District Performance Framework Report 2011 District: TRINIDAD 1 - 1580

Accredited with Improvement Plan

(3 Year

This is the accreditation category for the district. Districts are
designated an accreditation category based on their overall
framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they
earned out of the total points eligible in each performance
indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring
guide below to determine the accreditation category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned	Α
Accredited with Distinction	at or above 80%	_
Accredited	at or above 64% - below 80%	D .
Accredited with Improvement	at or above 52% - below 64%	Po W
Accredited with Priority Improvement Plan	at or above 42% - below 52%	_
Accredited with Turnaround Plan	below 42%	Te

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For districts with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Point	s Earned out of Points Eligible*	
Academic Achievement	Approaching	50.0%	(7.5 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Approaching	50.0%	(17.5 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	45.8%	(6.9 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Meets	66.7%	(23.3 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met			
TOTAL		55.2%	(55.2 out of 100 points)	
impacted.				m both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively

subject (reading, writing, math, science, and COACT), or (2) for districts serving multiple grade levels, meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject when individual subject rates are rolled up across grade levels AND the district makes AYP participation (in reading and math) for each grade level overall (not including disaggregated groups).

Finance	Meets requirements
Safety	Meets requirements

Districts do not receive points for finance and safety assurances. However, districts that do not meet requirements in at least one area default to Accredited with Priority Improvement Plan (or remain Accredited with Turnaround Plan) until they meet requirements.

What do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a district's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) median growth: how the academic progress of the students in this district compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this district to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their median and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT composite scores.

Performance Indicators

District: TRINIDAD 1 - 1580

3 Year

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	1006	65.9%	28	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	1004	62.6%	26	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	1004	54.5%	47	
Science	2	4		Approaching	315	41.6%	33	
Total	8	16	50%	Approaching				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	603	39	31	Yes
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	607	35	47	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	606	38	36	Yes
Total	5	12	41.7%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	417	34	34	Yes
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	455	38	32	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	90	29	56	No
English Language Learners	4	4		Exceeds	22	64	47	Yes
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	201	39	60	No
Mathematics	6	20	30%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	418	31	50	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	458	34	49	No
Students w/ Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	92	18	61	No
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	23	47	63	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	209	35	73	No
Writing	7	20	35%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	418	36	39	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	457	35	37	No
Students w/ Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	90	25	59	No
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	22	48	62	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	271	40	58	No
Total	23	60	38.3%	Approaching				
Test Participation %	6 of Students Tester	d		Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	99.8%			95% Participation Rate	e Met	1061	1063	
Mathematics	99.8%			95% Participation Rate		1064	1066	
Writing	99.9%			95% Participation Rate	e Met	1064	1065	
Science	100.0%			95% Participation Rate	e Met	330	330	

Performance Indicators

District: TRINIDAD 1 - 1580

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	921	59.8%	23	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	921	37.9%	17	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	921	50.0%	29	
Science	2	4		Approaching	308	31.2%	16	
Total	8	16	50%	Approaching				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	859	46	35	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	860	46	74	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	859	44	51	No
Total	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	11	20	55%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	528	45	40	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	598	45	40	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	100	41	71	No
English Language Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	20	35	52	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	298	46	62	No
Mathematics	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	528	47	80	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	599	45	77	No
Students w/ Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	100	38	96	No
English Language Learners	3	4		Meets	20	58	74	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	482	46	90	No
Writing	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	528	43	58	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	598	42	54	No
Students w/ Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	100	32	87	No
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	20	45	61	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	389	43	79	No
Total	30	60	50%	Approaching				
Test Participation %	of Students Tester	d		Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	99.9%			95% Participation Rat	te Met	946	947	
Mathematics	99.9%			95% Participation Rat	te Met	946	947	
Writing	99.9%			95% Participation Rat	te Met	946	947	
Science	100.0%			95% Participation Rat	te Met	321	321	

