481	-	-	-	489	-

Level: EMH

(All - 3 Year<sup>1</sup>)

Performance Indicators							Level: El	ementary School
District: VALLEY RE-1 - 1828								(3 Year)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	1513	69.07	37	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	1502	73.9	62	
Writing	3	4		Meets	1497	56.45	52	
Science	3	4		Meets	503	49.7	52	
Total	11	16	68.8%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
			% PUIIIIS		973			
Reading	3	4		Meets		46	30	Yes
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	967	35	43	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	961	42	38	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	1.5	2	F3 (%)	Meets	143	48	44	Yes
Total	7.5	14	53.6%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	12	20	60%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	526	45	36	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	268	46	37	Yes
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	95	30	64	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	93	46	41	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	286	44	59	No
Mathematics	5	20	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	518	34	50	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	265	33	51	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	95	25	67	No
English Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	93	32	54	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	219	35	73	No
Writing	6	20	30%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	516	38	43	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	263	44	43	Yes
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	92	26	70	No
English Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	93	39	48	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	424	39	59	No
Total	23	60	38.3%	Approaching				

2

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the district does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

Performance Indicators							Leve	el: Middle Schoo
District: VALLEY RE-1 - 1828								(3 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	· · ·
Reading	3	4		Meets	1465	69.35	50	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	1465	52.49	57	
Writing	3	4		Meets	1466	56.82	50	
Science	2	4		Approaching	518	43.63	41	
Total	11	16	68.8%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
	3	4	78 F 01113	Meets	1385	45	29	Yes
Reading Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	1385	45	67	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	1382	49	46	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	1.5	2		Meets	33	52	40	Yes
Total	9.5	14	67.9%	Meets	33	J2	43	165
	3.5	14	07.578	Wieets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	11	20	55%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	670	45	40	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	394	45	41	Yes
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	153	39	79	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	136	43	48	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	399	48	67	No
Mathematics	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	678	48	77	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	392	51	76	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	153	39	97	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	136	52	79	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	565	52	92	No
Writing	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	666	43	61	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	393	41	61	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	152	34	87	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	136	42	71	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	535	43	79	No
Total	29	60	48.3%	Approaching				

Performance Indicators							Le	vel: High School
District: VALLEY RE-1 - 1828								(3 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	•
Reading	3	4		Meets	1041	71.76	52	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	1044	33.33	59	
Writing	3	4		Meets	1041	54.76	63	
Science	3	4		Meets	512	51.37	54	
Total	12	16	75%	Meets				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	985	51	19	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	985	53	90	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	984	54	48	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	1	2		Approaching	23	42	67	No
Total	9	14	64.3%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	14	20	70%	Meets			Growth refeetute	
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4	7078		396	51	34	Yes
	3	4 4		Meets	277	51	29	
Minority Students Students with Disabilities	2	4 4		Meets	104	55	96	Yes No
	3	4		Approaching	73	52		
English Learners	3	4 4		Meets	289	52	45 76	Yes
Students needing to catch up	-		659/	Meets	289		76	No
Mathematics	13	20	65%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	390	54	98	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	277	55	98	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	103	51	99	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	73	56	99	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	560	60	99	No
Writing	11	20	55%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	395	52	73	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	277	50	65	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	103	47	99	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	73	52	81	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	430	58	92	No
Total	38	60	63.3%	Meets				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	2	4		Approaching	-	780/580/ <b>379</b> /201	73.1/74.3/ <b>75.5</b> /73.6%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	1.5	4	37.5%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.5	1		Approaching		317/237/147/ <b>80</b>	63.1/65.4/66.7/ <b>68.8</b> %	80%
Minority Students	0.25	1		Does Not Meet		148/114/70/ <b>41</b>	60.8/58.8/60/ <b>61</b> %	80%
Students with Disabilities	0.25	1		Does Not Meet		90/61/39/ <b>23</b>	54.4/50.8/51.3/ <b>60.9</b> %	80%
English Learners	0.5	1		Approaching	16	5/N<16/N<16/N<16	<b>68.8</b> /-/-/-%	80%
Dropout Rate	3	4		Meets		3674	1.8%	3.9%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	2	4		Approaching		481	19.2	20.1
Total	8.5	16	53.1%	Approaching				

4

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the district does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

