District: DOUGLAS COUNTY RE 1 - 0900 (All - 1 Year1)

Accredited

This is the accreditation category for the district. Districts are designated an accreditation category based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the accreditation category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Accred. w/Distinction	at or above 80%
Accredited	at or above 64% - below 80%
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	at or above 52% - below 64%
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	at or above 42% - below 52%
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	below 42%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For districts with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Meets	77.1%	(11.6 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	72.6%	(25.4 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	58.9%	(8.8 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Meets	79.7%	(27.9 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			

(73.7 out of 100 points) ²Districts may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points

³Districts do not receive points for test participation. However, districts are assigned one accreditation category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for districts serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

73.7%

Finance⁴ **Meets Requirements**

earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

TOTAL

Safety⁴ **Meets Requirements**

⁴Districts do not receive points for finance and safety assurances. However, districts that do not meet requirements in at least one area default to Accredited with Priority Improvement (or remain Accredited with Turnaround Plan) until they meet requirements.

Test Participation Rates																
		% of Stud	ents Tested			Participa	tion Rating			Student	s Tested			Total St	udents	
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.7%	99.3%	97.5%	99.0%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	17928	11461	8352	37741	17990	11545	8570	38105
Mathematics	99.7%	99.4%	97.8%	99.2%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	17952	11472	8381	37805	17999	11546	8572	38117
Writing	99.7%	99.2%	97.5%	99.1%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	17946	11458	8358	37762	18002	11545	8570	38117
Science	99.7%	99.0%	97.5%	98.8%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	4926	4573	4155	13654	4943	4618	4262	13823
Colorado ACT	-	-	99.2%	-	-	-	Meets	-	-	-	4053	-	-	-	4086	-



Daufaussanas Indiantaus							Laval. F	lawaantam. Cabaal
Performance Indicators							Levei: E	lementary School
District: DOUGLAS COUNTY RE 1 -								(1 Year)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	17543	83.67	88	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	17554	81.35	84	
Writing	3	4		Meets	17549	68.04	87	
Science	3	4		Meets	4819	62.88	81	
Total	12	16	75%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	11908	57	22	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	11928	55	40	Yes
Writing	3	4	- 1	Meets	11917	57	35	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	1.5	2		Meets	1106	56	43	Yes
Total	10.5	14	75%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	14	20	70%	Meets		·		
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	1301	52	37	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	2661	55	27	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	1144	53	62	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	608	56	45	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	2123	60	62	No
Mathematics	12	20	60%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	1306	46	60	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	2669	53	47	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	1149	48	73	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	612	52	63	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	2018	55	79	No
Writing	13	20	65%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	1307	48	52	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	2667	55	42	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	1144	51	72	No

608

3607

Meets

Meets

Meets

55

58

English Learners

Total

Students needing to catch up

3

3

39

4

4

60

65%

56

63

No

No

Dorformanco Indicators							Love	el: Middle School
Performance Indicators District: DOUGLAS COUNTY RE 1 -	0000						Leve	
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Dointe Fliaible	% Points	Dating	N	9/ Duoficiont/Advenced	District's Percentile	(1 Year)
		Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		% Proficient/Advanced		
Reading	3	4		Meets	11204	81.46	83	
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	11215	71.14	94	
Writing	3	4		Meets	11201 4478	72.24	89	
Science	3	4	04.20/	Meets	4478	60.59	80	
Total	13	16	81.3%	Meets				_
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	10625	50	20	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	10636	52	49	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	10626	50	38	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	1.5	2		Meets	310	50	49	Yes
Total	10.5	14	75%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	12	20	60%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	1233	47	38	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	2467	50	27	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	875	46	67	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	507	51	56	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	1802	51	63	No
Mathematics	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	1230	44	78	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	2468	51	62	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	875	47	94	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	508	49	85	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	2515	50	91	No
Writing	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	1230	45	61	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	2464	50	47	Yes

