District: FALCON 49 - 1110 (All - 3 Year1)

# **Accredited**

This is the accreditation category for the district. Districts are designated an accreditation category based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the accreditation category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Accred. w/Distinction	at or above 80%
Accredited	at or above 64% - below 80%
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	at or above 52% - below 64%
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	at or above 42% - below 52%
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	below 42%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For districts with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible <sup>2</sup>	
Academic Achievement	Meets	72.9%	( 10.9 out of 15 points )	
Academic Growth	Meets	73.8%	( 25.8 out of 35 points )	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	57.2%	( 8.6 out of 15 points )	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Meets	65.6%	( 23.0 out of 35 points )	
Test Participation <sup>3</sup>	Meets 95% Participation Rate			
TOTAL		68.3%	( 68.3 out of 100 points )	

<sup>2</sup>Districts may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

<sup>3</sup>Districts do not receive points for test participation. However, districts are assigned one accreditation category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for districts serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Finance<sup>4</sup> **Meets Requirements** 

Safety<sup>4</sup> **Meets Requirements** 

<sup>4</sup>Districts do not receive points for finance and safety assurances. However, districts that do not meet requirements in at least one area default to Accredited with Priority Improvement (or remain Accredited with Turnaround Plan) until they meet requirements.

<b>Test Participation Rates</b>																
	% of Students Tested					Participation Rating			Students Tested					Total Students		
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.5%	99.3%	98.7%	99.3%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	10487	9993	5837	26317	10535	10059	5915	26509
Mathematics	99.6%	99.4%	99.0%	99.4%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	10514	10006	5857	26377	10554	10065	5915	26534
Writing	99.6%	99.2%	98.7%	99.3%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	10498	9982	5839	26319	10542	10059	5915	26516
Science	99.5%	99.2%	98.7%	99.1%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	3460	3208	2864	9532	3476	3235	2903	9614
Colorado ACT	-	-	99.2%	-	-	-	Meets	-	-	-	2598	-	-	-	2618	-





Performance Indicators							Level: E	lementary Schoo
District: FALCON 49 - 1110								(3 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	9985	76.34	68	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	9999	75.97	70	
Writing	3	4		Meets	9982	61.34	70	
Science	3	4		Meets	3281	54.8	69	
Total	12	16	75%	Meets				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	6080	48	28	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	6098	47	44	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	6089	47	35	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	2	2		Exceeds	517	61	43	Yes
<b>Total</b>	11	14	78.6%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	12	20	60%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	1406	46	34	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	1976	48	32	Yes
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	598	36	66	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	243	56	40	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	1461	51	59	No
Mathematics	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	1408	48	53	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	1984	47	51	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	610	42	70	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	245	50	57	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	1332	50	73	No
Writing	13	20	65%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	1404	46	43	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	1974	48	40	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	607	40	71	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	245	55	47	Yes
a. l . l' l								

