District: DENVER COUNTY 1 - 0880 (All - 3 Year')

Accredited w/Priority Improvement Plan

Will enter Year 3* of Priority Improvement or Turnaround

This is the accreditation category for the district. Districts are designated an accreditation category based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the accreditation category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Accred. w/Distinction	at or above 80%
Accredited	at or above 64% - below 80%
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	at or above 52% - below 64%
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	at or above 42% - below 52%
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	below 42%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For districts with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Does Not Meet	33.3%	(5.0 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Approaching	60.7%	(21.2 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	54.4%	(8.2 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Approaching	37.5%	(13.1 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			
TOTAL		47.5%	(47.5 out of 100 points)	

²Districts may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Districts do not receive points for test participation. However, districts are assigned one accreditation category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for districts serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Finance ⁴ Meets Requirements

Safety⁴ Meets Requirements

⁴Districts do not receive points for finance and safety assurances. However, districts that do not meet requirements in at least one area default to Accredited with Priority Improvement (or remain Accredited with Turnaround Plan) until they meet requirements.

Test Participation Rates																
		% of Stude	ents Tested			Participat	tion Rating			Studen	ts Tested			Total S	Students	
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.6%	99.4%	97.4%	99.0%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	55225	46596	27638	129459	55463	46879	28378	130720
Mathematics	99.7%	99.5%	97.8%	99.2%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	55323	46622	27740	129685	55469	46864	28368	130701
Writing	99.5%	99.3%	97.5%	99.0%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	55238	46575	27680	129493	55508	46882	28381	130771
Science	99.7%	99.1%	97.8%	98.9%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	17378	14633	12993	45004	17427	14763	13292	45482
Colorado ACT	-	-	96.1%	-	-	-	Meets	-	-	-	10835	-	-	-	11277	-





^{*} on July 1, 2013

Porformanco Indicators							Lovol: El	ementary School
Performance Indicators District: DENVER COUNTY 1 - 0880	0						Levei. Ei	
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	(3 Year)
Reading	1	Δ	70 1 011103	Does Not Meet	53510	50.78	5	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	53499	52.66	9	1
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	53421	39.75	11	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	16833	26.96	8	-
Total	4	16	25%	Does Not Meet			-	
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	31416	53	46	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	33357	54	62	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	31374	54	56	No
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	1.5	2		Meets	34826	52	40	Yes
Total	8.5	14	60.7%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	22170	51	55	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	24971	51	53	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	3883	41	81	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	13483	54	58	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	16190	52	69	No
Mathematics	11	20	55%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	24022	52	68	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	26898	52	66	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	4066	40	88	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	15398	55	67	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	15972	53	82	No
Writing	11	20	55%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	22144	52	65	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	24948	52	63	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	3894	43	85	No

Meets

Approaching

Approaching

2

13452

20432

English Learners

Total

Students needing to catch up

3

2

32

4

4

60

53.3%

56

54

66

71

No

No

Performance Indicators							Lave	el: Middle School
District: DENVER COUNTY 1 - 0880	n						LCV	(3 Year)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	(3 rear)
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	45094	51.47	9	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	45110	41.58	26	1
Writing	2	4		Approaching	45071	42.75	16	'
Science	2	4		Approaching	14166	31.53	16	
Total	7	16	43.8%	Approaching				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	42419	54	48	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	42468	53	79	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	42374	55	68	No
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	1	2		Approaching	10738	53	54	No
Total	9	14	64.3%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	11	20	55%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	29709	52	60	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	34614	53	56	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	4655	48	87	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	18811	55	62	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	20869	54	74	No
Mathematics	11	20	55%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	29744	52	87	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	34660	53	85	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	4663	50	99	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	18874	56	86	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	23064	53	96	No