504

2542

Approaching

Approaching

Approaching

2

2

32

English Learners

Total

Students needing to catch up

4

4

60

53.3%

54

48

74

77

No

No

						Le	vel: High Scho
0				•			(1 Yea
Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
3	4		Meets	8163	81.35	81	
3	4		Meets	8188	48.56	85	
3	4		Meets	8167	65.58	87	
3	4		Meets	4045	62.94	80	
12	16	75%	Meets				
						Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Points Earned	Points Eliaible	% Points	Ratina	N	Median Growth Percentile		Growth?
	4					9	Yes
	4						No
							Yes
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						No
		67.9%		13.		- , ;	
3.3		07.570	Wicets				
				Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequat
Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
13	20	65%	Meets				
3	4		Meets	763	50	28	Yes
3	4		Meets	1712	54	14	Yes
2	4		Approaching	565	48	85	No
3	4		Meets	249	56	60	No
2	4		Approaching	1471	54	68	No
10	20	50%	Approaching				
2	4		Approaching	764	48	96	No
2	4		Approaching	1717	48	79	No
2	4		Approaching	564	46	99	No
2	4		Approaching	250	48	99	No
2	4		Approaching	3055	49	99	No
12	20	60%	Approaching				
2	4			765	50	69	No
	4						Yes
2	4						No
3	4		Meets	250	60	88	No
2	4		Approaching	2305	53	87	No
35	60	58.3%					
Points Farned	Points Fligible	% Points			N	Rate/Score	Expectation
		70 F UIIIL3		30.			80%
	<u>-</u>	68 9%		392		04.2/07.1/07.3/ 00.4 70	0070
		00.070			403/385/ 390 /183	6/13/68/1/ 72/9 /71/69/	80%
							80%
							80%
							80%
						· · · ·	3.6%
5	4		ivieets		4003	Z1./	20.0
	Points Earned 3 3 3 3 12 Points Earned 3 2 3 1.5 9.5 Points Earned 13 3 3 2 10 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	Points Earned Points Eligible 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 12 16 Points Eligible 3 4 2 4 3 4 1.5 2 9.5 14 Points Eligible 13 20 3 4 2 4 3 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 3 4 2 4 3 4 2 4 3 4 2 4 3 4 2 4 3 4	Points Earned Points Eligible % Points 3 4 3 4 3 4 12 16 75% Points Earned Points Eligible % Points 3 4 4 3 4 4 1.5 2 9.5 9.5 14 67.9% Points Earned Points Eligible % Points 3 4 4 2 4 4 2 4 4 2 4 4 2 4 4 2 4 4 2 4 4 2 4 4 2 4 4 2 4 4 2 4 4 2 4 4 3 4 4 2 4 4 3 4 4 2 4	Points Earned Points Eligible % Points Rating 3 4 Meets 3 4 Meets 3 4 Meets 3 4 Meets 12 16 75% Meets 12 16 75% Meets Points Earned Points Eligible % Points Rating 3 4 Meets 1.5 2 Meets 9.5 14 67.9% Meets 13 20 65% Meets 3 4 Meets 2 4 Approaching 3 4 Approaching 10 20 50% Approaching 2 4 Approaching 2	Points Earned Points Eligible % Points Rating N 3 4 Meets 8163 3 4 Meets 8188 3 4 Meets 4045 12 16 75% Meets Points Earned Points Eligible % Points Rating N 3 4 Meets 7704 2 4 Approaching 7718 3 4 Meets 7709 1.5 2 Meets 197 9.5 14 67.9% Meets 13 20 65% Meets 3 4 Meets 763 3 4 Meets 763 3 4 Meets 763 3 4 Meets 1712 2 4 Approaching 565 3 4 Meets 1741 10 20 50% Approaching	Points Earned Points Eligible W Points Meets 8163 81.35	

4

Scoring Guide Level: EMH

ormance Indicator	Scoring Guide		Rating	Poin	t Value	Total Possible per EMH Level	Framework Po
	The district's percentage of students scoring proficient or advance	ed was:	, ,	_		· ·	
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 b		Exceeds		4	16	
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile	·	Meets		3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile	e of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baselii	ne).	Does Not Me	et	1	[′]	
	If the district meets the median adequate student growth percent			TCAP	CELA		
	at or above 60.	<u> </u>	Exceeds	4	2	14	
	below 60 but at or above 45.		Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each	
	• below 45 but at or above 30.		Approaching	2	1	content area	
Academic	• below 30.		Does Not Me	et 1	0.5	and 2 for	35
Growth	If the district does not meet the median adequate student growth	percentile and its median student arowth percentile	was:	TCAP	CELA	English	
	• at or above 70.	,,	Exceeds	4	2	language	
	below 70 but at or above 55.		Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)	
	below 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching	2	1	1	
	• below 40.		Does Not Me		0.5	1	
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student grow	yth percentile and its median student growth percent					
	• at or above 60.	,	Exceeds		4	1	
	below 60 but at or above 45.		Meets		3	1	
	below 45 but at or above 30.		Approaching		2	60	
Academic	• below 30.		Does Not Me	et	1	(4 for each of 5	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate stude	ent growth percentile and its median student growth	percentile was:			subgroups in 3	15
	• at or above 70.	<u> </u>	Exceeds		4	subject areas)	
	below 70 but at or above 55.		Meets		3	1	
	below 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching		2	1	
	• below 40.		Does Not Me	et	1	1	
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate: Th	e district's araduation rate/disagareaated ara	duation rate was:	Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.		Exceeds	4	1	1	
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.		Meets	3	0.75	1	
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.		Approaching	2	0.5	1	
	• below 65%.		Does Not Me	et 1	0.25	1	
	Dropout Rate: The district's dropout rate was:					16	
stsecondary and	• at or below 1%.		Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35
rkforce Readiness	at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-10 base)	aseline)	Meets		3	indicator)	
rkioice neddiness	at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-10 but above the state average (using 2009-10 but above the state average).	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Approaching	,	2	- malcatory	
	above 10%.	buseine).	Does Not Me	o†	1	†	
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The district's average Colo	orado ACT composite score was:	DOCS NOT WE		•	1	
	• at or above 22.	ordao Aer composite score was.	Exceeds	1	4	1	
	at or above 22. at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10 ba	seline)	Meets	+	3	†	
	at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10 ba at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10 ba		Approaching	,	2	1	
	below 17. below 17. below 17. below 18. below 19. check 19. below 19. check 19. below 19. check 19. c	ocinic).	Does Not Me	ot o	1	1	
						1	
oints for each perf			s for accreditation category assign				
Cut F	Point: The district earned of the points eligible on this	Indicator.		ct earned .	of the to	otal Framework points eligible.	
	t or above 87.5%	Exceeds	at or above 80%				Distinction

