District: COLORADO SPRINGS 11 - 1010

Level: All Levels

Accredited with Improvement Plan

This is the accreditation category for the district. Districts are designated an accreditation category based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the accreditation category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Accredited with Distinction	at or above 80%
Accredited	at or above 64% - below 80%
Accredited with Improvement	at or above 52% - below 64%
Accredited with Priority Improvement Plan	at or above 42% - below 52%
Accredited with Turnaround Plan	below 42%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For districts with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible*	
Academic Achievement	Meets	64.6%	(9.7 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	69.4%	(24.3 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	52.8%	(7.9 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Approaching	50.0%	(17.5 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met			
TOTAL		59.4%	(59.4 out of 100 points)	m both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively.

^{*} Districts may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient counts of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

^{**} Districts do not receive points for test participation. However, districts are assigned one accreditation category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject (reading, writing, math, science, and COACT), or (2) for districts serving multiple grade levels, meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject when individual subject rates are rolled up across grade levels AND the district makes AYP participation (in reading and math) for each grade level overall (not including disaggregated groups).

Finance	Meets requirements
Safety	Meets requirements
Districts do not rosoivo noi	nte for finance and sofety accurance. However, districts that do not most requirements in at least one area default to Accredited with Drivety Improvement Dlan for remain Accredited with

Districts do not receive points for finance and safety assurances. However, districts that do not meet requirements in at least one area default to Accredited with Priority Improvement Plan (or remain Accredited with Turnaround Plan) until they meet requirements.

What do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a district's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) median growth: how the academic progress of the students in this district compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this district to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their median and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT composite scores.





Level: Elementary School

District: COLORADO SPRINGS 11 - 1010

3 Year

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	18462	69.8%	41	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	18459	69.6%	47	
Writing	3	4		Meets	18435	56.3%	50	
Science	2	4		Approaching	5996	43.6%	42	
Total	9	16	56.3%	Approaching				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	11312	48	30	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	11388	45	45	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	11334	47	37	Yes
Total	9	12	75%	Meets				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	12	20	60%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	6056	45	38	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	4717	46	39	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	879	35	73	No
English Language Learners	3	4		Meets	1463	49	45	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	3276	50	62	No
Mathematics	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	6120	43	53	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	4758	44	53	No
Students w/ Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	899	30	78	No
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	1489	46	56	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	3091	45	75	No
Writing	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	6073	44	45	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	4727	45	44	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	888	33	75	No
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	1466	46	49	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	5072	49	60	No
Total	31	60	51.7%	Approaching				
Test Participation %	6 of Students Tester	d		Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	99.6%			95% Participation Rat	e Met	19809	19878	
Mathematics	99.8%			95% Participation Rat	e Met	19842	19882	
Writing	99.7%			95% Participation Rat	e Met	19827	19890	
Science	99.8%			95% Participation Rat		6415	6430	

Level: Middle School

District: COLORADO SPRINGS 11 - 1010

3 Year

							,	
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	17140	69.6%	51	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	17126	54.8%	66	
Writing	3	4		Meets	17137	58.4%	57	
Science	3	4		Meets	5639	48.4%	54	
Total	12	16	75%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	16042	48	26	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	16032	48	63	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	16061	47	45	Yes
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	12	20	60%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	7666	44	39	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	6457	45	37	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	1197	42	77	No
English Language Learners	3	4		Meets	1752	47	47	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	4433	48	66	No
Mathematics	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	7684	45	73	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	6452	45	72	No
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	1214	42	97	No
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	1756	47	74	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	6306	50	90	No
Writing	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	7683	44	59	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	6465	45	56	No
Students w/ Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	1205	36	89	No
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	1756	50	66	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	6084	48	79	No
Total	31	60	51.7%	Approaching				
Test Participation 9	% of Students Teste	d		Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	99.2%			95% Participation Ra	ate Met	18301	18457	
Mathematics	99.1%			95% Participation Ra	ate Met	18285	18446	
Writing	99.2%			95% Participation Ra	ate Met	18302	18457	
Science	98.8%			95% Participation Ra	ate Met	6031	6103	

