Level: EMH

District: SOUTH CONEJOS RE-10 - 0580 (All - 3 Year')

Accredited with Improvement Plan

This is the accreditation category for the district. Districts are designated an accreditation category based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the accreditation category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Accred. w/Distinction	at or above 80%
Accredited	at or above 64% - below 80%
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	at or above 52% - below 64%
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	at or above 42% - below 52%
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	below 42%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For districts with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Does Not Meet	29.2%	(4.4 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Approaching	43.4%	(15.2 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	46.3%	(6.9 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Approaching	60.7%	(21.2 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			

TOTAL	47.7% (47.7 out of 100 points)

²Districts may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Districts do not receive points for test participation. However, districts are assigned one accreditation category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for districts serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Finance ⁴	Meets Requirements
----------------------	---------------------------

y ⁴ Meets Requiremer	nts
y * Meets R	equireme

⁴Districts do not receive points for finance and safety assurances. However, districts that do not meet requirements in at least one area default to Accredited with Priority Improvement (or remain Accredited with Turnaround Plan) until they meet requirements.

Test Participation Rates																
		% of Stude	ents Tested	Participation Rating					Students Tested				Total Students			
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.5%	98.5%	98.4%	98.9%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	183	134	127	444	184	136	129	449
Mathematics	100.0%	98.5%	100.0%	99.6%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	181	134	131	446	181	136	131	448
Writing	100.0%	98.5%	100.0%	99.6%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	182	134	129	445	182	136	129	447
Science	100.0%	98.4%	98.5%	98.9%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	43	62	67	172	43	63	68	174
Colorado ACT	-	-	100.0%	-	-	-	Meets	-	-	-	56	-	-	-	56	-





Performance Indicators							Level: E	lementary School
District: SOUTH CONEJOS RE-10 -	0580							(3 Year)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	179	60.89	16	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	177	53.67	9	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	178	42.13	17	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	42	28.57	11	
Total	6	16	37.5%	Approaching				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	121	36	33	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	120	43	60	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	121	48	51	No
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	0.5	2		Does Not Meet	33	22	41	No
Total	6.5	14	46.4%	Approaching				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	6	12	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	94	38	36	Yes
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	119	36	34	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	42	45	60	No
Mathematics	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	94	43	64	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	118	43	60	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	=
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	51	55	80	No
Writing	6	12	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	93	46	55	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	119	47	51	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-

N<20

73

Approaching

Approaching

English Learners

Total

Students needing to catch up

0

2

19

0

4

36

52.8%

51

69

No

- 6								
Performance Indicators							Leve	l: Middle School
District: SOUTH CONEJOS RE-10 - 0	0580							(3 Year)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	128	50.78	9	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	128	25.78	5	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	128	38.28	10	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	60	20	4	
Total	4	16	25%	Does Not Meet				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	123	32	37	No
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	122	27	85	No
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	123	31	55	No
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	0	0		=	N<20	-	-	-
Total	3	12	25%	Does Not Meet				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
					Jubgicup	Subgroup Miculain Growth	Subgroup Miculan Aucquate	made Adequate
Academic Growth Gans	Points Farned	Points Fliaible	% Points	Ratina		Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating Does Not Meet	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	4	12	% Points 33.3%	Does Not Meet	N			
Reading Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	12		Does Not Meet Does Not Meet	N 89	33	37	No
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students	4 1 1	12 4 4		Does Not Meet	89 116			
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities	1 1 0	12 4 4 0		Does Not Meet Does Not Meet	89 116 N<20	33 33	37 37	No No
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners	1 1 0 0	12 4 4 0 0		Does Not Meet Does Not Meet Does Not Meet	89 116 N<20 N<20	33 33 - -	37 37 - -	No No -
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities	1 1 0	12 4 4 0		Does Not Meet Does Not Meet	89 116 N<20	33 33 -	37 37 -	No No
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Mathematics	1 1 0 0 2	12 4 4 0 0 0 4	33.3%	Does Not Meet Does Not Meet Does Not Meet Approaching	89 116 N<20 N<20	33 33 - -	37 37 - -	No No -
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Mathematics Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4 1 1 0 0 2 3	12 4 4 0 0 4 12	33.3%	Does Not Meet Does Not Meet Does Not Meet - Approaching Does Not Meet	89 116 N<20 N<20 48	33 33 - - - 43	37 37 - - - 63	No No - - No
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Mathematics Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students	4 1 1 0 0 2 3	12 4 4 0 0 4 12 4	33.3%	Does Not Meet Does Not Meet Does Not Meet - Approaching Does Not Meet Does Not Meet	89 116 N<20 N<20 48	33 33 - - - 43	37 37 - - 63	No No - - No
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Mathematics Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4 1 1 0 0 2 3 1	12 4 4 0 0 4 12 4	33.3%	Does Not Meet Does Not Meet Does Not Meet - Approaching Does Not Meet Does Not Meet	89 116 N<20 N<20 48	33 33 - - - 43 22 28	37 37 - - 63 85 85	No No No No No No No
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Mathematics Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners	4 1 1 0 0 2 3 1 1	12 4 4 0 0 4 12 4 4	33.3%	Does Not Meet Does Not Meet Does Not Meet - Approaching Does Not Meet Does Not Meet	89 116 N<20 N<20 48 89 116 N<20	33 33 - - - 43 22 28 -	37 37 - - 63 85 85	No No No No No No No No No
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Mathematics Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities	4 1 1 0 0 2 3 1 1 0 0	12 4 4 0 0 4 12 4 4 0 0	33.3%	Does Not Meet Does Not Meet	89 116 N<20 N<20 48 89 116 N<20 N<20	33 33 - - - 43 22 28 - -	37 37 - - 63 85 85 - -	No No No No No No No No
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Mathematics Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up	4 1 1 0 0 2 3 1 1 0 0 0	12 4 4 0 0 4 12 4 4 0 0 0 4	25%	Does Not Meet Does Not Meet Does Not Meet Approaching Does Not Meet Does Not Meet	89 116 N<20 N<20 48 89 116 N<20 N<20	33 33 - - - 43 22 28 - -	37 37 - - 63 85 85 - -	No No No No No No No No
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Mathematics Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Writing	4 1 1 0 0 2 3 1 1 0 0 0	12 4 4 0 0 4 12 4 4 0 0 0 4	25%	Does Not Meet Does Not Meet Does Not Meet Approaching Does Not Meet Does Not Meet	89 116 N<20 N<20 48 89 116 N<20 N<20	33 33 - - - 43 22 28 - - - 28	37 37 - - - 63 85 85 - - - 94	No
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Mathematics Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Writing Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4 1 1 0 0 0 2 3 1 1 0 0 0 2 3 1 1 3 1	12 4 4 0 0 0 4 12 4 4 0 0 0 4 12 4	25%	Does Not Meet Does Not Meet Does Not Meet	89 116 N<20 N<20 48 89 116 N<20 N<20 81	33 33 - - - 43 22 28 - - - 28	37 37 - - - 63 85 85 - - - 94	No