Cut Point: The district earned ... of the points eligible on this Indicator. Achievement; Growth; Gaps 4 at or above 87.5% • at or above 62.5% - below 87.5% • below 37.5% - below 62.5% - below 62.5% • at or above 64% - below 80% • at or above 64% - below 80% • at or above 64% - below 64% • at or abo

Districts on Turnaround or Priority Improvement

A district may not be accredited with a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education is required to restructure or close the district. The five consecutive years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the district is notified that it is Accredited with a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

5

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Districts receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated District Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more districts to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small districts may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official accreditation category for the district: the one under which the district has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and accreditation rating. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a district's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	175	165	167	176	165	167	175	165	167	133	135	138
15th percentile	59.26	58.87	57.14	57.99	34.46	18.30	38.48	42.37	32.85	29.46	28.57	30.27
50th percentile	71.51	70.50	71.53	70.51	50.00	32.16	54.72	56.36	48.61	48.00	45.60	48.93
90th percentile	84.37	83.57	84.78	84.60	68.84	52.06	69.66	72.27	67.56	69.72	69.09	70.39


Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	181	182	183	181	182	182	181	182	183	172	175	179
15th percentile	60.45	56.61	57.63	56.84	36.37	17.78	41.44	41.85	33.82	32.93	30.02	31.43
50th percentile	72.19	69.22	71.31	70.37	49.11	30.51	55.78	56.79	49.70	47.50	46.81	49.18
90th percentile	85.16	81.53	83.80	83.42	65.33	48.01	71.02	70.87	67.71	66.52	65.86	67.31

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this district compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the district to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

6



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the district met adequate growth. Districts that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; districts that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

DPF 2012 - 0900

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

<u>This District's</u> Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	87.1	86.6	87.7	88.4
Anticipated Year	2009	81.9	85.7	87.5	
of Graduation	2010	83.1	87.1		
	2011	84.2			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	73.6	66.1	68.6	71.6
Anticipated Year	2009	55.1	65.9	72.8	
of Graduation	2010	60.7	68.1		
	2011	64.3			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	82.5	75	77.1	78.5
Anticipated Year	2009	67	73.4	76.9	
of Graduation	2010	70.8	76		
	2011	74			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	67.8	72.8	79.9	84
Anticipated Year	2009	67.8	74.1	80.1	
of Graduation	2010	67	76.6		
	2011	65			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	80.6	83.8	86.1	86.1
Anticipated Year	2009	72.5	83.7	83.7	
of Graduation	2010	84.5	92.7		
	2011	75.4			

State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

<u>This District's</u> Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	87.1	86.6	87.7	88.4
Anticipated Year	2009	81.9	85.7	87.5	
of Graduation	2010	83.1	87.1		
	2011	84.2			
	Aggregated	83.9	86.5	87.6	88.4

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	73.6	66.1	68.6	71.6
Anticipated Year	2009	55.1	65.9	72.8	
of Graduation	2010	60.7	68.1		
	2011	64.3			
	Aggregated	62.1	66.9	71.2	71.6

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	82.5	75	77.1	78.5
Anticipated Year	2009	67	73.4	76.9	
of Graduation	2010	70.8	76		
	2011	74			
	Aggregated	72.6	74.8	77	78.5

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	67.8	72.8	79.9	84
Anticipated Year	2009	67.8	74.1	80.1	
of Graduation	2010	67	76.6		
	2011	65			
	Aggregated	66.8	74.5	80	84

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		, 00			
		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	80.6	83.8	86.1	86.1
Anticipated Year	2009	72.5	83.7	83.7	
of Graduation	2010	84.5	92.7		
	2011	75.4			
	Aggregated	78.2	87.2	84.7	86.1

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year DPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

7 DPF 2012 - 0900