3

Level: High School

District: COLORADO SPRINGS 11 - 1010

3 Year

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	2	A TOTALS ETIGIBLE	70 FUIILS	Approaching	10990	69.4%	42	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	11040	36.8%	67	
Writing	3	4		Meets	11004	50.2%	52	
Science	2	4		Approaching	5477	48.7%	47	
Total	10	16	62.5%	Meets	3477	40.770	47	
Total	10	10	02.370	IVICELS		:		
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	10140	46	14	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	10202	47	83	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	10166	47	45	Yes
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	13	20	65%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	3999	46	33	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	3864	47	30	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	590	43	94	No
English Language Learners	3	4		Meets	816	55	60	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	2811	50	80	No
Mathematics	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	4023	47	98	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	3890	47	97	No
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	597	43	99	No
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	823	50	99	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	5194	50	99	No
Writing	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	4015	45	76	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	3877	46	72	No
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	597	43	99	No
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	825	51	90	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	4466	49	91	No
Total	33	60	55%	Approaching				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Rate/Score	Minimum State Expectation	
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	2	4		Approaching	10,476/8,285/ 5,732/2,927	64.3/68.0/ 68.4/68.4 %	80%	
Dropout Rate	2	4		Approaching	47634	4.1%	At/below state average	
Colorado ACT Composite	2	4		Approaching	5421	19.5	Above state average	
Total	6	12	50.0%	Approaching				
Test Participation	% of Students Tested	1		Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	96.3%			95% Participation F	Rate Met	11837	12289	
Mathematics	96.8%			95% Participation F	Rate Met	11896	12290	
Writing	96.4%			95% Participation F	Rate Met	11854	12296	
Science	96.0%			95% Participation F	Rate Met	5923	6168	
Colorado ACT	95.9%			95% Participation F	Rate Met	5421	5654	

Scoring Guide 2011 Level: All Levels coring Guide for Performance Indicators on the District Performance Framework Report Performance Indicator Scoring Guide Point Value Total Possible Rating Framework Points The district's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was: • at or above the 90th percentile of all districts using 2010 (1-year DPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year DPF). Exceeds 4 16 Academic • below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all districts using 2010 (1-year DPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year DPF) Meets 3 (4 for each 15 Achievement • below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all districts using 2010 (1-year DPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year DPF). Approachin 2 content area) • below the 15th percentile of all districts using 2010 (1-year DPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year DPF). Does Not Mee 1 If the districtmeets the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was: • at or above 60. Exceeds 4 • below 60 but at or above 45. Meets 3 • below 45 but at or above 30. Approachin 2 12 Academic below 30. Does Not Mee 1 (4 for each 35 Growth lf the district does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was: content area) 4 • at or above 70. Exceeds below 70 but at or above 55. Meets 3 • below 55 but at or above 40. Approaching 2 • below 40. Does Not Mee 1 If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was: at or above 60. Exceeds 4 below 60 but at or above 45. Meets 3 2 below 45 but at or above 30. 60 Approaching Academic • below 30. Does Not Mee 1 (5 for each subgroup **Growth Gaps** If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was: group in 3 content 15 • at or above 70. **Exceeds** 4 areas) • below 70 but at or above 55. 3 Meets below 55 but at or above 40. 2 below 40. Does Not Mee 1 Graduation Rate: The district's graduation rate was: • at or above 90%. Exceeds 4 3 above 80% but below 90%. Meets at or above 65% but below 80%. Approaching 2 below 65%. Does Not Mee 1 Dropout Rate: The district's dropout rate was: • at or below 1%. 4 (4 for each sub-Postsecondary and Exceeds 35 Workforce Readiness at or below the state average but above 1% using 2009 (1-year DPF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year DPF). Meets 3 indicator) 2 • at or below 10% but above the state average using 2009 (1-year DPF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year DPF). **Approaching** • at or above 10%. Does Not Mee 1 Average Colorado ACT Composite: The district's average Colorado ACT composite score was: • at or above 22. **Exceeds** 4 • at or above the state average but below 22 using 2010 (1-year DPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year DPF). 3 Meets • at or above 17 but below the state average using 2010 (1-year DPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year DPF). Approaching 2 • at or below 17. Does Not Mee Cut-Points for each performance indicator: The district earned ... of the points eligible on this indicator. Cut-Points for accreditation category: The district earned ... of the total framework points eligible. • at or above 87.5% • at or above 80% Achievement; • at or above 62.5% - below 87.5% Meets Accredited Growth; Gaps; **Total Framework** • at or above 64% - below 80% Improvement **Postsecondary** at or above 37.5% - below 62.5% Approaching **Points** at or above 52% - below 64% • at or above 42% - below 52% • below 37.5% **Does Not Meet Priority Improvement** • below 42% District accreditation categories Accred. w/ Distinction The district is Accredited with Distinction. A district may not be accredited with a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer Accredited The district is Accredited. than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education is required

