District Performance Framework 2012

District: COLORADO SPRINGS 11 - 1010

Accredited with Improvement Plan

This is the accreditation category for the district. Districts are designated an accreditation category based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the accreditation category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Accred. w/Distinction	at or above 80%
Accredited	at or above 64% - below 80%
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	at or above 52% - below 64%
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	at or above 42% - below 52%
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	below 42%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For districts with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Approaching	56.3%	(8.4 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	65.5%	(22.9 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	51.7%	(7.8 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Approaching	53.1%	(18.6 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			
TOTAL		57.7%	(57.7 out of 100 points)	

²Districts may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Districts do not receive points for test participation. However, districts are assigned one accreditation category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for districts serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Finance ⁴	Meets Requirements
Safety⁴	Meets Requirements

⁴Districts do not receive points for finance and safety assurances. However, districts that do not meet requirements in at least one area default to Accredited with Priority Improvement (or remain Accredited with Turnaround Plan) until they meet requirements.

Test Participation Rates																
	% of Students Tested Participation Rating				Students Tested					Total Students						
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.7%	99.3%	96.8%	98.9%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	20083	18238	11740	50061	20138	18358	12131	50627
Mathematics	99.8%	99.4%	97.3%	99.1%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	20090	18243	11800	50133	20128	18349	12123	50600
Writing	99.8%	99.3%	96.9%	98.9%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	20091	18234	11761	50086	20138	18360	12133	50631
Science	99.8%	99.0%	96.2%	98.4%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	6526	6075	5789	18390	6540	6139	6019	18698
Colorado ACT	-	-	94.9%	-	-	-	Meets	-	-	-	5379	-	-	-	5667	-

SCHOOLVIEW.org

Level: EMH

(All - 3 Year¹)

Performance Indicators	1010						Level: E	ementary Scho
District: COLORADO SPRINGS 11 -								(3 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	18771	69.42	40	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	18751	70.32	49	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	18738	54.98	48	
Science	2	4		Approaching	6117	44.5	43	
Total	8	16	50%	Approaching				
		1			1		Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	11607	48	30	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	11678	47	47	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	11632	46	39	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	1.5	2		Meets	3553	54	41	Yes
Total	10.5	14	75%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequat
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
•					<u> </u>	Fercentile	Growth Percentile	Growin:
Reading	12	20	60%	Approaching	6200			
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	6399	45	38	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	5200	46	38	Yes
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	823	34	75	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	1577	48	45	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	3388	49	62	No
Mathematics	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	6459	45	55	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	5245	46	54	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	840	32	82	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	1599	46	58	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	3191	48	77	No
Writing	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	6419	42	47	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	5213	44	45	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	832	34	78	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	1581	44	52	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	5211	47	62	No
Total	30	60	50%	Approaching				

Performance Indicators							Leve	el: Middle School
District: COLORADO SPRINGS 11 -	1010							(3 Year)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	17037	69.28	50	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	17043	52.69	58	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	17033	56.31	46	
Science	2	4		Approaching	5670	46.79	49	
Total	10	16	62.5%	Meets				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Ν	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	15996	47	28	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	15999	46	65	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	16007	44	47	No
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	1.5	2		Meets	1026	52	52	Yes
Total	8.5	14	60.7%	Approaching				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N .	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	12	20	60%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	8071	44	38	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	6856	45	37	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	1155	41	80	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	1815	46	46	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	4605	47	66	No
Mathematics	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	8099	43	75	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	6868	43	74	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	1164	42	98	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	1827	44	76	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	6410	48	91	No
Writing	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	8087	41	60	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	6870	42	57	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	1158	37	91	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	1822	45	65	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	6283	44	80	No
Total	31	60	51.7%	Approaching				

