Accredited with Improvement Plan

This is the accreditation category for the district. Districts are designated an accreditation category based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the accreditation category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Accredited with Distinction	at or above 80%
Accredited	at or above 64% - below 80%
Accredited with Improvement	at or above 52% - below 64%
Accredited with Priority Improvement Plan	at or above 42% - below 52%
Accredited with Turnaround Plan	below 42%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For districts with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible*	
Academic Achievement	Meets	68.8%	(10.3 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	63.9%	(22.4 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	52.8%	(7.9 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Approaching	58.3%	(20.4 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met			
TOTAL		61.0%	(61.0 out of 100 points)	n both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively

^{*} Districts may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient counts of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

^{**} Districts do not receive points for test participation. However, districts are assigned one accreditation category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject (reading, writing, math, science, and COACT), or (2) for districts serving multiple grade levels, meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject when individual subject rates are rolled up across grade levels AND the district makes AYP participation (in reading and math) for each grade level overall (not including disaggregated groups).

Finance	Meets requirements
Safety	Meets requirements
Districts do not resoive	neight for figures and enfety accurances. However, districts that do not most requirements in at least one area default to Accordited with Drivella Improvement Dlan for remain Accordited with

Districts do not receive points for finance and safety assurances. However, districts that do not meet requirements in at least one area default to Accredited with Priority Improvement Plan (or remain Accredited with Turnaround Plan) until they meet requirements.

What do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a district's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) median growth: how the academic progress of the students in this district compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this district to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their median and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT composite scores.





Level: Elementary School

District: VALLEY RE-1 - 1828

1 Year

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	495	72.9%	56	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	491	76.8%	69	
Writing	3	4		Meets	493	58.2%	62	
Science	3	4		Meets	163	59.5%	73	
Total	12	16	75%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	328	51	33	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	323	42	39	Yes
Writing	2	4		Approaching	326	39	34	Yes
Total	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	11	20	55%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	183	53	39	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	100	51	37	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	27	38	71	No
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	31	48	51	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	104	50	58	No
Mathematics	7	20	35%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	176	40	48	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	98	36	48	No
Students w/ Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	27	19	61	No
English Language Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	31	38	53	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	74	42	73	No
Writing	6	20	30%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	181	34	36	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	99	37	36	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	27	28	62	No
English Language Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	31	36	39	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	143	39	49	No
Total	24	60	40%	Approaching				
Test Participation	% of Students Teste	d		Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	100.0%			95% Participation Rat	te Met	518	518	
Mathematics	99.8%			95% Participation Rat	te Met	515	516	
Writing	100.0%			95% Participation Rat	te Met	517	517	
Science	100.0%			95% Participation Rat	te Met	169	169	

2

District: VALLEY RE-1 - 1828

1 Year

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	497	66.6%	38	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	495	52.7%	57	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	497	52.3%	36	
Science	2	4		Approaching	174	37.9%	29	
Total	9	16	56.3%	Approaching				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	476	46	32	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	474	50	66	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	474	44	46	No
Total	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	11	20	55%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	207	45	46	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	145	47	46	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	54	49	82	No
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	46	47	50	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	150	53	70	No
Mathematics	12	20	60%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	219	52	76	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	144	58	75	No
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	54	45	98	No
English Language Learners	3	4		Meets	46	63	77	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	202	51	91	No
Writing	8	20	40%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	206	40	59	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	145	38	58	No
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	53	42	89	No
English Language Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	46	39	71	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	187	43	78	No
Total	31	60	51.7%	Approaching				
Test Participation %	6 of Students Teste	d		Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	99.8%			95% Participation Rat	te Met	511	512	<u> </u>
Mathematics	99.6%			95% Participation Rat	te Met	509	511	
Writing	99.8%			95% Participation Rat	te Met	511	512	
Science	100.0%			95% Participation Rat	te Met	179	179	

