

Accredited w/Priority Improvement Plan
Will enter Year 2* of Priority Improvement or Turnaround

This is the accreditation category for the district. Districts are designated an accreditation category based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the accreditation category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Accred. w/Distinction	at or above 80%
Accredited	at or above 64% - below 80%
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	at or above 52% - below 64%
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	at or above 42% - below 52%
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	below 42%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For districts with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

* on July 1, 2013

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Does Not Meet	29.2% (4.4 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Approaching	60.7% (21.2 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	51.7% (7.8 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Does Not Meet	31.3% (11.0 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate		
TOTAL		44.4% (44.4 out of 100 points)	

²Districts may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Districts do not receive points for test participation. However, districts are assigned one accreditation category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for districts serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Finance⁴ Meets Requirements

Safety⁴ Meets Requirements

⁴Districts do not receive points for finance and safety assurances. However, districts that do not meet requirements in at least one area default to Accredited with Priority Improvement (or remain Accredited with Turnaround Plan) until they meet requirements.

Test Participation Rates

Content Area	% of Students Tested				Participation Rating				Students Tested				Total Students			
	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.5%	98.9%	97.4%	98.8%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	25724	22588	12947	61259	25862	22844	13288	61994
Mathematics	99.6%	99.2%	97.7%	99.0%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	25744	22661	12985	61390	25855	22850	13290	61995
Writing	99.5%	99.1%	97.1%	98.8%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	25723	22648	12902	61273	25862	22848	13294	62004
Science	99.6%	98.7%	97.0%	98.6%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	8337	7119	6563	22019	8368	7210	6764	22342
Colorado ACT	-	-	94.3%	-	-	-	Does Not Meet	-	-	-	5663	-	-	-	6006	-

¹ Data in this report is based on results from: 2009-10,2010-11,2011-12

Final accreditation rating based on: 1 Year DPF report.

Performance Indicators

Level: Elementary School

District: ADAMS-ARAPAHOE 28J - 0180

(3 Year)

<i>Academic Achievement</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>% Proficient/Advanced</i>	<i>District's Percentile</i>
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	24208	46.93	4
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	24179	48.63	5
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	24165	35.44	7
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	7850	23.61	5
Total	4	16	25%	Does Not Meet			

<i>Academic Growth</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	14872	49	48	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	14972	53	64	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	14876	51	58	No
English Language Proficiency (CELEPro)	1.5	2		Meets	18472	47	38	Yes
Total	8.5	14	60.7%	Approaching				

<i>Academic Growth Gaps</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>Subgroup N</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	11141	48	54	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	12143	48	52	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	1403	37	83	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	7871	50	57	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	8069	50	70	No
Mathematics	11	20	55%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	11229	52	68	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	12236	52	66	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	1408	40	88	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	7953	55	67	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	7669	52	81	No
Writing	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	11148	50	63	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	12147	50	62	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	1402	40	85	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	7873	52	65	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	10236	51	71	No
Total	30	60	50%	Approaching				

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the district does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

Performance Indicators

Level: Middle School

District: ADAMS-ARAPAHOE 28J - 0180

(3 Year)

<i>Academic Achievement</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>% Proficient/Advanced</i>	<i>District's Percentile</i>
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	21223	49.86	8
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	21287	39.1	18
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	21279	38.9	11
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	6687	30.01	14
Total	5	16	31.3%	Does Not Meet			

<i>Academic Growth</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	19973	52	49	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	20090	51	79	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	20054	52	71	No
English Language Proficiency (CELEPro)	1.5	2		Meets	5969	55	54	Yes
Total	8.5	14	60.7%	Approaching				

<i>Academic Growth Gaps</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>Subgroup N</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	11	20	55%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	14393	52	57	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	16123	53	55	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	2128	48	89	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	10196	55	59	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	10029	53	74	No
Mathematics	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	14493	51	84	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	16221	51	83	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	2153	50	99	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	10246	52	84	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	11067	53	95	No
Writing	11	20	55%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	14468	52	77	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	16199	52	75	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	2143	45	95	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	10237	55	78	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	11830	54	86	No
Total	32	60	53.3%	Approaching				

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the district does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

Performance Indicators

Level: High School

District: ADAMS-ARAPAHOE 28J - 0180

(3 Year)

<i>Academic Achievement</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>% Proficient/Advanced</i>	<i>District's Percentile</i>
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	11938	48.39	5
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	11964	20.44	24
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	11887	27.47	8
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	6034	28.26	9
Total	5	16	31.3%	Does Not Meet			

<i>Academic Growth</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	11129	51	49	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	11159	51	99	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	11083	53	89	No
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	1.5	2		Meets	4424	55	69	No
Total	8.5	14	60.7%	Approaching				

<i>Academic Growth Gaps</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>Subgroup N</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	7338	52	61	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	8761	52	59	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	1085	46	99	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	5556	54	65	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	5615	51	89	No
Mathematics	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	7356	52	99	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	8789	52	99	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	1080	52	99	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	5579	53	99	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	7738	54	99	No
Writing	11	20	55%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	7330	53	93	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	8739	53	92	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	1084	48	99	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	5548	56	95	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	7673	53	97	No
Total	31	60	51.7%	Approaching				

<i>Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Rate/Score</i>	<i>Expectation</i>
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	1	4		Does Not Meet	10339/7702/5148/2516	46.3/53.5/55.8/58.4%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	1	4	25%	Does Not Meet			
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.25	1		Does Not Meet	6068/4453/2854/1344	43.3/50.6/53.6/54.6%	80%
Minority Students	0.25	1		Does Not Meet	7940/5837/3852/1829	42/49.1/51/53.1%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0.25	1		Does Not Meet	1007/766/528/261	24/34.7/47.5/57.9%	80%
English Learners	0.25	1		Does Not Meet	4037/3031/2088/1059	31.9/40.7/44.5/49.7%	80%
Dropout Rate	2	4		Approaching	54673	6.9%	3.9%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	1	4		Does Not Meet	5663	16.8	20.1
Total	5	16	31.3%	Does Not Meet			