DPF 2012 - 1010

Points Earned 2 3 2 2 2	Points Eligible 4 4	% Points	Rating	A/			(3 Year
2 3 2 2	4	% Points	Rating	N			
3 2 2	4			N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
2 2			Approaching	10909	67.87	40	
2			Meets	10969	35.06	62	
	4		Approaching	10924	48.05	43	
-	4		Approaching	5366	47.2	42	
9	16	56.3%	Approaching				
Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
3	4		Meets	10145	46	17	Yes
2	4		Approaching	10206	47	85	No
2	4		Approaching	10165	46	50	No
1.5	2		Meets	871	55	67	No
8.5	14	60.7%	Approaching				
Points Farned	Points Fliaihle	% Points	Ratina	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
		·					
		0078		4205		25	Yes
							Yes
							No
			11 5				No
	•						No
	-	E09/		3001		/3	110
		50%		4220	45	08	No
							No
							No No
							No
	•						No
	-	FO 0/		5382	49	99	INU
		50%		42.22			. .
							No
							No
							No
	-						No
32		53.3%		4607	48	92	No
Points Farned					N	Rate/Score	Expectation
		701 011113		100			80%
	-	37.5%		100	20, , , , 3, 3413/ 2010	04.1/05.1/11.1/10.5/0	0070
		07.070		36	95/2693/ 1726 /865	53 1/61 2/ 63 6 /63 4%	80%
							80%
							80%
							80%
							3.9%
							20.1
		E2 10/				19.5	20.1
	3 2 2 1.5 8.5 Points Earned 12 3 3 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	3 4 2 4 2 4 1.5 2 8.5 14 Points Earned Points Eligible 12 20 3 4 2 4 3 4 0.25 1 0.5 1 0.5 1<	3 4 2 4 2 4 1.5 2 8.5 14 60.7% Points Earned Points Eligible % Points 12 20 60% 3 4 60.7% 3 4 60.7% 12 20 60% 3 4 60.7% 3 4 60.7% 12 20 60% 3 4 60.7% 3 4 60.7% 3 4 60.7% 3 4 60.7% 3 4 7 2 4 7 2 4 7 2 4 7 2 4 7 2 4 7 2 4 7 32 60 53.3% Points Earned Points Eligible % Points 2 4 7 3 4 7 3 <td< td=""><td>34Meets24Approaching24Approaching1.52Meets8.51460.7%Approaching122060%Approaching34Meets34Meets24Approaching253.3%Approaching0.51Does Not Meet0.51Approaching0.51Approaching0.51Approachi</td><td>3 4 Meets 10145 2 4 Approaching 10206 2 4 Approaching 10165 1.5 2 Meets 871 8.5 14 60.7% Approaching N Subgroup Points Eligible % Points Rating N 12 20 60% Approaching 3 3 4 Meets 4305 3 2 4 Approaching 984 2 2 4 Approaching 3001 10 20 50% Approaching 4228 2 4 Approaching 4328 2 4 Approaching 921 2 4 Approaching 9382 382 10</td><td>3 4 Meets 10145 46 2 4 Approaching 10206 47 2 4 Approaching 10165 46 1.5 2 Meets 871 55 8.5 14 60.7% Approaching N Growth Percentille Points Earned Points Eligible % Points Rating N Subgroup Subgroup Median 12 20 60% Approaching 595 46 3 4 Meets 4305 46 2 4 Approaching 984 54 2 4 Approaching 4328 45 2 4 Approaching 423 46 2 4 Approaching 4328 45 2 4 Approaching 4323 46 2 4 Approaching 532 49 10 20 50% Approaching 532</td><td>Points EurnedPoints Eligible% PointsRatingNMedian Growth PercentilePercentile34Meets10145461724Approaching1026478524Approaching1018546501.52Meets87155678.51460.7%ApproachingSubgroup Median Growth PercentileSubgroup Median Adequate Growth PercentilePoints EurnedPoints Eligible% PointsRatingSubgroup Median Growth PercentileSubgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile34Meets4305463534Meets4305463524Approaching595449624Approaching595449624Approaching595449624Approaching595449624Approaching593449624Approaching912459824Approaching532499924Approaching533449924Approaching533449924Approaching533457724Approaching533457324Approaching533449924Approaching533</td></td<>	34Meets24Approaching24Approaching1.52Meets8.51460.7%Approaching122060%Approaching34Meets34Meets24Approaching253.3%Approaching0.51Does Not Meet0.51Approaching0.51Approaching0.51Approachi	3 4 Meets 10145 2 4 Approaching 10206 2 4 Approaching 10165 1.5 2 Meets 871 8.5 14 60.7% Approaching N Subgroup Points Eligible % Points Rating N 12 20 60% Approaching 3 3 4 Meets 4305 3 2 4 Approaching 984 2 2 4 Approaching 3001 10 20 50% Approaching 4228 2 4 Approaching 4328 2 4 Approaching 921 2 4 Approaching 9382 382 10	3 4 Meets 10145 46 2 4 Approaching 10206 47 2 4 Approaching 10165 46 1.5 2 Meets 871 55 8.5 14 60.7% Approaching N Growth Percentille Points Earned Points Eligible % Points Rating N Subgroup Subgroup Median 12 20 60% Approaching 595 46 3 4 Meets 4305 46 2 4 Approaching 984 54 2 4 Approaching 4328 45 2 4 Approaching 423 46 2 4 Approaching 4328 45 2 4 Approaching 4323 46 2 4 Approaching 532 49 10 20 50% Approaching 532	Points EurnedPoints Eligible% PointsRatingNMedian Growth PercentilePercentile34Meets10145461724Approaching1026478524Approaching1018546501.52Meets87155678.51460.7%ApproachingSubgroup Median Growth PercentileSubgroup Median Adequate Growth PercentilePoints EurnedPoints Eligible% PointsRatingSubgroup Median Growth PercentileSubgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile34Meets4305463534Meets4305463524Approaching595449624Approaching595449624Approaching595449624Approaching595449624Approaching593449624Approaching912459824Approaching532499924Approaching533449924Approaching533449924Approaching533457724Approaching533457324Approaching533449924Approaching533

