District Performance Framework 2012

District: ADAMS 12 FIVE STAR SCHOOLS - 0020

Accredited with Improvement Plan

This is the accreditation category for the district. Districts are designated an accreditation category based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the accreditation category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Accred. w/Distinction	at or above 80%
Accredited	at or above 64% - below 80%
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	at or above 52% - below 64%
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	at or above 42% - below 52%
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	below 42%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For districts with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Approaching	56.3%	(8.4 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	64.3%	(22.5 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	51.1%	(7.7 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Approaching	46.9%	(16.4 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			
TOTAL		55.0%	(55.0 out of 100 points)	

²Districts may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Districts do not receive points for test participation. However, districts are assigned one accreditation category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for districts serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Finance ⁴	Meets Requirements
Safety⁴	Meets Requirements

⁴Districts do not receive points for finance and safety assurances. However, districts that do not meet requirements in at least one area default to Accredited with Priority Improvement (or remain Accredited with Turnaround Plan) until they meet requirements.

Test Participation Rates																
	% of Students Tested				Participation Rating				Students Tested				Total Students			
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	97.8%	96.1%	95.4%	96.6%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	28859	27751	16626	73236	29504	28884	17431	75819
Mathematics	97.2%	96.2%	95.7%	96.5%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	28691	27805	16683	73179	29504	28891	17433	75828
Writing	97.2%	96.1%	95.5%	96.4%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	28657	27763	16659	73079	29496	28894	17437	75827
Science	99.6%	98.9%	98.1%	98.9%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	9665	9555	8288	27508	9707	9665	8452	27824
Colorado ACT	-	-	98.6%	-	-	-	Meets	-	-	-	7207	-	-	-	7312	-

Level: EMH

(All - 3 Year¹)

Performance Indicators							Level: E	lementary Schoo
District: ADAMS 12 FIVE STAR SCH	100LS - 0020							(3 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	27817	65.77	27	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	27629	69.89	48	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	27605	49.42	33	
Science	2	4		Approaching	9319	44.96	44	
Total	8	16	50%	Approaching				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Ν	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	17207	49	33	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	17131	51	47	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	17091	49	44	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	1.5	2		Meets	6632	48	39	Yes
Total	10.5	14	75%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	11	20	55%	Approaching				· ·
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	6563	44	48	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	7180	46	43	Yes
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	1497	37	76	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	3454	49	49	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	5850	48	65	No
Mathematics	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4	· · · · · · · · · ·	Approaching	6590	46	60	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	7200	50	56	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	1504	38	80	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	3479	53	59	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	4776	50	77	No
Writing	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	6565	44	59	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	7178	47	54	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	1500	39	79	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	3466	49	57	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	8854	50	65	No
Total	29	60	48.3%	Approaching				

DPF 2012 - 0020

Performance Indicators							Leve	el: Middle Schoo
District: ADAMS 12 FIVE STAR SCH	100LS - 0020							(3 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	26555	65.32	35	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	26587	54.56	65	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	26567	52.38	33	
Science	3	4		Meets	9120	49.18	57	
Total	10	16	62.5%	Meets				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	24697	48	31	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	24760	49	64	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	24480	46	52	No
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	1	2		Approaching	2022	48	51	No
Total	8	14	57.1%	Approaching				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N .	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	9269	44	49	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	10255	46	43	Yes
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	2033	38	81	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	4789	49	52	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	8041	45	68	No
Mathematics	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	9311	47	79	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	10289	50	74	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	2059	41	98	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	4816	53	77	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	9590	48	93	No
Writing	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	9200	43	72	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	10175	45	64	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	2053	38	92	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	4762	48	71	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	10838	45	81	No
Total	29	60	48.3%	Approaching				