Scoring Guide

rformance Indicator	ormance Indicators on the District Performance Framewo			Rating	Point	Value	Total Possible per EMH Level	Framework Do
ijormance maicator				Kuting	Point	vulue	Totul Possible per Elvin Lever	FIGHEWORKPC
	The district's percentage of students scoring proficient or advance			Eveneda	r	4	16	
Acadamia	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10	•		Exceeds		4 3	(4 for each	15
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Meets			- '	15
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile	1 0	ie).	Approaching		2	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseli	1		Does Not Meet		1		
	If the district meets the median adequate student growth percent • at or above 60.	tile and its median student growth pe	rcentile was:	Evenede	TCAP 4	CELA 2	14	
	<ul> <li>below 60 but at or above 45.</li> </ul>			Exceeds	4	1.5	-	
	<ul> <li>below 60 but at or above 45.</li> <li>below 45 but at or above 30.</li> </ul>			Meets	2		(4 for each	
• · · · • · ·				Approaching		1	content area	25
Academic	• below 30.		Does Not Meet	1	0.5	and 2 for	35	
Growth	If the district does not meet the median adequate student growth	n percentile and its median student gr	owth percentile was:	F	TCAP	CELA	English	
	• at or above 70.			Exceeds	4	2	language	
	below 70 but at or above 55.			Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)	
	below 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching	2	1		
	• below 40.			Does Not Meet	1	0.5		
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student grow	vth percentile and its median student	growth percentile was:					
	• at or above 60.			Exceeds		4		
	below 60 but at or above 45.			Meets		3		
	<ul> <li>below 45 but at or above 30.</li> </ul>			Approaching		2	60	
Academic	• below 30.			Does Not Meet		1	(4 for each of 5	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate stud	ent growth percentile and its median	student growth percentile was:	<u>_</u>			subgroups in 3	15
	• at or above 70.			Exceeds		4	subject areas)	
	below 70 but at or above 55.			Meets		3		
	below 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching		2		
	• below 40.			Does Not Meet		1		
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate: Th	e district's araduation rate/disad	areaated araduation rate w	as:	Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.		, g g	Exceeds	4	1	-	
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.			Meets	3	0.75	-	
	at or above 65% but below 80%.			Approaching	2	0.5	-	
	below 65%.			Does Not Meet	1	0.25	-	
	Dropout Rate: The district's dropout rate was:			D des ride meet	-	0.25	16	
) ante a constant and	• at or below 1%.			Exceeds	1	4	(4 for each sub-	35
Postsecondary and							- '	35
orkforce Readiness	• at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-10 b			Meets		3	indicator)	
	• at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-10	baseline).		Approaching		2	4	
	• above 10%.			Does Not Meet		1		
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The district's average Col	orado ACT composite score was:		F	r		_	
	• at or above 22.			Exceeds		4		
	at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10 ba	-		Meets		3		
	at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10 ba	aseline).		Approaching		2		
	• below 17.			Does Not Meet		1		
-Points for each per	formance indicator		Cut-Points for accredita	tion category assignm	ent			
	Point: The district earned of the points eligible on this	Indicator.				of the to	otal Framework points eligible.	
		1		• at or above 80%	. carneu .	. or the tt		Distinction
	at or above 87.5%	Exceeds			our 80%			
· · · -	at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total From over th	at or above 64% - bel				Accredited
	at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Total Framework	• at or above 52% - bel				Improvement
•	below 37.5%	Does Not Meet	Points	<ul> <li>at or above 42% - bel</li> </ul>	ow 52%		Pr	ority Improveme

## Districts on Turnaround or Priority Improvement

A district may not be accredited with a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education is required to restructure or close the district. The five consecutive years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the district is notified that it is Accredited with a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

# Reference

## 1-year vs. 3-year Report

Districts receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated District Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more districts to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small districts may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official accreditation category for the district: the one under which the district has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and accreditation rating. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

# **Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators**

## Academic Achievement

	Percent of Stude	nts Profi	cient or A	dvanced	d by Perc	centile Cu	it-Points	- 1-year	· (2009-10	) baselin	e)			
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a district's			Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and	N of Schools	175	165	167	176	165	167	175	165	167	133	135	138	
	15th percentile	59.26	58.87	57.14	57.99	34.46	18.30	38.48	42.37	32.85	29.46	28.57	30.27	
	50th percentile	71.51	70.50	71.53	70.51	50.00	32.16	54.72	56.36	48.61	48.00	45.60	48.93	
Escritura.	90th percentile	84.37	83.57	84.78	84.60	68.84	52.06	69.66	72.27	67.56	69.72	69.09	70.39	
	Percent of Stude	nts Profi	cient or A	dvanced	d by Perc	centile Cu	t-Points	- 3-year	aggrega	te (2008-	-10 base	line)		
All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first			Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
	N of Schools	181	182	183	181	182	182	181	182	183	172	175	179	
	15th percentile	60.45	56.61	57.63	56.84	36.37	17.78	41.44	41.85	33.82	32.93	30.02	31.43	

69.22

81.53

71.31

83.80

70.37

83.42

49.11

65.33

30.51

48.01

55.78

71.02

56.79

70.87

49.70

67.71

47.50

66.52

46.81

65.86

49.18

67.31

### Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps


The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this district compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the district to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

72.19

85.16

50th percentile

90th percentile



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the district met adequate growth. Districts that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; districts that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

### Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

#### State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

#### This District's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	70.2	73.4	74	73.6
Anticipated Year	2009	75.6	76.5	77.1	
of Graduation	2010	71.3	73.3		
	2011	75.5			

#### State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

#### <u>This District's</u> Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	70.2	73.4	74	73.6
Anticipated Year	2009	75.6	76.5	77.1	
of Graduation	2010	71.3	73.3		
	2011	75.5			
	Aggregated	73.1	74.3	75.5	73.6

#### Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

	-	4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	65	68.8	68.8	68.8
Anticipated Year	2009	62.7	64.2	64.2	
of Graduation	2010	59.3	63.3		
	2011	65.8			
	Aggregated	63.1	65.4	66.7	68.8

#### Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	59	62.5	62.5	61
Anticipated Year	2009	53.6	53.3	56.7	
of Graduation	2010	57.1	59.1		
	2011	71.8			
	Aggregated	60.8	58.8	60	61

#### Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	56.5	60.9	60.9	60.9
Anticipated Year	2009	37.5	37.5	37.5	
of Graduation	2010	45.5	50		
	2011	69			
	Aggregated	54.4	50.8	51.3	60.9

#### English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	68.8	N<16	N<16	N<16

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year DPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

#### Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	65	68.8	68.8	68.8
Anticipated Year	2009	62.7	64.2	64.2	
of Graduation	2010	59.3	63.3		
	2011	65.8			

#### Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	59	62.5	62.5	61
Anticipated Year	2009	53.6	53.3	56.7	
of Graduation	2010	57.1	59.1		
	2011	71.8			

#### Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	56.5	60.9	60.9	60.9
Anticipated Year	2009	37.5	37.5	37.5	
of Graduation	2010	45.5	50		
	2011	69			

#### **English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)**

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			