Approaching

Approaching

2388

2

Students needing to catch up

Total

2

35

4

60

58.3%

50

60

No

					Leve	el: Middle School
						(3 Year)
rned Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
4		Meets	9559	74.78	67	
4		Meets	9571	59.8	80	
4		Meets	9552	64.5	75	
4	-	Meets	3085	51.9	62	
16	75%	Meets				
					Modian Adoquato Growth	Made Adequate
rnad Daints Eliaibla	% Points	Patina	M	Madian Growth Parcantile	•	Growth?
	% PUIIIS					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						Yes No
<u>-</u>				-		
<u>-</u>						Yes
			145	60	51	Yes
14	71.4%	Meets				
			Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
rned Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
rned Points Eligible 20	% Points	Rating Meets	Subgroup N	• •	- · ·	•
			Subgroup N	• •	- · ·	•
20		Meets	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
<b>20</b>		Meets Meets	<b>N</b>	Percentile 46	Growth Percentile	Growth?  Yes
20 4 4		Meets Meets Meets	1900 3001	Percentile           46           48	Growth Percentile  34 31	Growth?  Yes  Yes
20 4 4 4		Meets Meets Meets Approaching	N 1900 3001 761	### Percentile  46  48  50	34 31 72	Yes Yes No
20 4 4 4 4		Meets Meets Meets Approaching Meets	1900 3001 761 304	### Percentile  46  48  50  52	34 31 72 51	Yes Yes No Yes
20 4 4 4 4 4	65%	Meets Meets Meets Approaching Meets Approaching	1900 3001 761 304	### Percentile  46  48  50  52	34 31 72 51	Yes Yes No Yes
20 4 4 4 4 4 20	65%	Meets Meets Meets Approaching Meets Approaching Approaching	N 1900 3001 761 304 2110	## Percentile  46  48  50  52  52	34 31 72 51 63	Yes Yes No Yes No
20 4 4 4 4 4 20 4	65%	Meets Meets Meets Approaching Meets Approaching Approaching Approaching	N 1900 3001 761 304 2110	## Percentile  46 48 50 52 52 51	34 31 72 51 63	Yes Yes No Yes No
20 4 4 4 4 4 20 4	65%	Meets Meets Meets Approaching Meets Approaching Approaching Approaching Approaching	N 1900 3001 761 304 2110 1899 3006	946 48 50 52 52 51 51	34 31 72 51 63	Yes Yes No Yes No No
20 4 4 4 4 4 20 4 4	65%	Meets Meets Meets Approaching Meets Approaching Approaching Approaching Approaching Approaching Approaching	N 1900 3001 761 304 2110 1899 3006 771	946 48 50 52 52 51 51 52 50	34 31 72 51 63 72 69 95	Yes Yes No Yes No No No No
20 4 4 4 4 20 4 4 4	65%	Meets Meets Meets Approaching Meets Approaching Approaching Approaching Approaching Approaching Approaching Meets	1900 3001 761 304 2110 1899 3006 771 304	946 48 50 52 52 51 51 52 50 58	34 31 72 51 63 72 69 95 79	Yes Yes No Yes No No No No No No
20 4 4 4 4 20 4 4 4 4	65% 55%	Meets Meets Meets Approaching Meets Approaching Approaching Approaching Approaching Approaching Approaching Approaching Approaching Approaching Meets Approaching	1900 3001 761 304 2110 1899 3006 771 304	946 48 50 52 52 51 51 52 50 58	34 31 72 51 63 72 69 95 79	Yes Yes No Yes No No No No No No
	4 4 4 4 16	4 4 4 4 4 16 75%  arned Points Eligible % Points 4 4 4 2	4 Meets 4 Meets 4 Meets 4 Meets 4 Meets 4 Meets 16 75% Meets  175% Meets  18 Approaching 4 Meets 2 Exceeds	4 Meets 9559 4 Meets 9571 4 Meets 9572 4 Meets 3085 16 75% Meets  4 Meets 8810 4 Meets 8833 4 Meets 8802 2 Exceeds 145	4       Meets       9559       74.78         4       Meets       9571       59.8         4       Meets       9552       64.5         4       Meets       3085       51.9         16       75%       Meets         Inned       Points Eligible       % Points       Rating       N       Median Growth Percentile         4       Meets       8810       50         4       Approaching       8833       54         4       Meets       8802       51         2       Exceeds       145       60	Firned   Points Eligible   % Points   Rating   N   % Proficient/Advanced   District's Percentile