Writing

Total

Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible

Students needing to catch up

Students with Disabilities

Minority Students

English Learners

12

2

2

2

3

3

34

20

4

4

4

4

4

60

60%

56.7%

Approaching

Approaching

Approaching

Approaching

Meets

Meets

Approaching

29703

34594

4649

18804

23739

54

54

49

57

56

77

75

94

78

86

No

No

No

No

No

Performance Indicators							Lev	el: High Schoo
District: DENVER COUNTY 1 - 0880								(3 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	·
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	26099	51.24	8	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	26192	22.14	27	
Writing	1	4	1	Does Not Meet	26135	32.83	14	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	12325	30.41	11	
Total	5	16	31.3%	Does Not Meet				
Academic Growth	Doints Farmad	Points Eligible	0/ Doints	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
	Points Earned	4	% PUIIIS		21678			
Reading Mathematics	3	4		Meets		55	43 99	Yes
	2			Approaching	21750	53		No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	21712	54	82	No
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	1	2		Approaching	5672	49	68	No
Total	8	14	57.1%	Approaching				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	11	20	55%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	13947	54	65	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	17448	54	58	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	2029	48	99	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	9068	57	72	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	10273	54	90	No
Mathematics	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	14009	52	99	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	17506	52	99	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	2057	49	99	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	9099	54	99	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	15123	53	99	No
Writing	11	20	55%	Approaching	13123			110
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4	3370	Approaching	13981	52	92	No
	2	4			17480	52	89	No
Minority Students Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching Approaching	2047	47	99	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	9078	56	94	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	13617	53	97	No
Total	32	60	53.3%	Approaching	13017		37	INO
							- · · /2	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness		Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	1	4		Does Not Meet	2075	5/ 15865 /10760/5400	49.2/ 53.7/53.7 /53%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	1	4	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.25	1		Does Not Meet		01/10363/ 6816 /3326	45/50.6/ 50.9 /50.8%	80%
Minority Students	0.25	1		Does Not Meet		25/ 12136 /8190/4147	45.5/ 50.7 /50.5/49.8%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0.25	1		Does Not Meet		45/2055/1383/ 656	34/41.7/45.8/ 47.3 %	80%
English Learners	0.25	1		Does Not Meet	346	66/2766/2106/ 1062	40.2/50.1/52.8/ 53.5 %	80%
Dropout Rate	2	4	11	Approaching		107579	6.8%	3.9%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	2	4		Approaching		10835	17.6	20.1
Total	6	16	37.5%	Approaching				

4

Scoring Guide Level: EMH

formance Indicator	Scoring Guide		Rati	g	Point	Value	Total Possible per EMH Level	Framework Po
•	The district's percentage of students scoring proficient or advance	nd was:	<u> </u>				·	
	at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 by		Excee	ds		4	16	
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile		Mee			3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile		Approa	hing		2	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseling)	, ,	Does No			1	1	
	If the district meets the median adequate student growth percent.				ГСАР	CELA		
	• at or above 60.		Excee	ds	4	2	14	
	below 60 but at or above 45.		Mee	S	3	1.5	(4 for each	
	below 45 but at or above 30.		Approa	hing	2	1	content area	
Academic	• below 30.		Does No	Meet	1	0.5	and 2 for	35
Growth	If the district does not meet the median adequate student growth	percentile and its median student growth percentile			ГСАР	CELA	English	
	• at or above 70.	F	Excee	ds	4	2	language	
	below 70 but at or above 55.		Mee		3	1.5	proficiency)	
	• below 55 but at or above 40.		Approa		2	1		
	• below 40.		Does No		1	0.5	1	
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student grow	th percentile and its median student arowth percent				1 4.4		
	• at or above 60.	and the median stadent growth percent	Excee	ds		4	1	
	below 60 but at or above 45.		Mee			3	†	
	• below 45 but at or above 30.		Approa	-		2	60	
Academic	• below 30.		Does No			1	(4 for each of 5	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate stude	ent arouth percentile and its median student arouth		····cct			subgroups in 3	15
Growth Gaps	• at or above 70.	ent growth percentile and its median stadent growth	Excee	de		4	subject areas)	15
	below 70 but at or above 55.		Mee			3	Subject areasy	i
	below 55 but at or above 40.		Approa			2	†	
	• below 40.		Does No	-		1	†	
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate: The	a district's araduation rate/disaggraphed ara			verall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.	e district's graduation rate/disaggregated gra			4		4	
			Excee			1 0.75	-	
	at or above 80% but below 90%.at or above 65% but below 80%.		Mee Approa		2	0.75	-	
	• below 65%.		Does No		1	0.25	-	
			Does No	weet	1	0.25	16	
	Dropout Rate: The district's dropout rate was:					_	16	
ostsecondary and	• at or below 1%.		Excee			4	(4 for each sub-	35
orkforce Readiness	 at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-10 bath) 	•	Mee	S		3	indicator)	
	 at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-10 b) 	paseline).	Approa			2	1	
	above 10%.		Does No	Meet		1		
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The district's average Colo	orado ACT composite score was:						
	• at or above 22.		Excee	ds		4		
	• at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10 ba	seline).	Mee	S		3	1	
	• at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10 ba	seline).	Approa	hing		2	1	
	• below 17.		Does No	Meet		1		
Points for each perf	ormance indicator	Cut-Point	s for accreditation category as	ignment				
	Point: The district earned of the points eligible on this					of the to	otal Framework points eligible.	
	t or above 87.5%	Exceeds	• at or above 80		neu .	or the tt	rai i rainework points engible.	Distinction
Achievement; • a	L OI BUUVE 01.3/0	Exceeds	- at or above so	U				Distilletion

