Level: EMH

District: HARRISON 2 - 0980 (All - 3 Year')

# **Accredited with Improvement Plan**

This is the accreditation category for the district. Districts are designated an accreditation category based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the accreditation category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Accred. w/Distinction	at or above 80%
Accredited	at or above 64% - below 80%
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	at or above 52% - below 64%
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	at or above 42% - below 52%
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	below 42%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For districts with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible <sup>2</sup>	
Academic Achievement	Approaching	52.1%	( 7.8 out of 15 points )	
Academic Growth	Meets	64.3%	( 22.5 out of 35 points )	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	59.4%	( 8.9 out of 15 points )	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Approaching	54.7%	( 19.1 out of 35 points )	
Test Participation <sup>3</sup>	Meets 95% Participation Rate			
TOTAL		58.3%	( 58.3 out of 100 points )	

<sup>2</sup>Districts may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

<sup>3</sup>Districts do not receive points for test participation. However, districts are assigned one accreditation category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for districts serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Finance <sup>4</sup> Meets Requirements

Safety<sup>4</sup> Meets Requirements

<sup>4</sup>Districts do not receive points for finance and safety assurances. However, districts that do not meet requirements in at least one area default to Accredited with Priority Improvement (or remain Accredited with Turnaround Plan) until they meet requirements.

<b>Test Participation Rates</b>																
% of Students Tested						Participation Rating				Students Tested				Total Students		
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.8%	99.0%	97.9%	99.2%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	8335	6389	3474	18198	8350	6451	3548	18349
Mathematics	99.8%	99.1%	98.0%	99.2%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	8360	6396	3478	18234	8377	6456	3550	18383
Writing	99.7%	99.1%	98.0%	99.1%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	8332	6390	3475	18197	8356	6451	3547	18354
Science	99.6%	98.8%	97.3%	98.7%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	2611	2012	1688	6311	2621	2037	1735	6393
Colorado ACT	-	-	97.1%	-	-	-	Meets	-	-	-	1428	-	-	-	1470	-





Performance Indicators							Level: E	lementary School
District: HARRISON 2 - 0980								(3 Year)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	7707	66.25	28	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	7689	66.91	36	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	7669	53.32	45	
Science	2	4		Approaching	2411	40.77	32	
Total	8	16	50%	Approaching				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	4690	51	35	Yes
Mathematics	2	4	-	Approaching	4714	50	52	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	4698	49	42	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	1.5	2		Meets	2276	49	39	Yes
Total	9.5	14	67.9%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	14	20	70%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	3603	50	39	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	3405	50	38	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	378	43	71	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	1038	52	46	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	1672	55	63	No
Mathematics	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	3621	50	56	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	3418	50	55	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4	-	Does Not Meet	382	38	80	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	1048	51	60	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	1542	54	78	No
Writing	12	20	60%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	3611	48	46	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	3410	49	45	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	381	44	77	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	1042	50	53	No

