District: WELD COUNTY RE-1 - 3080

Level. All Levels

# **Accredited with Priority Improvement Plan**

This is the accreditation category for the district. Districts are designated an accreditation category based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the accreditation category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Accredited with Distinction	at or above 80%
Accredited	at or above 64% - below 80%
Accredited with Improvement	at or above 52% - below 64%
Accredited with Priority Improvement Plan	at or above 42% - below 52%
Accredited with Turnaround Plan	below 42%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For districts with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible*	
Academic Achievement	Approaching	47.9%	( 7.2 out of 15 points )	
Academic Growth	Approaching	47.2%	( 16.5 out of 35 points )	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	46.7%	( 7.0 out of 15 points )	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Approaching	58.3%	( 20.4 out of 35 points )	
Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met			
TOTAL		51.1%	( 51.1 out of 100 points )	n both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively

<sup>\*</sup> Districts may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient counts of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Districts do not receive points for test participation. However, districts are assigned one accreditation category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject (reading, writing, math, science, and COACT), or (2) for districts serving multiple grade levels, meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject when individual subject rates are rolled up across grade levels AND the district makes AYP participation (in reading and math) for each grade level overall (not including disaggregated groups).

Finance	Meets requirements
Safety	Meets requirements
Districts do not resolve no	into fay finance and cofety accurances. However, districts that do not make requirements in at least one area default to Accredited with Driverty Improvement Dian for remain Accredited with

Districts do not receive points for finance and safety assurances. However, districts that do not meet requirements in at least one area default to Accredited with Priority Improvement Plan (or remain Accredited with Turnaround Plan) until they meet requirements.

### What do the performance indicators measure?

#### **Academic Achievement**

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a district's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

## **Academic Growth**

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) median growth: how the academic progress of the students in this district compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this district to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

#### **Academic Growth Gaps**

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their median and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

#### **Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness**

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT composite scores.





**District: WELD COUNTY RE-1 - 3080** 

1 Year

Level: Elementary School

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	428	68.0%	40	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	427	59.2%	17	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	428	52.1%	42	
Science	2	4		Approaching	144	41.7%	36	
Total	8	16	50%	Approaching				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	300	52	37	Yes
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	300	36	50	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	299	43	36	Yes
Total	6	12	50%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	13	20	65%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	182	49	43	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	156	52	46	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	28	45	80	No
English Language Learners	3	4		Meets	79	55	51	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	109	54	60	No
	6	20	30%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	182	35	54	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	155	32	53	No
Students w/ Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	29	35	71	No
English Language Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	78	32	57	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	94	40	72	No
Writing	12	20	60%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	181	44	41	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	155	49	41	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	28	45	71	No
English Language Learners	3	4		Meets	78	46	44	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	162	46	51	No
Total	31	60	51.7%	Approaching				
Test Participation %	of Students Teste	d		Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	99.8%			95% Participation Rat	e Met	441	442	
Mathematics	100.0%			95% Participation Rat	e Met	441	441	
Writing	100.0%			95% Participation Rat	e Met	440	440	
Science	100.0%			95% Participation Rat	e Met	149	149	

2

Level: Middle School

**District: WELD COUNTY RE-1 - 3080** 

1 Year

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	446	59.6%	18	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	447	37.8%	20	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	446	50.0%	31	
Science	3	4		Meets	142	54.2%	66	
Total	9	16	56.3%	Approaching				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	422	53	42	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	423	41	75	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	422	53	56	No
Total	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	11	20	55%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	249	49	51	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	219	53	45	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	50	49	85	No
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	98	48	60	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	169	47	74	No
Mathematics	8	20	40%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	249	40	79	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	220	41	76	No
Students w/ Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	50	34	99	No
English Language Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	97	34	80	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	230	41	92	No
Writing	12	20	60%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	249	53	68	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	219	57	60	No
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	50	45	94	No
English Language Learners	3	4		Meets	98	57	73	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	218	52	81	No
Total	31	60	51.7%	Approaching				
Test Participation %	6 of Students Teste	d		Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	99.8%			95% Participation Rat	te Met	464	465	<del></del>
Mathematics	99.8%			95% Participation Rat	te Met	464	465	
Writing	99.8%			95% Participation Rat	te Met	464	465	
Science	99.3%			95% Participation Rat	te Met	147	148	