Does Not Meet

Does Not Meet

3

59

Students needing to catch up

Total

1

10

4

36

27.8%

34

83

No

Performance Indicators							Lev	el: High Scho
District: SOUTH CONEJOS RE-10 - 0580)							(3 Ye
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	123	52.03	9	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	127	8.66	3	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	125	32	13	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	64	17.19	3	
otal	4	16	25%	Does Not Meet				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequa
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4	701011115	Meets	110	54	38	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	109	44	99	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	112	54	84	No
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	0	0		- Approaching	N<20		-	-
otal	7	12	58.3%	Approaching	14-20		-	-
Ocal		12	30.3%	Approaching				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequ
cademic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
eading	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	76	52	38	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	101	52	34	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	_
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	50	54	77	No
lathematics	6	12	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	76	46	99	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	101	44	99	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20		-	
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20		_	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	91	46	99	No
Vriting	7	12	58.3%	Approaching	71			110
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4	30.370		76	54	81	No
	2	4		Approaching	103		79	
Minority Students	0	0		Approaching	N<20	54 		No
Students with Disabilities English Learners	0	0		<u>-</u>	N<20			
0				-			- 04	- N-
Students needing to catch up otal	3 21	<u>4</u> 36	58.3%	Meets Approaching	72	57	94	No
			30.3/6	Approaching				
ostsecondary and Workforce Readiness		Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	3	4		Meets		114/ 86 /50/32	84.2/ 86 /82/75%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	1.5	2	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.75	1		Meets		83/ 63 /36/21	83.1/ 85.7 /80.6/71.4%	80%
Minority Students	0.75	1		Meets		106/ 80 /47/29	84/ 86.3 /83/75.9%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-		6/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
English Learners	0	0		-	N<1	6/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
Dropout Rate	3	4		Meets		501	2%	3.9%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	1	4		Does Not Meet		56	15.6	20.1
otal	8.5	14	60.7%	Approaching				