Approaching

Approaching

2326

2

Students needing to catch up

Total

2

35

4

60

58.3%

51

64

No

De de como de l'aglace								al and the colored
Performance Indicators							Leve	el: Middle School
District: HARRISON 2 - 0980								(3 Year)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	5952	60.18	24	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	5954	50.17	53	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	5951	50.66	30	
Science	2	4		Approaching	1877	34.9	21	
Total	9	16	56.3%	Approaching				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	5464	47	36	Yes
Mathematics	2	4	-	Approaching	5474	51	70	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	5464	48	54	No
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	1	2		Approaching	696	49	52	No
Total	8	14	57.1%	Approaching				
					- 1			
					Subaroup	Subaroup Median Growth	Subaroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eliaible	% Points	Ratina	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	• •	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	12	20	% Points 60%	Approaching	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	<b>12</b> 3	<b>20</b>		Approaching  Meets	N 3912	Percentile 47	Growth Percentile	Growth?  Yes
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students	<b>12</b> 3 3	<b>20</b> 4 4		Approaching  Meets  Meets	N 3912 3946	Percentile           47           48	Growth Percentile  41  40	Growth?  Yes  Yes
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities	12 3 3 2	<b>20</b>		Approaching  Meets  Meets  Approaching	N 3912 3946 440	47 48 46	Growth Percentile  41  40  80	Yes Yes No
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners	12 3 3 2 2	20 4 4 4		Approaching  Meets  Meets  Approaching  Approaching	N 3912 3946	47 48 46 51	41 40 80 55	Yes Yes No No
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities	12 3 3 2	20 4 4 4 4		Approaching  Meets  Meets  Approaching	3912 3946 440 1045	47 48 46	Growth Percentile  41  40  80	Yes Yes No
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up	3 3 2 2 2	20 4 4 4 4 4	60%	Approaching  Meets  Meets  Approaching  Approaching  Approaching	3912 3946 440 1045	47 48 46 51	41 40 80 55	Yes Yes No No
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Mathematics	12 3 3 2 2 2 2	20 4 4 4 4 4 20	60%	Approaching Meets Meets Approaching Approaching Approaching Approaching Approaching	3912 3946 440 1045 2106	47 48 46 51 52	41 40 80 55 66	Yes Yes No No No
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Mathematics Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	12 3 3 2 2 2 2 12	20 4 4 4 4 4 20 4	60%	Approaching Meets Meets Approaching Approaching Approaching Approaching Approaching Approaching	3912 3946 440 1045 2106	## A 1	41 40 80 55 66	Yes Yes No No No
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Mathematics Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students	12 3 3 2 2 2 2 12 2	20 4 4 4 4 4 20 4	60%	Approaching Meets Meets Approaching Approaching Approaching Approaching Approaching Approaching Approaching	3912 3946 440 1045 2106	## A 1	41 40 80 55 66	Yes Yes No No No No
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Mathematics Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities	12 3 3 2 2 2 2 12 2 2	20 4 4 4 4 4 20 4 4 4	60%	Approaching Meets Meets Approaching Approaching Approaching Approaching Approaching Approaching Approaching Approaching Approaching	3912 3946 440 1045 2106 3914 3947 447	Percentile  47  48  46  51  52  47	41 40 80 55 66 74 73 97	Yes Yes No No No No No No No
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Mathematics Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners	12 3 3 2 2 2 2 12 2 2 2 2 3	20 4 4 4 4 4 20 4 4 4 4	60%	Approaching Meets Meets Approaching Meets	3912 3946 440 1045 2106 3914 3947 447 1047	9 Percentile  47 48 46 51 52 51 52 47 55	6 Growth Percentile  41  40  80  55  66  74  73  97  79	Yes Yes No
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Mathematics Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up	12 3 3 2 2 2 12 2 2 2 3 3	20 4 4 4 4 4 20 4 4 4 4 4	60%	Approaching Meets Meets Approaching Meets Meets	3912 3946 440 1045 2106 3914 3947 447 1047	9 Percentile  47 48 46 51 52 51 52 47 55	6 Growth Percentile  41  40  80  55  66  74  73  97  79	Yes Yes No
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Mathematics Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Writing	12 3 3 2 2 2 12 2 2 2 3 3 10	20 4 4 4 4 20 4 4 4 4 4 20	60%	Approaching Meets Meets Approaching Meets Meets Approaching	3912 3946 440 1045 2106 3914 3947 447 1047 2477	Percentile  47  48  46  51  52  51  52  47  55  58	74 73 97 79 93	Yes Yes No