Scoring Guide

formance Indicator	Scoring Guide			Rating	Point	: Value	Total Possible per EMH Level	Framework Poi
•	The district's percentage of students scoring proficient or advance	ed was:			!		·	
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 l			Exceeds		4	16	
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile	e of all schools (using 2009-10 baselin	e).	Meets		3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile	e of all schools (using 2009-10 baselin	e).	Approaching		2	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseli		-,	Does Not Meet		1	1	
	If the district meets the median adequate student growth percent	•	rcentile was:		ТСАР	CELA		
	• at or above 60.	<u> </u>		Exceeds	4	2	14	
	below 60 but at or above 45.			Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each	
	below 45 but at or above 30.			Approaching	2	1	content area	
Academic	• below 30.	0. Does Not Me						35
Growth	If the district does not meet the median adequate student growth	percentile and its median student ar	owth percentile was:		ТСАР	CELA	English	
	• at or above 70.			Exceeds	4	2	language	
	below 70 but at or above 55.			Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)	
	below 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching	2	1		
	• below 40.			Does Not Meet	1	0.5	-	
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student grow	vth percentile and its median student	growth percentile was:					
	• at or above 60.	•		Exceeds	1	4		
	below 60 but at or above 45.			Meets		3		
	below 45 but at or above 30.			Approaching		2	60	
Academic	• below 30.			Does Not Meet		1	(4 for each of 5	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate stud	ent growth percentile and its median	student growth percentile was:	•			subgroups in 3	15
	• at or above 70.	5 ,		Exceeds		4	subject areas)	
	below 70 but at or above 55.						,	1
	below 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching		2		
	• below 40.			Does Not Meet		1		
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate: Th	e district's araduation rate/disad	areaated araduation rate w	as:	Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.			Exceeds	4	1	-	
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.			Meets	3	0.75		
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.			Approaching	2	0.5	-	
	• below 65%.			Does Not Meet	1	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The district's dropout rate was:					-	16	
ostsecondary and	• at or below 1%.			Exceeds	1	4	(4 for each sub-	35
orkforce Readiness	• at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-10 b.	aseline)		Meets		3	indicator)	
orkiorce Reduitess	 at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-10) at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-10) 	•		Approaching		2	indicatory	
	above 10%.	baseline).		Does Not Meet		1	-	
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The district's average Col	orado ACT composita scora was:		Does Not Micer		1		
	• at or above 22.	orduo Act composite score wus.		Evenede	r	4	-	
		ventine)		Exceeds Meets		3	4	
	• at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10 ba	-		Approaching		2	4	
	 at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10 bate below 17. 	iseline).		Does Not Meet		1	4	
						1		
	formance indicator		Cut-Points for accredita					
Cut	Point: The district earned of the points eligible on this	Indicator.		Cut Point: The district	earned .	of the to	otal Framework points eligible.	
chievement; •	at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 80%				Distinction
Growth; Gaps	at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets		• at or above 64% - bel	ow 80%			Accredited
	at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Total Framework	• at or above 52% - bel	ow 64%			Improvement
	below 37.5%	Does Not Meet	Points	• at or above 42% - bel	OW E 29/		Dri	iority Improveme