3

Level: High School

District: VALLEY RE-1 - 1828

DISTRICT: VALLET RE-1 - 102								1166
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	338	72.2%	52	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	339	35.1%	57	
Writing	3	4		Meets	338	57.1%	72	
Science	3	4		Meets	169	49.7%	52	
Гotal	12	16	75%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	323	50	22	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	323	57	89	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	323	56	46	Yes
Total	9	12	75%	Meets				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequat Growth?
Reading	14	20	70%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	136	51	35	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	93	51	34	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	33	52	97	No
English Language Learners	3	4		Meets	22	59	42	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	81	59	82	No
Nathematics	14	20	70%	Meets		·		
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	130	57	97	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	93	56	98	No
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	33	52	99	No
English Language Learners	3	4		Meets	22	67	98	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	187	65	99	No
Vriting	12	20	60%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4	00/1	Approaching	136	54	62	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	93	50	59	No
Students w/ Disabilities	3	4		Meets	33	58	99	No
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	22	43	62	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	141	61	89	No
Total	40	60	66.7%	Meets	111	01	- 65	110
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Rate/Score	Minimum State Expectation	
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	2	4		Approaching ²	02/ 179 /200/ 205	71.3/ 76.5 /74.0/71.7%	80%	
Dropout Rate	3	4		Meets	1219	2.7%	At/below state average	
Colorado ACT Composite	2	4		Approaching	152	19.7	Above state average	
Total Total	7	12	58.3%	Approaching Approaching Approaching				
est Participation %	of Students Tested	d .		Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	98.3%			95% Participation	n Rate Met	349	355	
Mathematics	98.3%			95% Participation	n Rate Met	349	355	
Writing	98.3%			95% Participation	n Rate Met	349	355	
Science	97.1%			95% Participation	n Rate Met	170	175	
Colorado ACT	98.7%			95% Participation	on Rate Met	152	154	

Scoring Guide 2011 Level: All Levels coring Guide for Performance Indicators on the District Performance Framework Report Performance Indicator Scoring Guide Point Value Total Possible Rating Framework Points The district's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was: • at or above the 90th percentile of all districts using 2010 (1-year DPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year DPF). Exceeds 4 16 Academic • below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all districts using 2010 (1-year DPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year DPF) Meets 3 (4 for each 15 Achievement • below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all districts using 2010 (1-year DPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year DPF). Approachin 2 content area) • below the 15th percentile of all districts using 2010 (1-year DPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year DPF). Does Not Mee 1 If the districtmeets the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was: • at or above 60. Exceeds 4 • below 60 but at or above 45. Meets 3 • below 45 but at or above 30. Approachin 2 12 Academic below 30. Does Not Mee 1 (4 for each 35 Growth lf the district does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was: content area) 4 • at or above 70. Exceeds below 70 but at or above 55. Meets 3 • below 55 but at or above 40. Approaching 2 • below 40. Does Not Mee 1 If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was: at or above 60. Exceeds 4 below 60 but at or above 45. Meets 3 2 below 45 but at or above 30. 60 Approaching Academic • below 30. Does Not Mee 1 (5 for each subgroup **Growth Gaps** If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was: group in 3 content 15 • at or above 70. **Exceeds** 4 areas) • below 70 but at or above 55. 3 Meets below 55 but at or above 40. 2 below 40. Does Not Mee 1 Graduation Rate: The district's graduation rate was: • at or above 90%. Exceeds 4 3 above 80% but below 90%. Meets at or above 65% but below 80%. Approaching 2 below 65%. Does Not Mee 1 Dropout Rate: The district's dropout rate was: • at or below 1%. 4 (4 for each sub-Postsecondary and Exceeds 35 Workforce Readiness at or below the state average but above 1% using 2009 (1-year DPF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year DPF). Meets 3 indicator) 2 • at or below 10% but above the state average using 2009 (1-year DPF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year DPF). **Approaching** • at or above 10%. Does Not Mee 1 Average Colorado ACT Composite: The district's average Colorado ACT composite score was: • at or above 22. **Exceeds** 4 • at or above the state average but below 22 using 2010 (1-year DPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year DPF). 3 Meets • at or above 17 but below the state average using 2010 (1-year DPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year DPF). Approaching 2 • at or below 17. Does Not Mee Cut-Points for each performance indicator: The district earned ... of the points eligible on this indicator. Cut-Points for accreditation category: The district earned ... of the total framework points eligible. • at or above 87.5% • at or above 80% Achievement; • at or above 62.5% - below 87.5% Meets Accredited Growth; Gaps; **Total Framework** • at or above 64% - below 80% Improvement **Postsecondary** at or above 37.5% - below 62.5% Approaching **Points** at or above 52% - below 64% • at or above 42% - below 52% • below 37.5% **Does Not Meet Priority Improvement** • below 42% District accreditation categories Accred. w/ Distinction The district is Accredited with Distinction. A district may not be accredited with a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer Accredited The district is Accredited. than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education is required The district is Accredited with an Improvement Plan. Accred. w/ Impr. Plan to restructure or close the district. The five consecutive years commence on July 1 during the