Approaching

**Approaching** 

Approaching

**Approaching** 

442

1045

2473

Students with Disabilities

Students needing to catch up

**English Learners** 

Total

2

2

2

34

4

4

4

60

56.7%

47

53

52

89

73

81

No

No

No

Performance Indicators							Le	vel: High Schoo
District: HARRISON 2 - 0980								(3 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	3254	61.59	22	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	3259	24.09	32	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	3253	44.51	37	
Science	2	4		Approaching	1594	36.76	21	
Total	8	16	50%	Approaching				
				<u> </u>				
Academic Growth	Doints Farmed	Points Eligible	0/ Doints	Datina	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth  Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
	Points Earned		% PUIIILS	Rating				
Reading	3	4		Meets	2962	53	30	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	2971	49	96	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	2966	55	63	No
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	1.5	2		Meets	553	57	66	No
Total	9.5	14	67.9%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	14	20	70%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4	70/0	Meets	1969	52	37	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	2105	53	36	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	184	53	97	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	466	58	73	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	1165	55	81	No
Mathematics	10	20	50%	Approaching	1105		01	140
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4	3070	Approaching	1976	49	98	No
	2	4			2109	47	98	No
Minority Students Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching Approaching	186	45	99	No
English Learners	2	4			469	49	99	No
	2	4		Approaching		50	99	No
Students needing to catch up			700/	Approaching	1952		99	INO
Writing	14	20	70%	Meets	1070			
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	1973	56	74	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	2106	55	72	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	185	49	99	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	463	60	93	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	1555	59	93	No
Total	38	60	63.3%	Meets				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	2	4		Approaching	26	29/ <b>2009</b> /1409/738	66.9/ <b>69.8</b> /69.2/67.8%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	1.75	4	43.8%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.5	1		Approaching	15	593/1202/ <b>822</b> /422	68/71.6/ <b>71.7</b> /70.6%	80%
Minority Students	0.5	1	1	Approaching		798/ <b>1357</b> /942/497	66/ <b>69.1</b> /67.8/67%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0.25	1		Does Not Meet		271/204/142/ <b>72</b>	45/54.9/58.5/ <b>62.5</b> %	80%
English Learners	0.5	1		Approaching		266/ <b>182</b> /116/60	62/ <b>67.6</b> /64.7/66.7%	80%
Dropout Rate	3	4		Meets	·	16011	3.6%	3.9%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	2	4		Approaching		1428	18	20.1
Total	8.75	16	54.7%	Approaching				

4

Scoring Guide Level: EMH

formance Indicator	Scoring Guide		Rating	Poin	t Value	Total Possible per EMH Level	Framework Po
<u> </u>	The district's percentage of students scoring proficient or advance	d was:	, ,	_		·	
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 b		Exceeds		4	16	
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile		Meets		3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile		Approachi	ng	2	content area)	
710	below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseling)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Does Not M	<u> </u>	1	_	
	If the district meets the median adequate student growth percenti		2000110111	TCAP	CELA		
	• at or above 60.		Exceeds	4	2	14	
	below 60 but at or above 45.		Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each	
	below 45 but at or above 30.		Approachi	ıg 2	1	content area	
Academic	• below 30.		Does Not M		0.5	and 2 for	35
	If the district does not meet the median adequate student growth	nercentile and its median student arowth percentile		TCAP	CELA	English	
Growth	• at or above 70.	percentile una les median stadent growth percentile	Exceeds	4	2	language	
	• below 70 but at or above 55.		Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)	
	below 55 but at or above 40.		Approachi	_	1	_ proficiency)	
	• below 40.		Does Not M	0	0.5	-	
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student grow	th percentile and its median student arowth percen					
	• at or above 60.	an percentile and its median stadent growth percent	Exceeds	1	4		
	below 60 but at or above 45.		Meets		3	1	
	• below 45 but at or above 30.		Approachi	ng .	2	60	
Academic	• below 30.		Does Not M		1	(4 for each of 5	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate stude	ent arouth percentile and its median student arouth				subgroups in 3	15
Growth Gaps	• at or above 70.	the growth percentile and its median stadent growth	Exceeds		4	subject areas)	15
	below 70 but at or above 55.		Meets		3	3ubject areasy	
	below 55 but at or above 40.		Approachi	ισ	2	1	
	• below 40.		Does Not M	0	1	-	
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate: The	e district's araduation rate/disaggregated are		Overall	<u> </u>		
	• at or above 90%.	district's graduation rate, alsaggregated gra	Exceeds	4	1	-	
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.		Meets	3	0.75	-	
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.		Approachi		0.73	-	i
	• below 65%.		Does Not N	0	0.25	-	
	Dropout Rate: The district's dropout rate was:		DOCS NOT IV		0.23	16	
			Evende	1	4		35
ostsecondary and	• at or below 1%.	P. A	Exceeds		· ·	(4 for each sub-	35
orkforce Readiness	• at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-10 ba		Meets		3	indicator)	
	at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-10 by 10%)	paseline).	Approachi	<u> </u>	2	4	
	• above 10%.		Does Not N	eet	1		
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The district's average Colo	orado ACT composite score was:		<u> </u>		4	
	at or above 22.		Exceeds		4	_	
	at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10 bar		Meets		3	_	
	at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10 bar	seline).	Approachi	~	2	_	
	• below 17.		Does Not N	eet	1		
oints for each perf	ormance indicator	Cut-Poin	s for accreditation category assig	nment			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Point: The district earned of the points eligible on this				of the to	otal Framework points eligible.	
	t or above 87.5%	Exceeds	• at or above 80%			, and a second	Distinction
	t or above 62.5% - helow 87.5%	Moots	• at or above 64% -	helow 80%			Accredited