DPF 2012 - 0020

Performance Indicators							Lev	vel: High Schoo
District: ADAMS 12 FIVE STAR SCHOO	LS - 0020							(3 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	16030	65.07	30	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	16076	33.13	58	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	16065	44.82	38	
Science	2	4		Approaching	7975	43.64	35	
Total	9	16	56.3%	Approaching				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	14746	52	22	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	14815	51	91	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	14796	51	61	No
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	1.5	2		Meets	1449	59	69	No
Total	8.5	14	60.7%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	13	20	65%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	3787	51	48	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	6169	52	38	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	1055	48	98	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	2954	56	52	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	5129	53	81	No
Mathematics	11	20	55%	Approaching	0120			
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	3805	52	99	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	6206	52	98	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	1068	49	99	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	2979	55	99	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	8308	54	99	No
Writing	10	20	50%	Approaching	0300			
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	3809	50	86	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	6189	50	79	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	1074	46	99	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	2970	54	86	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	7587	51	93	No
Total	34	60	56.7%	Approaching	7507			110
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	2	4		Approaching	120	26/9039/5785/ 2758	64.7/68.3/70/ 75.3 %	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	1.5	4	37.5%	Approaching			·	
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.25	1		Does Not Meet	31	15/2214/1334/ 609	54.8/59.9/59.6/ 64.2 %	80%
Minority Students	0.5	1		Approaching		52/3659/2380/ 1132	58.7/62.8/64.7/ 68.3 %	80%
Students with Disabilities	0.5	1		Approaching		928/716/460/ 232	48.3/54.2/56.7/ 66.4 %	80%
English Learners	0.25	1		Does Not Meet	16	561/1238/809/ 374	55.1/60.3/62.1/ 63.6 %	80%
Dropout Rate	2	4		Approaching		65810	5.4%	3.9%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	2	4		Approaching		7207	19.4	20.1
Total	7.5	16	46.9%	Approaching				

Scoring Guide

erformance Indicator	Scoring Guide			Rating	Point	t Value	Total Possible per EMH Leve	Framework Poin
,	The district's percentage of students scoring proficient or advance	ed was:					· · ·	
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 l			Exceeds	Γ	4	16	
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile		·).	Meets		3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile	e of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseli	1 0	,	Does Not Mee	t	1	,	
	If the district meets the median adequate student growth percent	-	centile was:		ТСАР	CELA		
	• at or above 60.			Exceeds	4	2	14	
	 below 60 but at or above 45. 			Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each	
	below 45 but at or above 30.			Approaching	2	1	content area	
Academic	• below 30.			Does Not Mee	t 1	0.5	and 2 for	35
Growth	If the district does not meet the median adequate student arowth	does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:						
Ciontin	• at or above 70.	i per centine and no meanan stadent gro		Exceeds	TCAP 4	CELA 2	English language	
	below 70 but at or above 55.			Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)	
	below 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching	2	1.5	proneiency;	
	• below 40.			Does Not Mee	1	0.5	-	
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student grov	wth perceptile and its median student (rowth percentile was:	Dues Not Mee	· ·	0.5		
	• at or above 60.			Exceeds	1	4	1	
	below 60 but at or above 45.			Meets		3	1	
	below 45 but at or above 30.							
Academic	• below 30.			Does Not Mee	t	1	(4 for each of 5	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate stud	ent arowth percentile and its median	tudent arowth percentile was:		·	-	subgroups in 3	15
cional caps	• at or above 70.			Exceeds	T	4	subject areas)	10
	below 70 but at or above 55.			Meets	-	3		
	below 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching	-	2	-	
	• below 40.			Does Not Mee		1	-	
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate: Th	e district's araduation rate/disaa	pregated graduation rate w	/as:	Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.			Exceeds	4	1	-	
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.			Meets	3	0.75	-	
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.			Approaching	2	0.5	-	
	• below 65%.			Does Not Mee	t 1	0.25	-	
	Dropout Rate: The district's dropout rate was:				· <u> </u>		16	
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.			Exceeds	T	4	(4 for each sub-	35
•		acolino)				3	- `	35
Norkforce Readiness	• at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-10 b	-		Meets	-	2	indicator)	
	 at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-10 above 10%. 	baseline).		Approaching Does Not Mee	-	1	-	
		and ACT as manifes as a second		Does Not Wee	L	1	-	
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The district's average Col	orado ACT composite score was:			T		-	
	• at or above 22.			Exceeds	-	4	-	
	• at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10 ba			Meets	-	3	-	
	• at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10 ba	aseline).		Approaching	-	2	-	
	• below 17.			Does Not Mee	t	1		
It-Points for each perf	ormance indicator		Cut-Points for accredit	ation category assignn	nent			
Cut I	Point: The district earned of the points eligible on this	Indicator.		Cut Point: The distric	t earned .	of the to	otal Framework points eligible	•
Achievement; • a	t or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 80%			· · · · ·	Distinction
	t or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets		• at or above 64% - be	low 80%			Accredited
	t or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Total Framework	• at or above 52% - be				Improvement
	elow 37.5%	Does Not Meet	Points	• at or above 42% - be			Pi	iority Improvement