Performance Indicators

District: TRINIDAD 1 - 1580

3 Year

	-				l.			5164
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	611	64.6%	28	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	611	21.6%	26	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	610	43.0%	34	
Science	2	4		Approaching	300	35.0%	19	
Total	8	16	50%	Approaching				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	561	44	23	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	561	48	97	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	560	40	58	No
Total	6	12	50%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	9	16	56.3%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	336	43	25	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	376	46	27	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	47	40	94	No
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	175	43	75	No
Mathematics	8	16	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	336	48	98	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	376	48	99	No
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	47	49	99	No
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	_
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	385	49	99	No
Writing	7	16	43.8%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	335	41	66	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	375	40	64	No
Students w/ Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	47	39	99	No
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	287	42	87	No
Total	24	48	50%	Approaching				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Rate/Score	Minimum State Expectation	
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	2	4		Approaching	462/346/ 240 / 137	, 74.9/76.0/ 76.7 /74.5%	80%	
Dropout Rate	4	4		Exceeds	2361	0.7%	At/below state average	
Colorado ACT Composite	2	4		Approaching	283	18.0	Above state average	
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
Test Participation 9	6 of Students Tested	d		Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	99.8%			95% Participation Rat	te Met	641	642	
Mathematics	100.0%			95% Participation Rat	te Met	642	642	
Writing	99.8%			95% Participation Rat		641	642	
Science	99.7%			95% Participation Rat	te Met	315	316	
Colorado ACT	99.6%			95% Participation Rat	te Met	283	284	

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the district/school does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

coring Guide 201	mance Indicators on the District Performance Framework Report					evel: All Leve
erformance Indicator			Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Poir
	he district's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:					
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all districts using 2010 (1-year DPF) or 2008	-10 baseline (3-year DPF).	Exceeds	4	16	
Academic	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all districts us			3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all districts us			2	content area)	
	• below the 15th percentile of all districts using 2010 (1-year DPF) or 2008-10 b		Does Not Mee	t 1	· · · ·	
	f the districtmeets the median adequate student growth percentile and its mediar			1		
	• at or above 60.	<u> </u>	Exceeds	4	1	
	• below 60 but at or above 45.		Meets	3		
	• below 45 but at or above 30.		Approaching	2	12	
Academic	• below 30.		Does Not Mee	t 1	(4 for each	35
	f the district does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and it	s median student arowth percentile was:	F	<u> </u>	content area)	
	• at or above 70.		Exceeds	4	,	
	below 70 but at or above 55.		Meets	3		
	below 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching	2	•	
	• below 40.		Does Not Mee	t 1		
	f the student subgroup meets the median adequate student growth percentile and	d its student arowth percentile was:	Does Not Mee	<u> </u>		
	• at or above 60.		Exceeds	4	-	
	below 60 but at or above 45.		Meets	3		
	below 45 but at or above 30.		Approaching	2	. 60	
Academic	• below 45 but at of above 50.		Does Not Mee	t 1	(5 for each subgrou	
	f the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate student growth perce	ntile and its student growth percentile was:	DOES NOT MEE	<u> </u>	group in 3 content	
Growth Gaps	 at or above 70. 	nthe und its student growth percentile was.	Exceeds	4		15
	below 70 but at or above 55.			3	areas)	
			Meets	-		
	below 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching	2		
	• below 40.		Does Not Mee	t 1		
	Graduation Rate: The district's graduation rate was:		E condo	1		
	• at or above 90%.		Exceeds	4		
	above 80% but below 90%.		Meets	3		
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.		Approaching	2		
	• below 65%.		Does Not Mee	t 1		
	Propout Rate: The district's dropout rate was:			1	12	
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.		Exceeds	4	(4 for each sub-	35
Vorkforce Readiness	 at or below the state average but above 1% using 2009 (1-year DPF) or 2007-0 		Meets	3	indicator)	
	 at or below 10% but above the state average using 2009 (1-year DPF) or 2007- 	09 baseline (3-year DPF).	Approaching	2		
	• at or above 10%.		Does Not Mee	t 1		
	verage Colorado ACT Composite: The district's average Colorado ACT composite s	score was:		-		
	• at or above 22.		Exceeds	4		
	• at or above the state average but below 22 using 2010 (1-year DPF) or 2008-1	0 baseline (3-year DPF).	Meets	3		
	• at or above 17 but below the state average using 2010 (1-year DPF) or 2008-1	0 baseline (3-year DPF).	Approaching	2		
	• at or below 17.		Does Not Mee	t 1		
It-Points for each per	ormance indicator: The district earned of the points eligible on this indicator.	Cut-Points for accreditation catego	ry: The district earne	ed of the t	otal framework poi	nts eligible.
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5% Exceeds		or above 80%			stinction
Growth; Gaps;	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5% Meets	Total Framework • at	or above 64% - belo	w 80%	Ac	credited
Postsecondary	at or above 37.5% - below 62.5% Approaching		or above 52% - belo	w 64%		rovement
	below 37.5% Does Not Me		or above 42% - belo			Improvement
			elow 42%			rnaround
strict accreditation ca	togories					
cred. w/ Distinction	The district is Accredited with Distinction.	A district may not be accredited with a Drie	arity Improvement a	nd/or Turna	ound Plan for longe	
		A district may not be accredited with a Pric		-	•	
credited	The district is Accredited.	than a combined total of five consecutive y			•	
ccred. w/ Impr. Plan ccred. w/ Priority Imp	 The district is Accredited with an Improvement Plan. r. Plan The district is Accredited with a Priority Improvement Plan. 	to restructure or close the district. The five summer immediately following the fall in v	-			