Cut-Points for each	performance indicator		Cut-Points for accreditation category assignment					
	Cut Point: The district earned of the points eligible on this	Indicator.	Cut Point: The district earned of the total Framework points eligible.					
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 80%	Distinction			
Growth; Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets		• at or above 64% - below 80%	Accredited			
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Total Framework	• at or above 52% - below 64%	Improvement			
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet	Points	• at or above 42% - below 52%	Priority Improvement			
				• below 42%	Turnaround			

# Districts on Turnaround or Priority Improvement

A district may not be accredited with a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education is required to restructure or close the district. The five consecutive years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the district is notified that it is Accredited with a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

5

# 1-year vs. 3-year Report

Districts receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated District Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more districts to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small districts may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official accreditation category for the district: the one under which the district has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and accreditation rating. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

# Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

#### Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a district's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

# Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	175	165	167	176	165	167	175	165	167	133	135	138
15th percentile	59.26	58.87	57.14	57.99	34.46	18.30	38.48	42.37	32.85	29.46	28.57	30.27
50th percentile	71.51	70.50	71.53	70.51	50.00	32.16	54.72	56.36	48.61	48.00	45.60	48.93
90th percentile	84.37	83.57	84.78	84.60	68.84	52.06	69.66	72.27	67.56	69.72	69.09	70.39


## Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	181	182	183	181	182	182	181	182	183	172	175	179
15th percentile	60.45	56.61	57.63	56.84	36.37	17.78	41.44	41.85	33.82	32.93	30.02	31.43
50th percentile	72.19	69.22	71.31	70.37	49.11	30.51	55.78	56.79	49.70	47.50	46.81	49.18
90th percentile	85.16	81.53	83.80	83.42	65.33	48.01	71.02	70.87	67.71	66.52	65.86	67.31

### Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this district compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the district to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

6



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the district met adequate growth. Districts that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; districts that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

DPF 2012 - 0980

## **Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness**

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

#### State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

# This District's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	62.9	66.3	66.4	67.8
Anticipated Year	2009	66.3	70.2	72.3	
of Graduation	2010	67	73.7		
	2011	72.4			

#### Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	65.6	69.2	69.6	70.6
Anticipated Year	2009	67.2	71.3	73.9	
of Graduation	2010	66.2	74.8		
	2011	73.4			

#### Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	62.3	65.7	66	67
Anticipated Year	2009	63.3	67.9	69.9	
of Graduation	2010	66.4	74.5		
	2011	72.4			

#### Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	43	52.6	56.8	62.5
Anticipated Year	2009	42	49.3	60.3	
of Graduation	2010	50.8	64.9		
	2011	45			

#### **English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)**

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	61.7	65.6	65.6	66.7
Anticipated Year	2009	60	63.6	63.6	
of Graduation	2010	52.9	72.7		
	2011	71.6			

#### State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

# <u>This District's</u> Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	62.9	66.3	66.4	67.8
Anticipated Year	2009	66.3	70.2	72.3	
of Graduation	2010	67	73.7		
	2011	72.4			
	Aggregated	66.9	69.8	69.2	67.8

#### Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	65.6	69.2	69.6	70.6
Anticipated Year	2009	67.2	71.3	73.9	
of Graduation	2010	66.2	74.8		
	2011	73.4			
	Aggregated	68	71.6	71.7	70.6

#### Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	62.3	65.7	66	67
Anticipated Year	2009	63.3	67.9	69.9	
of Graduation	2010	66.4	74.5		
	2011	72.4			
	Aggregated	66	69.1	67.8	67

#### Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	43	52.6	56.8	62.5
Anticipated Year	2009	42	49.3	60.3	
of Graduation	2010	50.8	64.9		
	2011	45			
	Aggregated	45	54.9	58.5	62.5

### **English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)**

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	61.7	65.6	65.6	66.7
Anticipated Year	2009	60	63.6	63.6	
of Graduation	2010	52.9	72.7		
	2011	71.6			
	Aggregated	62	67.6	64.7	66.7

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year DPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

7 DPF 2012 - 0980