Districts on Turnaround or Priority Improvement

A district may not be accredited with a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education is required to restructure or close the district. The five consecutive years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the district is notified that it is Accredited with a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

5

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Districts receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated District Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more districts to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small districts may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official accreditation category for the district: the one under which the district has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and accreditation rating. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a district's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

		Reading Math				Writing		Science				
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	175	165	167	176	165	167	175	165	167	133	135	138
15th percentile	59.26	58.87	57.14	57.99	34.46	18.30	38.48	42.37	32.85	29.46	28.57	30.27
50th percentile	71.51	70.50	71.53	70.51	50.00	32.16	54.72	56.36	48.61	48.00	45.60	48.93
90th percentile	84.37	83.57	84.78	84.60	68.84	52.06	69.66	72.27	67.56	69.72	69.09	70.39


Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading Math			Writing			Science					
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	181	182	183	181	182	182	181	182	183	172	175	179
15th percentile	60.45	56.61	57.63	56.84	36.37	17.78	41.44	41.85	33.82	32.93	30.02	31.43
50th percentile	72.19	69.22	71.31	70.37	49.11	30.51	55.78	56.79	49.70	47.50	46.81	49.18
90th percentile	85.16	81.53	83.80	83.42	65.33	48.01	71.02	70.87	67.71	66.52	65.86	67.31

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this district compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the district to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

6



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the district met adequate growth. Districts that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; districts that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

DPF 2012 - 0880

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

This District's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	43.1	49.6	51.5	53
Anticipated Year	2009	46.4	53.2	55.8	
of Graduation	2010	51.8	58.5		
	2011	56.1			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	39.7	46.6	49.2	50.8
Anticipated Year	2009	40.3	49.2	52.6	
of Graduation	2010	47.7	55.8		
	2011	51.9			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	38.3	46.1	48.4	49.8
Anticipated Year	2009	41.8	50	52.7	
of Graduation	2010	49	56.3		
	2011	53.5			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	32.2	39.3	43	47.3
Anticipated Year	2009	31.1	41.1	48.4	
of Graduation	2010	34.4	44.7		
	2011	38.9			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	36.3	49.2	52.3	53.5
Anticipated Year	2009	39.4	49.4	53.4	
of Graduation	2010	42.5	52.9		
	2011	47.2			

State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

<u>This District's</u> Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	43.1	49.6	51.5	53
Anticipated Year	2009	46.4	53.2	55.8	
of Graduation	2010	51.8	58.5		
	2011	56.1			
	Aggregated	49.2	53.7	53.7	53

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	39.7	46.6	49.2	50.8
Anticipated Year	2009	40.3	49.2	52.6	
of Graduation	2010	47.7	55.8		
	2011	51.9			
	Aggregated	45	50.6	50.9	50.8

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	38.3	46.1	48.4	49.8
Anticipated Year	2009	41.8	50	52.7	
of Graduation	2010	49	56.3		
	2011	53.5			
	Aggregated	45.5	50.7	50.5	49.8

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	32.2	39.3	43	47.3
Anticipated Year	2009	31.1	41.1	48.4	
of Graduation	2010	34.4	44.7		
	2011	38.9			
	Aggregated	34	41.7	45.8	47.3

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

7

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	36.3	49.2	52.3	53.5
Anticipated Year	2009	39.4	49.4	53.4	
of Graduation	2010	42.5	52.9		
	2011	47.2			
	Aggregated	40.2	50.1	52.8	53.5

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year DPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

DPF 2012 - 0880