Reference

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement

Percent of Stude	Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2010 baseline (1-year DPF)											
		Reading		Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	59.3	58.9	57.1	58.0	34.5	18.3	38.5	42.4	32.9	29.5	28.6	30.3
50th percentile	71.5	70.5	71.5	70.5	50.0	32.2	54.7	56.4	48.6	48.0	45.6	48.9
90th percentile	84.4	83.6	84.8	84.6	68.8	52.1	69.7	72.3	67.6	69.7	69.1	70.4

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2008-10 baseline (3-year DPF)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	60.4	56.6	57.6	56.8	36.4	17.8	41.4	41.8	33.8	32.9	30.0	31.4
50th percentile	72.2	69.2	71.3	70.4	49.1	30.5	55.8	56.8	49.7	47.5	46.8	49.2
90th percentile	85.2	81.5	83.8	83.4	65.3	48.0	71.0	70.9	67.7	66.5	65.9	67.3

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).


Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

This District's Graduation Rate (1-year DPF)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2007	72.3	73.7	74.4	74.4
Anticipated Year	2008	81.4	81.4	79.6	
of Graduation	2009	72.6	73.8		
	2010	74.4			

This District's Graduation Rate (aggregated for 3-year DPF)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2007	72.3	73.7	74.4	74.4
Anticipated	2008	81.4	81.4	79.6	
Year of	2009	72.6	73.8		
Graduation	2010	74.4			
	Aggregated	74.9	76.0	76.7	74.5



For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

State Average Dropout Rate-2009 (1-year DPF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year DPF)

	N of Students	Average Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average Colorado ACT Composite Score 2010 (1-year DPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year DPF)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2010 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade, and the graduating class is assigned by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student entering ninth grade in fall 2006 will graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2010 4-year graduation rate, 2009 5-year rate, 2008 6-year rate, and 2007 7-year rate (the shaded cells in the first table above). For the 3-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2007, 2008, 2009 and 2010 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2007, 2008 and 2009 5-year rate, aggregated 2007 and 2008 6-year rate, or 2007 7-year rate (the shaded cells in the second table above). For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year DPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

1-year vs. 3-year report

Districts receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated District Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more districts to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small districts may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official accreditation category for the district: the one under which the district has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. This is indicated on page 1.