Approaching

Approaching

Approaching

**Approaching** 

765

303

2930

Students with Disabilities

Students needing to catch up

**English Learners** 

Total

2

2

2

34

4

4

4

60

56.7%

46

52

51

84

67

77

No

No

No

Performance Indicators							Le	vel: High Schoo
District: FALCON 49 - 1110								(3 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	,
Reading	3	4		Meets	5566	73.91	57	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	5585	36.03	65	
Writing	3	4		Meets	5567	53.78	61	-
Science	2	4		Approaching	2733	48.23	44	
Total	11	16	68.8%	Meets	2,33	.6.25		
. 0 ( )			00.070	meets				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	5154	49	17	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	5178	48	84	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	5156	50	46	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	2	2		Exceeds	145	70	69	Yes
Total	10	14	71.4%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	13	20	65%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	1076	50	29	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	1786	48	24	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	343	46	88	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	160	56	71	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	1378	54	71	No
Mathematics	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	1080	45	96	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	1797	47	94	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	348	48	99	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	161	47	99	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	2686	50	99	No
Writing .	11	20	55%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	1077	50	63	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	1789	48	58	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	343	47	99	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	161	57	92	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	2106	51	88	No
Total	34	60	56.7%	Approaching	2100	31		140
							D : 10	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness		Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	3	4		Meets	32	48/ <b>2380</b> /1582/745	81.5/ <b>83.5</b> /82.9/82%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	2.5	4	62.5%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.5	1		Approaching		608/ <b>431</b> /271/125	71.2/ <b>74.2</b> /72.3/73.6%	80%
Minority Students	0.75	1		Meets		056/765/498/ <b>229</b>	79.5/82.1/81.3/ <b>83</b> %	80%
Students with Disabilities	0.5	1		Approaching		272/209/123/ <b>53</b>	61.4/63.6/59.3/ <b>69.8</b> %	80%
English Learners	0.75	1		Meets		53/39/ <b>25</b> /N<16	67.9/74.4/ <b>80</b> /-%	80%
Dropout Rate	3	4		Meets		21047	1.4%	3.9%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	2	4		Approaching		2598	19.3	20.1
Total	10.5	16	65.6%	Meets				

4

Scoring Guide Level: EMH

Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide			Rating	Point	Value	Total Possible per EMH Level	Framework Poir
,	The district's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced	l was:		1 11111119				
	at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 base)			Exceeds	Ĭ	4	16	
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile			Meets		3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile		Approaching		2	content area)		
7.Cilic Vernicite	below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseling)	<u> </u>		Does Not Meet		1		
	If the district meets the median adequate student growth percentil	•	ntile was:	2 des mot meet	TCAP	CELA		
	• at or above 60.	<u> </u>		Exceeds	4	2	14	
	below 60 but at or above 45.			Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each	
	below 45 but at or above 30.			Approaching	2	1	content area	
Academic	• below 30.			Does Not Meet	1	0.5	and 2 for	35
Growth	If the district does not meet the median adequate student growth	percentile and its median student arow	th percentile was:		TCAP	CELA	English	
0.01.011	• at or above 70.	g		Exceeds	4	2	language	
	below 70 but at or above 55.			Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)	
	below 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching	2	1	pronoiency,	
	• below 40.			Does Not Meet	1	0.5	1	
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student growt	h percentile and its median student ar	owth percentile was:			1 4.4		
	• at or above 60.			Exceeds		4	1	
	below 60 but at or above 45.			Meets		3	7	
	below 45 but at or above 30.			Approaching		2	60	
Academic	• below 30.			Does Not Meet		1	(4 for each of 5	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate stude	nt arowth percentile and its median st	ident arowth nercentile was:		<b>.</b>		subgroups in 3	15
Growth Cups	• at or above 70.	ne growen percentine and no meanan see	dent growen percentile wasi	Exceeds	l	4	subject areas)	13
	• below 70 but at or above 55.			Meets		3	Subject areasy	
	below 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching		2	†	
	• below 40.			Does Not Meet		1	†	
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate: The	district's araduation rate/disagar	regated graduation rate wa		Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.	uistrict's graduation rate, alsaggr	egatea gradaation rate wa	Exceeds	4	1	1	
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.			Meets	3	0.75	†	
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.			Approaching	2	0.75	†	
	• below 65%.			Does Not Meet	1	0.25	†	
	Dropout Rate: The district's dropout rate was:			Does Not Meet		0.23	16	
Dootsoondonsond	• at or below 1%.			Exceeds	l	4	(4 for each sub-	35
Postsecondary and						•	- 1	35
Workforce Readiness	• at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-10 ba	•		Meets		3	indicator)	
	at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-10 b	aseiine).		Approaching		2	4	
	• above 10%.			Does Not Meet		1	-	
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The district's average Colo	rado ACT composite score was:		<u> </u>			1	
	• at or above 22.			Exceeds		4	4	
	at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10 base)	·		Meets		3	<u> </u>	
	at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10 base)	seline).		Approaching		2	4	
	• below 17.			Does Not Meet	<u> </u>	1	1	
ut-Points for each per	formance indicator		Cut-Points for accreditat	ion category assignm	ent			
	Point: The district earned of the points eligible on this I	ndicator.				. of the to	otal Framework points eligible.	
	at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		at or above 80%				Distinction
	at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets		• at or above 64% - bel	ow 80%			Accredited
· · · —	at ar above 37.5% below 67.5%		Total Framowork	• at or above 52% - hel				Improvement

