### District Performance Framework 2012

District: CROWLEY COUNTY RE-1-J - 0770

# **Accredited with Improvement Plan**

This is the accreditation category for the district. Districts are designated an accreditation category based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the accreditation category.

Framework Points Earned
at or above 80%
at or above 64% - below 80%
at or above 52% - below 64%
at or above 42% - below 52%
below 42%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For districts with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Participation Rate			
Meets 73.2%	( 25.6 out of 35	points )	
proaching 51.1%	( 7.7 out of 15 p	points )	
proaching 55.6%	( 19.5 out of 35	points )	
proaching 56.3%	( 8.4 out of 15 p	points )	
ung/Plan % of Poin	ts Earned out of Point	s Eligible <sup>2</sup>	

<sup>2</sup>Districts may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

<sup>3</sup>Districts do not receive points for test participation. However, districts are assigned one accreditation category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for districts serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Finance <sup>4</sup>	Meets Requirements
Safety <sup>₄</sup>	Meets Requirements

<sup>4</sup>Districts do not receive points for finance and safety assurances. However, districts that do not meet requirements in at least one area default to Accredited with Priority Improvement (or remain Accredited with Turnaround Plan) until they meet requirements.

<b>Test Participation Rates</b>	;															
% of Students Tested				Participation Rating			Students Tested					Total Students				
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	100.0%	99.2%	100.0%	99.6%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	93	124	62	279	93	125	62	280
Mathematics	100.0%	99.2%	100.0%	99.6%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	93	124	63	280	93	125	63	281
Writing	100.0%	99.2%	100.0%	99.6%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	93	124	63	280	93	125	63	281
Science	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	36	46	29	111	36	46	29	111
Colorado ACT	-	-	100.0%	-	-	-	Meets	-	-	-	30	-	-	-	30	_

1

Level: EMH

(All - 1 Year<sup>1</sup>)

Performance Indicators							Level: El	ementary School
District: CROWLEY COUNTY RE-1-J	l - 0770							(1 Year)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	86	62.79	26	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	86	69.77	48	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	86	47.67	32	
Science	3	4		Meets	34	58.82	72	
Total	9	16	56.3%	Approaching				
A sudawis Counth	Delinte France d		0 Delinte	Detter			Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	56	41	33	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	58	52	47	Yes
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	56	69	49	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	9	12	75%	Meets				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	1	4	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	37	36	38	No
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Mathematics	4	4	100%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	39	60	52	Yes
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Writing	8	8	100%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	37	63	49	Yes
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	33	70	63	Yes
Total	13	16	81.3%	Meets				

Performance Indicators							Leve	l: Middle Schoo
District: CROWLEY COUNTY RE-1-	J - 0770							(1 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	119	73.11	63	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	119	50.42	50	
Writing	3	4		Meets	119	61.34	64	
Science	2	4		Approaching	44	45.45	48	
Total	11	16	68.8%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	110	40	27	Yes
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	109	34	66	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	110	47	52	No
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Total	5	12	41.7%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	6	12	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	74	48	30	Yes
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	37	36	32	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	28	27	61	No
Mathematics	3	12	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	73	34	72	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	36	34	76	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	47	36	89	No
Writing	5	12	41.7%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	74	50	59	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	37	38	55	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	47	50	75	No
Total	14	36	38.9%	Approaching				

DPF 2012 - 0770

Performance Indicators							Lev	vel: High Schoo
District: CROWLEY COUNTY RE-1-J - 07	770							(1 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	62	64.52	28	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	63	28.57	36	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	63	31.75	12	
Science	2	4		Approaching	29	31.03	16	
Total	7	16	43.8%	Approaching				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	57	51	21	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	59	48	94	No
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	57	37	66	No
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)				-				
Total	6	12	50%	Approaching				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Ň.	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	9	12	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	39	56	41	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	21	50	43	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		_	N<20	-	_	
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	22	59	78	No
Mathematics	5	12	41.7%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4	41.770	Approaching	37	41	98	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	21	39	99	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0			N<20		_	_
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	35	50	99	No
Writing	4	12	33.3%	Does Not Meet	55		55	110
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible		4			39	38	74	No
	1	4		Does Not Meet	21			No
Minority Students Students with Disabilities	<u> </u>	0		Does Not Meet	N<20	- 34	- 74	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20			-
	2	4		Approaching	35	40	88	No
Students needing to catch up Total	18	36	50%	Approaching Approaching	35	40	00	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	3	4		Meets		39/41/ <b>38</b> /36	74.4/75.6/ <b>84.2</b> /80.6%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	1.25	2	62.5%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.75	1		Meets		26/30/ <b>24</b> /20	69.2/76.7/ <b>83.3</b> /70%	80%
Minority Students	0.5	1		Approaching		<16/ <b>17</b> /N<16/N<16	-/70.6/-/-%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-		6/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
English Learners	0	0		-		6/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
Dropout Rate	4	4		Exceeds		286	0.7%	3.6%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	2	4		Approaching		30	18.5	20.0
Total	10.25	14	73.2%	Meets				

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the district does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

