District: WILEY RE-13 JT - 2680

(2 Veer***)

Accredited

This is the accreditation category for the district. Districts are designated an accreditation category based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the accreditation category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Accredited with Distinction	at or above 80%
Accredited	at or above 64% - below 80%
Accredited with Improvement	at or above 52% - below 64%
Accredited with Priority Improvement Plan	at or above 42% - below 52%
Accredited with Turnaround Plan	below 42%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For districts with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible*	
Academic Achievement	Meets	62.5%	(9.4 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	75.0%	(26.3 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	63.9%	(9.6 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Meets	83.3%	(29.2 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met			
TOTAL		74.5%	(74.5 out of 100 points)	heath the spirits aggreed and the spirits eligible, as govern and togething

^{*} Districts may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient counts of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

^{**} Districts do not receive points for test participation. However, districts are assigned one accreditation category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject (reading, writing, math, science, and COACT), or (2) for districts serving multiple grade levels, meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject when individual subject rates are rolled up across grade levels AND the district makes AYP participation (in reading and math) for each grade level overall (not including disaggregated groups).

Finance	Meets requirements
Safety	Meets requirements
Districts do not receive and	

Districts do not receive points for finance and safety assurances. However, districts that do not meet requirements in at least one area default to Accredited with Priority Improvement Plan (or remain Accredited with Turnaround Plan) until they meet requirements.

What do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a district's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) median growth: how the academic progress of the students in this district compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this district to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their median and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT composite scores.





Level: Elementary School

District: WILEY RE-13 JT - 2680

3 Year

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	206	69.9%	42	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	206	61.2%	23	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	206	51.9%	42	
Science	2	4		Approaching	46	39.1%	28	
Total	8	16	50%	Approaching				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	151	49	32	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	152	55	56	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	151	41	43	No
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	82	49	39	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	35	58	27	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	52	47	61	No
Mathematics	6	12	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	83	52	60	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	35	47	53	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	61	46	79	No
Writing	6	12	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	82	39	49	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	35	51	35	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	77	42	62	No
Total	20	36	55.6%	Approaching				
Test Participation	% of Students Teste	d		Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	100.0%			95% Participation Ra	te Met	209	209	
Mathematics	100.0%			95% Participation Ra	te Met	209	209	
Writing	100.0%			95% Participation Ra	te Met	209	209	
Science	100.0%			95% Participation Ra	te Met	46	46	

Level: Middle School

District: WILEY RE-13 JT - 2680

3 Year

							·	
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	121	73.6%	62	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	121	45.4%	38	
Writing	3	4		Meets	121	60.3%	61	
Science	2	4		Approaching	63	44.4%	43	
Total	10	16	62.5%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	121	59	30	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	121	55	74	No
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	121	60	53	Yes
Total	10	12	83.3%	Meets				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	9	12	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	66	57	37	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	25	57	30	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	27	58	68	No
Mathematics	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	66	56	76	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	25	58	69	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	<u>-</u>	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	<u>-</u>	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	65	51	92	No
Writing	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	66	53	56	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	25	53	45	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	<u>-</u>	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	50	56	76	No
Total	25	36	69.4%	Meets				
Test Participation 9	% of Students Teste	d		Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	100.0%			95% Participation R	ate Met	121	121	
Mathematics	100.0%			95% Participation R	ate Met	121	121	
Writing	100.0%			95% Participation R	ate Met	121	121	
Science	100.0%			95% Participation R	ate Met	63	63	

3

DPF 2011 2680 - 3 Year

District: WILEY RE-13 JT - 2680

3 Year

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	3	4	70 1 0111115	Meets	123	77.2%	73	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	123	40.6%	78	
Writing	3	4		Meets	123	61.0%	81	
Science	3	4		Meets	63	55.6%	65	-
Total	12	16	75%	Meets		33.070		
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	117	52	13	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	118	60	86	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	117	45	30	Yes
Total	9	12	75%	Meets				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	61	54	22	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	37	49	34	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	28	43	67	No
Mathematics	9	12	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	62	62	92	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	38	60	95	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	55	60	99	No
Writing	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	61	48	42	Yes
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	37	42	64	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	42	47	85	No
Total	24	36	66.7%	Meets				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Rate/Score	Minimum State Expectation	
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	4	4		Exceeds	76/65/ 37 /21	90.8/93.8/ 97.3 /95.2%	80%	
Dropout Rate	4	4		Exceeds	431	0.5%	At/below state average	
Colorado ACT Composite	2	4		Approaching	42	19.0	Above state average	
Total	10	12	83.3%	Meets				
Test Participation 9	6 of Students Tested			Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	100.0%			95% Participati	on Rate Met	123	123	
Mathematics	100.0%			95% Participati		124	124	
Writing	100.0%			95% Participati		123	123	
Science	100.0%			95% Participati		64	64	
Colorado ACT	100.0%			95% Participati		42	42	