Districts on Turnaround or Priority Improvement

A district may not be accredited with a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education is required to restructure or close the district. The five consecutive years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the district is notified that it is Accredited with a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

Reference

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Districts receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated District Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more districts to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small districts may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official accreditation category for the district: the one under which the district has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and accreditation rating. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

	Percent of Stude	nts Profi	cient or A	Advance	d by Pero	centile Cu	t-Points	- 1-year	(2009-10) baselin	e)			
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a district's			Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes	N of Schools	175	165	167	176	165	167	175	165	167	133	135	138	
results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading,	15th percentile	59.26	58.87	57.14	57.99	34.46	18.30	38.48	42.37	32.85	29.46	28.57	30.27	
mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and	50th percentile	71.51	70.50	71.53	70.51	50.00	32.16	54.72	56.36	48.61	48.00	45.60	48.93	
Escritura.	90th percentile	84.37	83.57	84.78	84.60	68.84	52.06	69.66	72.27	67.56	69.72	69.09	70.39	
	Percent of Stude	nts Profi	cient or A	dvance	d by Pero	centile Cu	t-Points	- 3-year	aggrega	te (2008-	10 base	line)		
All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first			Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
	N of Schools	181	182	183	181	182	182	181	182	183	172	175	179	
	15th percentile	60.45	56.61	57.63	56.84	36.37	17.78	41.44	41.85	33.82	32.93	30.02	31.43	

69.22

81.53

71.31

83.80

72.19

85.16

50th percentile

90th percentile

49.11

65.33

70.37

83.42

30.51

48.01

55.78

71.02

56.79

70.87

49.70

67.71

46.81

65.86

49.18


67.31

47.50

66.52

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this district compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the district to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the district met adequate growth. Districts that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; districts that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate			
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6			
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9			

<u>This District's</u> Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	72.3	74.2	74	75.3
Anticipated Year	2009	60.5	63	66.2	
of Graduation	2010	61.7	68.4		
	2011	65.3			

State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Average Score				
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0				
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1				

<u>This District's</u> Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	72.3	74.2	74	75.3
Anticipated Year	2009	60.5	63	66.2	
of Graduation	2010	61.7	68.4		
	2011	65.3			
	Aggregated	64.7	68.3	70	75.3

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	58	62.5	62.7	64.2
Anticipated Year	2009	49	53.8	56.9	
of Graduation	2010	53.7	63.1		
	2011	58.4			
	Aggregated	54.8	59.9	59.6	64.2

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	64.5	66.7	66.3	68.3
Anticipated Year	2009	55.2	58.4	63.1	
of Graduation	2010	55	63.8		
	2011	61			
	Aggregated	58.7	62.8	64.7	68.3

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

-		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	58.4	61.4	63.4	66.4
Anticipated Year	2009	41.8	44.9	49.5	
of Graduation	2010	47.8	56.2		
	2011	44.9			
	Aggregated	48.3	54.2	56.7	66.4

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

0						
		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year	
	2008	59.3	63.6	60.6	63.6	
Anticipated Year	2009	51.2	54.9	63.4		
of Graduation	2010	49.6	63.4			
	2011	62.1				
	Aggregated	55.1	60.3	62.1	63.6	

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year DPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	58	62.5	62.7	64.2
Anticipated Year	2009	49	53.8	56.9	
of Graduation	2010	53.7	63.1		
	2011	58.4			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	64.5	66.7	66.3	68.3
Anticipated Year	2009	55.2	58.4	63.1	
of Graduation	2010	55	63.8		
	2011	61			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	58.4	61.4	63.4	66.4
Anticipated Year	2009	41.8	44.9	49.5	
of Graduation	2010	47.8	56.2		
	2011	44.9			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	59.3	63.6	60.6	63.6
Anticipated Year	2009	51.2	54.9	63.4	
of Graduation	2010	49.6	63.4		
	2011	62.1			