Districts on Turnaround or Priority Improvement

A district may not be accredited with a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education is required to restructure or close the district. The five consecutive years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the district is notified that it is Accredited with a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

Reference

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Districts receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated District Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more districts to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small districts may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official accreditation category for the district: the one under which the district has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and accreditation rating. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

	Percent of Stude	nts Profi	cient or A	dvanced	d by Perc	entile Cu	ıt-Points	- 1-year	(2009-10) baselin	e)			
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a district's			Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes	N of Schools	175	165	167	176	165	167	175	165	167	133	135	138	
results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading,	15th percentile	59.26	58.87	57.14	57.99	34.46	18.30	38.48	42.37	32.85	29.46	28.57	30.27	
mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and	50th percentile	71.51	70.50	71.53	70.51	50.00	32.16	54.72	56.36	48.61	48.00	45.60	48.93	
Escritura.	90th percentile	84.37	83.57	84.78	84.60	68.84	52.06	69.66	72.27	67.56	69.72	69.09	70.39	
	Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)													
All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first			Reading		Math			Writing				Science		
year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
	N of Schools	181	182	183	181	182	182	181	182	183	172	175	179	
	15th percentile	60.45	56.61	57.63	56.84	36.37	17.78	41.44	41.85	33.82	32.93	30.02	31.43	

69.22

81.53

71.31

83.80

70.37

83.42

49.11

65.33

30.51

48.01

55.78

71.02

56.79

70.87

49.70

67.71

47.50

66.52

46.81

65.86

49.18

67.31

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps


The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this district compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the district to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

72.19

85.16

50th percentile

90th percentile



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the district met adequate growth. Districts that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; districts that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate		
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6		
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9		

<u>This District's</u> Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	63.8	67.5	69.2	70.3
Anticipated Year	2009	65.5	70.3	73.1	
of Graduation	2010	65	71.6		
	2011	64.8			

State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Average Score			
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0			
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1			

<u>This District's</u> Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	63.8	67.5	69.2	70.3
Anticipated Year	2009	65.5	70.3	73.1	
of Graduation	2010	65	71.6		
	2011	64.8			
	Aggregated	64.7	69.7	71.1	70.3

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	53.2	59.3	62.4	63.4
Anticipated Year	2009	53.1	62.3	64.7	
of Graduation	2010	56	62		
	2011	50.6			
	Aggregated	53.1	61.2	63.6	63.4

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

7-year
60.9
60.9

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	38.7	48.1	58.1	67.5
Anticipated Year	2009	30.6	43.4	57	
of Graduation	2010	35.5	48		
	2011	35			
	Aggregated	34.8	46.3	57.5	67.5

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		1 00	-0/		
		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	53.1	60.2	65.5	67.1
Anticipated Year	2009	54.1	60.4	64.8	
of Graduation	2010	52.7	65.9		
	2011	46.8			
	Aggregated	51.4	62.2	65.1	67.1

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year DPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	53.2	59.3	62.4	63.4
Anticipated Year	2009	53.1	62.3	64.7	
of Graduation	2010	56	62		
	2011	50.6			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	53.5	57.1	59.4	60.9
Anticipated Year	2009	56	61.2	64.3	
of Graduation	2010	56.5	64.2		
	2011	57.1			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	38.7	48.1	58.1	67.5
Anticipated Year	2009	30.6	43.4	57	
of Graduation	2010	35.5	48		
	2011	35			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	53.1	60.2	65.5	67.1
Anticipated Year	2009	54.1	60.4	64.8	
of Graduation	2010	52.7	65.9		
	2011	46.8			