# Districts on Turnaround or Priority Improvement

• below 37.5%

• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%

A district may not be accredited with a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education is required to restructure or close the district. The five consecutive years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the district is notified that it is Accredited with a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

5

Approaching

**Total Framework** 

**Points** 

• at or above 52% - below 64%

• at or above 42% - below 52%

below 42%

Improvement

Priority Improvement

## 1-year vs. 3-year Report

Districts receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated District Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more districts to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small districts may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official accreditation category for the district: the one under which the district has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and accreditation rating. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

# Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

#### Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a district's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

## Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	175	165	167	176	165	167	175	165	167	133	135	138
15th percentile	59.26	58.87	57.14	57.99	34.46	18.30	38.48	42.37	32.85	29.46	28.57	30.27
50th percentile	71.51	70.50	71.53	70.51	50.00	32.16	54.72	56.36	48.61	48.00	45.60	48.93
90th percentile	84.37	83.57	84.78	84.60	68.84	52.06	69.66	72.27	67.56	69.72	69.09	70.39


## Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading Math				Writing				Science			
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	181	182	183	181	182	182	181	182	183	172	175	179
15th percentile	60.45	56.61	57.63	56.84	36.37	17.78	41.44	41.85	33.82	32.93	30.02	31.43
50th percentile	72.19	69.22	71.31	70.37	49.11	30.51	55.78	56.79	49.70	47.50	46.81	49.18
90th percentile	85.16	81.53	83.80	83.42	65.33	48.01	71.02	70.87	67.71	66.52	65.86	67.31

### Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this district compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the district to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

6



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the district met adequate growth. Districts that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; districts that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

DPF 2012 - 1110

## **Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness**

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

### State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

# <u>This District's</u> Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	75.9	78.6	81	82
Anticipated Year	2009	79	82.7	84.5	
of Graduation	2010	83.4	88.9		
	2011	87			

#### Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	56.6	62.8	68.8	73.6
Anticipated Year	2009	70.2	73	75.3	
of Graduation	2010	74.5	84		
	2011	79.8			

#### Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	74.8	76.8	81.2	83
Anticipated Year	2009	77	81	81.4	
of Graduation	2010	82.1	87.7		
	2011	83			

#### Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	50	60	63	69.8
Anticipated Year	2009	54	47.9	56.5	
of Graduation	2010	67	78.4		
	2011	70.8			

#### **English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)**

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

#### State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

# <u>This District's</u> Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	75.9	78.6	81	82
Anticipated Year	2009	79	82.7	84.5	
of Graduation	2010	83.4	88.9		
	2011	87			
	Aggregated	81.5	83.5	82.9	82

#### Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	56.6	62.8	68.8	73.6
Anticipated Year	2009	70.2	73	75.3	
of Graduation	2010	74.5	84		
	2011	79.8			
	Aggregated	71.2	74.2	72.3	73.6

#### Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	74.8	76.8	81.2	83
Anticipated Year	2009	77	81	81.4	
of Graduation	2010	82.1	87.7		
	2011	83			
	Aggregated	79.5	82.1	81.3	83

#### Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	50	60	63	69.8
Anticipated Year	2009	54	47.9	56.5	
of Graduation	2010	67	78.4		
	2011	70.8			
	Aggregated	61.4	63.6	59.3	69.8

## English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	67.9	74.4	80	N<16

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year DPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

7 DPF 2012 - 1110