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the district does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

Scoring Guide for Performance Indicators on the District Performance Framework Report

Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide	Rating	Point Value	Total Possible per EMH Level	Framework Points	
Academic Achievement	<i>The district's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:</i>					
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Exceeds	4	16 (4 for each content area)	15	
	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets	3			
	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching	2			
• below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Does Not Meet	1				
Academic Growth	<i>If the district meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:</i>		TCAP	CELA	14 (4 for each content area and 2 for English language proficiency)	35
	• at or above 60.	Exceeds	4	2		
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	Meets	3	1.5		
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	Approaching	2	1		
	• below 30.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5		
	<i>If the district does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:</i>		TCAP	CELA		
	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	2		
	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3	1.5		
	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2	1		
	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5		
Academic Growth Gaps	<i>If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:</i>				60 (4 for each of 5 subgroups in 3 subject areas)	15
	• at or above 60.	Exceeds	4			
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	Meets	3			
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	Approaching	2			
	• below 30.	Does Not Meet	1			
	<i>If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:</i>					
	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4			
	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3			
	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2			
	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1			
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	<i>Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate: The district's graduation rate/disaggregated graduation rate was:</i>		Overall	Disaggr.	16 (4 for each sub-indicator)	35
	• at or above 90%.	Exceeds	4	1		
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.	Meets	3	0.75		
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.	Approaching	2	0.5		
	• below 65%.	Does Not Meet	1	0.25		
	<i>Dropout Rate: The district's dropout rate was:</i>					
	• at or below 1%.	Exceeds	4			
	• at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets	3			
	• at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching	2			
	• above 10%.	Does Not Meet	1			
	<i>Colorado ACT Composite Score: The district's average Colorado ACT composite score was:</i>					
	• at or above 22.	Exceeds	4			
	• at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets	3			
	• at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching	2			
• below 17.	Does Not Meet	1				

Cut-Points for each performance indicator			Cut-Points for accreditation category assignment		
Achievement; Growth; Gaps	Cut Point: The district earned ... of the points eligible on this Indicator.		Total Framework Points	Cut Point: The district earned ... of the total Framework points eligible.	
	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds	Total Framework Points	• at or above 80%	Distinction
	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets		• at or above 64% - below 80%	Accredited
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching		• at or above 52% - below 64%	Improvement
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• at or above 42% - below 52%	Priority Improvement
				• below 42%	Turnaround

Districts on Turnaround or Priority Improvement
 A district may not be accredited with a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education is required to restructure or close the district. The five consecutive years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the district is notified that it is Accredited with a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

Reference

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Districts receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated District Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more districts to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small districts may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official accreditation category for the district: the one under which the district has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and accreditation rating. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a district's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	175	165	167	176	165	167	175	165	167	133	135	138
15th percentile	59.26	58.87	57.14	57.99	34.46	18.30	38.48	42.37	32.85	29.46	28.57	30.27
50th percentile	71.51	70.50	71.53	70.51	50.00	32.16	54.72	56.36	48.61	48.00	45.60	48.93
90th percentile	84.37	83.57	84.78	84.60	68.84	52.06	69.66	72.27	67.56	69.72	69.09	70.39

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	181	182	183	181	182	182	181	182	183	172	175	179
15th percentile	60.45	56.61	57.63	56.84	36.37	17.78	41.44	41.85	33.82	32.93	30.02	31.43
50th percentile	72.19	69.22	71.31	70.37	49.11	30.51	55.78	56.79	49.70	47.50	46.81	49.18
90th percentile	85.16	81.53	83.80	83.42	65.33	48.01	71.02	70.87	67.71	66.52	65.86	67.31

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this district compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELEPro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the district to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELEPro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the district met adequate growth. Districts that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; districts that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

This District's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2008	46.7	52.1	55.7	58.4
	2009	44.4	52.4	55.9	
	2010	45.5	56.1		
	2011	48.5			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2008	41.9	47.5	51.2	54.6
	2009	41.8	50.6	55.7	
	2010	41.6	53.1		
	2011	47.3			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2008	40.8	46.3	50.4	53.1
	2009	39.8	48.3	51.6	
	2010	41.7	52.5		
	2011	45.2			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2008	19.8	31	46.1	57.9
	2009	20.7	34.4	49	
	2010	25.4	39.5		
	2011	31.1			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2008	36.5	42.6	47.3	49.7
	2009	29.5	38.9	41.6	
	2010	30.2	40.4		
	2011	31.2			

State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

This District's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2008	46.7	52.1	55.7	58.4
	2009	44.4	52.4	55.9	
	2010	45.5	56.1		
	2011	48.5			
	Aggregated	46.3	53.5	55.8	58.4

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2008	41.9	47.5	51.2	54.6
	2009	41.8	50.6	55.7	
	2010	41.6	53.1		
	2011	47.3			
	Aggregated	43.3	50.6	53.6	54.6

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2008	40.8	46.3	50.4	53.1
	2009	39.8	48.3	51.6	
	2010	41.7	52.5		
	2011	45.2			
	Aggregated	42	49.1	51	53.1

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2008	19.8	31	46.1	57.9
	2009	20.7	34.4	49	
	2010	25.4	39.5		
	2011	31.1			
	Aggregated	24	34.7	47.5	57.9

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2008	36.5	42.6	47.3	49.7
	2009	29.5	38.9	41.6	
	2010	30.2	40.4		
	2011	31.2			
	Aggregated	31.9	40.7	44.5	49.7

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4-year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year DPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.