5

The district is Accredited with an Improvement Plan.

The district is Accredited with a Turnaround Plan.

The district is Accredited with a Priority Improvement Plan.

Accred. w/ Impr. Plan

Accred. w/ Priority Impr. Plan

Accred. w/ Turnaround Plan

to restructure or close the district. The five consecutive years commence on July 1 during the

a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

summer immediately following the fall in which the district is notified that it is Accredited with

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2010 baseline (1-year DPF)

		Reading			Math			Writing			Science	
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	59.3	58.9	57.1	58.0	34.5	18.3	38.5	42.4	32.9	29.5	28.6	30.3
50th percentile	71.5	70.5	71.5	70.5	50.0	32.2	54.7	56.4	48.6	48.0	45.6	48.9
90th percentile	84.4	83.6	84.8	84.6	68.8	52.1	69.7	72.3	67.6	69.7	69.1	70.4

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2008-10 baseline (3-year DPF)

		Reading	ading Math		Writing			Science				
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	60.4	56.6	57.6	56.8	36.4	17.8	41.4	41.8	33.8	32.9	30.0	31.4
50th percentile	72.2	69.2	71.3	70.4	49.1	30.5	55.8	56.8	49.7	47.5	46.8	49.2
90th percentile	85.2	81.5	83.8	83.4	65.3	48.0	71.0	70.9	67.7	66.5	65.9	67.3

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps Did my school meet adequate growth? YES, met adequate growth NO, did not meet adequate growth Exceeds 60-99 Exceeds 70-99 Meets 45-59 Meets 55-69 Approaching 30-44 Approaching 40-54 Does not meet 1-29 Does not meet 1-39

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

This District's Graduation Rate (1-year DPF)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2007	63.3	66.4	67.6	68.4
Anticipated Year	2008	63.8	67.5	69.2	
of Graduation	2009	65.5	70.3		
	2010	65.0			

This District's Graduation Rate (aggregated for 3-year DPF)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2007	63.3	66.4	67.6	68.4
Anticipated	2008	63.8	67.5	69.2	
Year of	2009	65.5	70.3		
Graduation	2010	65.0			
	Aggregated	64.3	68.0	68.4	68.4

State Average Dropout Rate-2009 (1-year DPF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year DPF)

	N of Students	Average Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average Colorado ACT Composite Score 2010 (1-year DPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year DPF)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2010 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade, and the graduating class is assigned by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student entering ninth grade in fall 2006 will graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2010 4-year graduation rate, 2009 5-year rate, 2008 6-year rate, and 2007 7-year rate (the shaded cells in the first table above). For the 3-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2007, 2008, 2009 and 2010 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2007, 2008 and 2009 5-year rate, aggregated 2007, 2008 and 2009 5-year rate, or 2007 7-year rate (the shaded cells in the second table above). For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year DPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

1-year vs. 3-year report

Districts receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated District Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more districts to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small districts may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official accreditation category for the district: the one under which the district has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. This is indicated on page 1.

6