4

Scoring Guide Level: EMH

formance Indicator	Scoring Guide		Rating	Poin	t Value	Total Possible per EMH Level	Framework Po
<u> </u>	The district's percentage of students scoring proficient or advance	d was:	, ,	_		·	
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 b		Exceeds		4	16	
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile		Meets		3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile		Approachi	ng	2	content area)	
710	below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseling)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Does Not M	<u> </u>	1	_	
	If the district meets the median adequate student growth percenti		2000110111	TCAP	CELA		
	• at or above 60.		Exceeds	4	2	14	
	below 60 but at or above 45.		Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each	
	below 45 but at or above 30.		Approachi	ıg 2	1	content area	
Academic	• below 30.		Does Not M		0.5	and 2 for	35
	If the district does not meet the median adequate student growth	nercentile and its median student arowth percentile		TCAP	CELA	English	
Growth	• at or above 70.	percentile una les median stadent growth percentile	4	2	language		
	• below 70 but at or above 55.		Exceeds Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)	
	below 55 but at or above 40.		Approachi	_	1	_ proficiency)	
	• below 40.		Does Not M	9	0.5	-	
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student grow	th percentile and its median student arowth percen					
	• at or above 60.	an percentile and its median stadent growth percent	Exceeds	1	4		
	below 60 but at or above 45.		Meets		3	1	
	• below 45 but at or above 30.		Approachi	ng .	2	60	
Academic	• below 30.		Does Not M		1	(4 for each of 5	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate stude	ent arouth percentile and its median student arouth				subgroups in 3	15
Growth Gaps	• at or above 70.		4	subject areas)	15		
	below 70 but at or above 55.		Exceeds Meets		3	3ubject areasy	
	below 55 but at or above 40.		Approachi	ισ	2	1	
	• below 40.		Does Not M	9	1	-	
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate: The	e district's araduation rate/disaggregated are		Overall	<u> </u>		
	• at or above 90%.	district's graduation rate, alsaggregated gra	Exceeds	4	1	-	
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.		Meets	3	0.75	-	
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.		Approachi		0.73	-	i
	• below 65%.		Does Not N	9	0.25	-	
	Dropout Rate: The district's dropout rate was:		DOCS NOT IV		0.23	16	
			Evende	1	4		35
ostsecondary and	• at or below 1%.	P. A	Exceeds		· ·	(4 for each sub-	35
orkforce Readiness	• at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-10 ba		Meets		3	indicator)	
	at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-10 by 10%)	paseline).	Approachi	<u> </u>	2	4	
	• above 10%.		Does Not N	eet	1		
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The district's average Colo	<u> </u>		4			
	at or above 22.	Exceeds Meets		4	_		
	at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10 bar		3	_			
	at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10 bar	ıg	2	_			
	• below 17.		Does Not N	eet	1		
oints for each perf	ormance indicator	Cut-Poin	s for accreditation category assig	nment			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Point: The district earned of the points eligible on this				of the to	otal Framework points eligible.	
	t or above 87.5%	Exceeds	• at or above 80%			, and a second	Distinction
	t or above 62.5% - helow 87.5%	Moots	• at or above 64% -	helow 80%			Accredited

Cut-Points for each	performance indicator		Cut-Points for accreditation category assignment					
	Cut Point: The district earned of the points eligible on this	Indicator.	Cut Point: The district earned of the total Framework points eligible.					
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 80%	Distinction			
Growth; Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets		• at or above 64% - below 80%	Accredited			
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Total Framework	• at or above 52% - below 64%	Improvement			
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet	Points	• at or above 42% - below 52%	Priority Improvement			
·				• below 42%	Turnaround			

Districts on Turnaround or Priority Improvement

A district may not be accredited with a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education is required to restructure or close the district. The five consecutive years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the district is notified that it is Accredited with a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

5

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Districts receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated District Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more districts to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small districts may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official accreditation category for the district: the one under which the district has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and accreditation rating. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a district's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	175	165	167	176	165	167	175	165	167	133	135	138
15th percentile	59.26	58.87	57.14	57.99	34.46	18.30	38.48	42.37	32.85	29.46	28.57	30.27
50th percentile	71.51	70.50	71.53	70.51	50.00	32.16	54.72	56.36	48.61	48.00	45.60	48.93
90th percentile	84.37	83.57	84.78	84.60	68.84	52.06	69.66	72.27	67.56	69.72	69.09	70.39


Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

		Reading		Math		Writing		Science				
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	181	182	183	181	182	182	181	182	183	172	175	179
15th percentile	60.45	56.61	57.63	56.84	36.37	17.78	41.44	41.85	33.82	32.93	30.02	31.43
50th percentile	72.19	69.22	71.31	70.37	49.11	30.51	55.78	56.79	49.70	47.50	46.81	49.18
90th percentile	85.16	81.53	83.80	83.42	65.33	48.01	71.02	70.87	67.71	66.52	65.86	67.31

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this district compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the district to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

6



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the district met adequate growth. Districts that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; districts that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

DPF 2012 - 0580

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

<u>This District's</u> Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	72.7	75	75	75
Anticipated Year	2009	88.9	94.4	94.4	
of Graduation	2010	89.2	91.7		
	2011	88.5			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	71.4	71.4	71.4	71.4
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	89.3	92.6		
	2011	84.2			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	73.3	75.9	75.9	75.9
Anticipated Year	2009	88.9	94.4	94.4	
of Graduation	2010	88.2	90.9		
	2011	87.5			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

N<16	11.46	
	N<16	N<16
N<16	N<16	
N<16		
_	N<16	N<16 N<16

State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Average Score	
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0	
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1	

<u>This District's</u> Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	72.7	75	75	75
Anticipated Year	2009	88.9	94.4	94.4	
of Graduation	2010	89.2	91.7		
	2011	88.5			
	Aggregated	84.2	86	82	75

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	71.4	71.4	71.4	71.4
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	89.3	92.6		
	2011	84.2			
	Aggregated	83.1	85.7	80.6	71.4

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	73.3	75.9	75.9	75.9
Anticipated Year	2009	88.9	94.4	94.4	
of Graduation	2010	88.2	90.9		
	2011	87.5			
	Aggregated	84	86.3	83	75.9

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

7

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year DPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

DPF 2012 - 0580