Scoring Guide

erformance Indicator	Scoring Guide			Rating	Poin	t Value	Total Possible per EMH Level	Framework Poin
	The district's percentage of students scoring proficient or advance	ed was:						
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 b			Exceeds	1	4	16	
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile		.).	Meets		3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile	of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline	).	Approaching		2	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseli		,	Does Not Meet		1		
	If the district meets the median adequate student growth percent	1	centile was:		TCAP	CELA		
	• at or above 60.	<u>_</u>		Exceeds	4	2	14	
	below 60 but at or above 45.			Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each	
	below 45 but at or above 30.			Approaching	2	1	content area	
Academic	• below 30.	Does Not N						35
Growth	If the district does not meet the median adequate student growth	percentile and its median student gro	wth percentile was:		TCAP	CELA	English	
	• at or above 70.		•	Exceeds	4	2	language	
	below 70 but at or above 55.			Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)	
	below 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching	2	1	1	
	• below 40.			Does Not Meet	1	0.5	-	
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student grow	th percentile and its median student o	rowth percentile was:					
	• at or above 60.	·	·	Exceeds		4	-	
	below 60 but at or above 45.			Meets		3	-	
	below 45 but at or above 30.			Approaching		2	60	
Academic	• below 30.			Does Not Meet	t	1	(4 for each of 5	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate stude	ent growth percentile and its median s	tudent growth percentile was:				subgroups in 3	15
·	• at or above 70.			Exceeds	1	4	subject areas)	
	below 70 but at or above 55.			Meets		3	1 .	
	below 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching		2		
	• below 40.			Does Not Meet	t	1		
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate: The	e district's graduation rate/disage	gregated graduation rate w	vas:	Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.			Exceeds	4	1	-	
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.			Meets	3	0.75	-	
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.			Approaching	2	0.5	-	
	• below 65%.			Does Not Meet	1	0.25	-	
	Dropout Rate: The district's dropout rate was:						16	
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.			Exceeds	1	4	(4 for each sub-	35
/orkforce Readiness	• at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-10 ba	aseline).		Meets		3	indicator)	
	• at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-10 l			Approaching		2		
	• above 10%.			Does Not Meet		1	-	
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The district's average Colo	orado ACT composite score was:			·		1	
	• at or above 22.			Exceeds	1 I	4	-	
	• at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10 ba	seline)		Meets		3	-	
	• at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10 ba	•		Approaching	-	2	-	
	• below 17.			Does Not Meet	-	1	-	
						-		
-Points for each per			Cut-Points for accredit					
	Point: The district earned of the points eligible on this				t earned .	of the to	tal Framework points eligible.	
	at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 80%				Distinction
	at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets		• at or above 64% - be				Accredited
	at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Total Framework	• at or above 52% - be				Improvement
• 1	pelow 37.5%	Does Not Meet	leet Points • at or above 42% - be				Pr	iority Improvement

## Districts on Turnaround or Priority Improvement

A district may not be accredited with a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education is required to restructure or close the district. The five consecutive years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the district is notified that it is Accredited with a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

# Reference

## 1-year vs. 3-year Report

Districts receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated District Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more districts to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small districts may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official accreditation category for the district: the one under which the district has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and accreditation rating. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

# **Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators**

## Academic Achievement

	Percent of Stude	nts Profi	cient or A	dvanced	d by Pero	centile Cu	t-Points	- 1-year	(2009-10	) baselin	e)			
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a district's			Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and	N of Schools	175	165	167	176	165	167	175	165	167	133	135	138	
	15th percentile	59.26	58.87	57.14	57.99	34.46	18.30	38.48	42.37	32.85	29.46	28.57	30.27	
	50th percentile	71.51	70.50	71.53	70.51	50.00	32.16	54.72	56.36	48.61	48.00	45.60	48.93	
Escritura.	90th percentile	84.37	83.57	84.78	84.60	68.84	52.06	69.66	72.27	67.56	69.72	69.09	70.39	
	Percent of Stude	nts Profi	cient or A	dvanced	d by Perc	centile Cu	t-Points	- 3-year	aggrega	te (2008-	-10 base	line)		
All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first			Reading			Math			Writing		Science			
year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
	N of Schools	181	182	183	181	182	182	181	182	183	172	175	179	
	15th percentile	60.45	56.61	57.63	56.84	36.37	17.78	41.44	41.85	33.82	32.93	30.02	31.43	

69.22

81.53

71.31

83.80

70.37

83.42

49.11

65.33

30.51

48.01

55.78

71.02

56.79

70.87

49.70

67.71

46.81

65.86

49.18

67.31

47.50

66.52

## Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps


The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this district compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the district to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

72.19

85.16

50th percentile

90th percentile



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the district met adequate growth. Districts that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; districts that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

### Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

#### State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate		
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6		
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9		

### <u>This District's</u> Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	79.4	82.4	80.6	80.6
Anticipated Year	2009	83.8	82.1	84.2	
of Graduation	2010	70.7	75.6		
	2011	74.4			

### State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

### <u>This District's</u> Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	79.4	82.4	80.6	80.6
Anticipated Year	2009	83.8	82.1	84.2	
of Graduation	2010	70.7	75.6		
	2011	74.4			
	Aggregated	76.8	79.8	82.4	80.6

#### Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	65	70	70	70
Anticipated Year	2009	79.2	80	83.3	
of Graduation	2010	70	76.7		
	2011	69.2			
	Aggregated	71	76	77.3	70

#### Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	62.5	70.6		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	64.2	66.7	64	N<16
	Aggregated	64.2	66.7	64	N<16

#### Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

#### English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		1 00	-0		
		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year DPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

#### Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	65	70	70	70
Anticipated Year	2009	79.2	80	83.3	
of Graduation	2010	70	76.7		
	2011	69.2			

#### Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	62.5	70.6		
	2011	N<16			

#### Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

#### **English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)**

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			