Scoring Guide 2011 Level: All Levels coring Guide for Performance Indicators on the District Performance Framework Report Performance Indicator Scoring Guide Point Value Total Possible Rating Framework Points The district's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was: • at or above the 90th percentile of all districts using 2010 (1-year DPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year DPF). Exceeds 4 16 Academic • below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all districts using 2010 (1-year DPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year DPF) Meets 3 (4 for each 15 Achievement • below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all districts using 2010 (1-year DPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year DPF). Approachin 2 content area) • below the 15th percentile of all districts using 2010 (1-year DPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year DPF). Does Not Mee 1 If the districtmeets the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was: • at or above 60. Exceeds 4 • below 60 but at or above 45. Meets 3 • below 45 but at or above 30. Approachin 2 12 Academic below 30. Does Not Mee 1 (4 for each 35 Growth lf the district does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was: content area) 4 • at or above 70. Exceeds below 70 but at or above 55. Meets 3 below 55 but at or above 40. Approaching 2 • below 40. Does Not Mee 1 If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was: at or above 60. Exceeds 4 below 60 but at or above 45. Meets 3 2 below 45 but at or above 30. 60 Approaching Academic • below 30. Does Not Mee 1 (5 for each subgroup **Growth Gaps** If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was: group in 3 content 15 • at or above 70. **Exceeds** 4 areas) • below 70 but at or above 55. 3 Meets below 55 but at or above 40. 2 below 40. Does Not Mee 1 Graduation Rate: The district's graduation rate was: • at or above 90%. Exceeds 4 3 above 80% but below 90%. Meets at or above 65% but below 80%. Approaching 2 below 65%. Does Not Mee 1 Dropout Rate: The district's dropout rate was: • at or below 1%. 4 (4 for each sub-Postsecondary and Exceeds 35 Workforce Readiness at or below the state average but above 1% using 2009 (1-year DPF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year DPF). Meets 3 indicator) 2 • at or below 10% but above the state average using 2009 (1-year DPF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year DPF). **Approaching** • at or above 10%. Does Not Mee 1 Average Colorado ACT Composite: The district's average Colorado ACT composite score was: • at or above 22. **Exceeds** 4 • at or above the state average but below 22 using 2010 (1-year DPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year DPF). 3 Meets • at or above 17 but below the state average using 2010 (1-year DPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year DPF). Approaching 2 • at or below 17. Does Not Mee Cut-Points for each performance indicator: The district earned ... of the points eligible on this indicator. Cut-Points for accreditation category: The district earned ... of the total framework points eligible. • at or above 87.5% • at or above 80% Achievement; • at or above 62.5% - below 87.5% Meets Accredited Growth; Gaps; **Total Framework** • at or above 64% - below 80% Improvement **Postsecondary** at or above 37.5% - below 62.5% Approaching **Points** at or above 52% - below 64% • at or above 42% - below 52% • below 37.5% **Does Not Meet Priority Improvement** • below 42% District accreditation categories Accred. w/ Distinction The district is Accredited with Distinction. A district may not be accredited with a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer Accredited The district is Accredited. than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education is required

5

The district is Accredited with an Improvement Plan.

The district is Accredited with a Turnaround Plan.

The district is Accredited with a Priority Improvement Plan.

Accred. w/ Impr. Plan

Accred. w/ Priority Impr. Plan

Accred. w/ Turnaround Plan

to restructure or close the district. The five consecutive years commence on July 1 during the

a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

summer immediately following the fall in which the district is notified that it is Accredited with

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2010 baseline (1-year DPF)

		Reading		Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	59.3	58.9	57.1	58.0	34.5	18.3	38.5	42.4	32.9	29.5	28.6	30.3
50th percentile	71.5	70.5	71.5	70.5	50.0	32.2	54.7	56.4	48.6	48.0	45.6	48.9
90th percentile	84.4	83.6	84.8	84.6	68.8	52.1	69.7	72.3	67.6	69.7	69.1	70.4

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2008-10 baseline (3-year DPF)

		Reading		Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	60.4	56.6	57.6	56.8	36.4	17.8	41.4	41.8	33.8	32.9	30.0	31.4
50th percentile	72.2	69.2	71.3	70.4	49.1	30.5	55.8	56.8	49.7	47.5	46.8	49.2
90th percentile	85.2	81.5	83.8	83.4	65.3	48.0	71.0	70.9	67.7	66.5	65.9	67.3

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps Did my school meet adequate growth? YES, met adequate growth NO, did not meet adequate growth Exceeds 60-99 Exceeds 70-99 Meets 45-59 Meets 55-69 Approaching 30-44 Approaching 40-54 Does not meet 1-29 Does not meet 1-39

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

This District's Graduation Rate (1-year DPF)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2007	95.2	95.2	95.2	95.2
Anticipated Year	2008	87.5	100.0	100.0	
of Graduation	2009	85.7	89.3		
	2010	N<16			

This District's Graduation Rate (aggregated for 3-year DPF)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2007	95.2	95.2	95.2	95.2
Anticipated	2008	87.5	100.0	100.0	
Year of	2009	85.7	89.3		
Graduation	2010	N<16			
	Aggregated	90.8	93.8	97.3	95.2

State Average Dropout Rate-2009 (1-year DPF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year DPF)

	N of Students	Average Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average Colorado ACT Composite Score 2010 (1-year DPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year DPF)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2010 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade, and the graduating class is assigned by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student entering ninth grade in fall 2006 will graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2010 4-year graduation rate, 2009 5-year rate, 2008 6-year rate, and 2007 7-year rate (the shaded cells in the first table above). For the 3-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2007, 2008, 2009 and 2010 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2007, 2008 and 2009 5-year rate, aggregated 2007, 2008 and 2009 5-year rate, or 2007 7-year rate (the shaded cells in the second table above). For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year DPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

1-year vs. 3-year report

Districts receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated District Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more districts to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small districts may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official accreditation category for the district: the one under which the district has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. This is indicated on page 1.

6

DPF 2011 2680 - 3 Year