5

Accred. w/ Priority Impr. Plan

Accred. w/ Turnaround Plan

The district is Accredited with a Priority Improvement Plan.

The district is Accredited with a Turnaround Plan.

summer immediately following the fall in which the district is notified that it is Accredited with

a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2010 baseline (1-year DPF)

		Reading		Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	59.3	58.9	57.1	58.0	34.5	18.3	38.5	42.4	32.9	29.5	28.6	30.3
50th percentile	71.5	70.5	71.5	70.5	50.0	32.2	54.7	56.4	48.6	48.0	45.6	48.9
90th percentile	84.4	83.6	84.8	84.6	68.8	52.1	69.7	72.3	67.6	69.7	69.1	70.4

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2008-10 baseline (3-year DPF)

		Reading			Math			Writing			Science	
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	60.4	56.6	57.6	56.8	36.4	17.8	41.4	41.8	33.8	32.9	30.0	31.4
50th percentile	72.2	69.2	71.3	70.4	49.1	30.5	55.8	56.8	49.7	47.5	46.8	49.2
90th percentile	85.2	81.5	83.8	83.4	65.3	48.0	71.0	70.9	67.7	66.5	65.9	67.3

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps Did my school meet adequate growth? YES, met adequate growth NO, did not meet adequate growth Exceeds 60-99 Exceeds 70-99 Meets 45-59 Meets 55-69 Approaching 30-44 Approaching 40-54 Does not meet 1-29 Does not meet 1-39

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

This District's Graduation Rate (1-year DPF)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2007	70.6	71.2	71.7	71.7
Anticipated Year	2008	70.2	73.4	74.0	
of Graduation	2009	75.6	76.5		
	2010	71.3			

This District's Graduation Rate (aggregated for 3-year DPF)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2007	70.6	71.2	71.7	71.7
Anticipated	2008	70.2	73.4	74.0	
Year of	2009	75.6	76.5		
Graduation	2010	71.3			
	Aggregated	71.8	73.6	72.8	71.7

State Average Dropout Rate-2009 (1-year DPF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year DPF)

	N of Students	Average Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average Colorado ACT Composite Score 2010 (1-year DPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year DPF)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2010 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade, and the graduating class is assigned by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student entering ninth grade in fall 2006 will graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2010 4-year graduation rate, 2009 5-year rate, 2008 6-year rate, and 2007 7-year rate (the shaded cells in the first table above). For the 3-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2007, 2008, 2009 and 2010 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2007, 2008 and 2009 5-year rate, aggregated 2007, 2008 and 2009 5-year rate, or 2007 7-year rate (the shaded cells in the second table above). For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year DPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

1-year vs. 3-year report

Districts receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated District Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more districts to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small districts may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official accreditation category for the district: the one under which the district has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. This is indicated on page 1.

6