3

**District: WELD COUNTY RE-1 - 3080** 

1 Year

Academic Achievement	Doints Farnad	Doints Eliaible	% Doints	Datina	N/	% Proficient / Advanced	District's Parsantila	
	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	236	53.0%	9	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	237	19.4%	18	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	235	31.1%	11	
Science	2	4		Approaching	117	31.6%	17	
Total	6	16	37.5%	Approaching				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	224	36	33	Yes
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	227	34	97	No
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	225	31	64	No
Total	4	12	33.3%	Does Not Meet				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequat Growth?
Reading	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	103	39	39	Yes
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	120	40	51	No
Students w/ Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	21	39	94	No
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	54	44	75	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	82	45	88	No
Mathematics .	7	20	35%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	106	33	99	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	123	39	99	No
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	23	41	99	No
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	56	46	99	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	150	35	99	No
Writing	6	20	30%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4	00/1	Does Not Meet	104	31	76	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	122	33	79	No
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	22	47	99	No
English Language Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	55	29	88	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	124	33	91	No
Total	22	60	36.7%	Does Not Meet	12.1		31	110
	Points Earned				N	Data/Carra	Minimum Chata Funcatation	:
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness  Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	2	Points Eligible  4	% Points	Rating  Approaching	129/138/128/ <b>140</b>	<b>Rate/Score</b> 79.1/76.8/77.3/ <b>79.3</b> %	Minimum State Expectation 80%	
Dropout Rate	3	4		Meets	958	1.3%	At/below state average	
Colorado ACT Composite	2	4		Approaching	119	17.4	Above state average	
Total	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				
	of Students Tested			Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	97.5%			95% Participation R	ate Met	238	244	
Mathematics	98.0%	-		95% Participation R		239	244	
Writing	98.0%			95% Participation R		243	248	
Science	97.5%			95% Participation R		118	121	
Juicine	31.370			22/0 Fai ticipation K	ate iviet	110	141	

Scoring Guide 2011 Level: All Levels coring Guide for Performance Indicators on the District Performance Framework Report Point Value Total Possible Performance Indicator Scoring Guide Rating Framework Points The district's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was: • at or above the 90th percentile of all districts using 2010 (1-year DPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year DPF). Exceeds 4 16 Academic • below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all districts using 2010 (1-year DPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year DPF) Meets 3 (4 for each 15 Achievement • below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all districts using 2010 (1-year DPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year DPF). Approachin 2 content area) • below the 15th percentile of all districts using 2010 (1-year DPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year DPF). Does Not Mee 1 If the districtmeets the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was: • at or above 60. Exceeds 4 • below 60 but at or above 45. Meets 3 • below 45 but at or above 30. Approachin 2 12 Academic below 30. Does Not Mee 1 (4 for each 35 Growth lf the district does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was: content area) 4 • at or above 70. Exceeds below 70 but at or above 55. Meets 3 • below 55 but at or above 40. Approaching 2 • below 40. Does Not Mee 1 If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was: at or above 60. Exceeds 4 below 60 but at or above 45. Meets 3 2 below 45 but at or above 30. 60 Approaching Academic • below 30. Does Not Mee 1 (5 for each subgroup **Growth Gaps** If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was: group in 3 content 15 • at or above 70. **Exceeds** 4 areas) • below 70 but at or above 55. 3 Meets below 55 but at or above 40. 2 below 40. Does Not Mee 1 Graduation Rate: The district's graduation rate was: • at or above 90%. Exceeds 4 3 above 80% but below 90%. Meets at or above 65% but below 80%. Approaching 2 below 65%. Does Not Mee 1 Dropout Rate: The district's dropout rate was: • at or below 1%. 4 (4 for each sub-Postsecondary and Exceeds 35 Workforce Readiness at or below the state average but above 1% using 2009 (1-year DPF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year DPF). Meets 3 indicator) 2 • at or below 10% but above the state average using 2009 (1-year DPF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year DPF). **Approaching** • at or above 10%. Does Not Mee 1 Average Colorado ACT Composite: The district's average Colorado ACT composite score was: • at or above 22. **Exceeds** 4 • at or above the state average but below 22 using 2010 (1-year DPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year DPF). 3 Meets • at or above 17 but below the state average using 2010 (1-year DPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year DPF). Approaching 2 • at or below 17. Does Not Mee Cut-Points for each performance indicator: The district earned ... of the points eligible on this indicator. Cut-Points for accreditation category: The district earned ... of the total framework points eligible. • at or above 87.5% • at or above 80% Achievement; • at or above 62.5% - below 87.5% Meets Accredited Growth; Gaps; **Total Framework** • at or above 64% - below 80% **Postsecondary**  at or above 37.5% - below 62.5% Approaching **Points**  at or above 52% - below 64% Improvement • at or above 42% - below 52% • below 37.5% **Does Not Meet Priority Improvement** • below 42% District accreditation categories Accred. w/ Distinction The district is Accredited with Distinction. A district may not be accredited with a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer Accredited The district is Accredited. than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education is required

5

The district is Accredited with an Improvement Plan.

The district is Accredited with a Turnaround Plan.

The district is Accredited with a Priority Improvement Plan.

Accred. w/ Impr. Plan

Accred. w/ Priority Impr. Plan

Accred. w/ Turnaround Plan

to restructure or close the district. The five consecutive years commence on July 1 during the

a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

summer immediately following the fall in which the district is notified that it is Accredited with

# **Comparison Data**

#### **Academic Achievement**

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2010 baseline (1-year DPF)

		Reading		Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	59.3	58.9	57.1	58.0	34.5	18.3	38.5	42.4	32.9	29.5	28.6	30.3
50th percentile	71.5	70.5	71.5	70.5	50.0	32.2	54.7	56.4	48.6	48.0	45.6	48.9
90th percentile	84.4	83.6	84.8	84.6	68.8	52.1	69.7	72.3	67.6	69.7	69.1	70.4

#### Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2008-10 baseline (3-year DPF)

		Reading		Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	60.4	56.6	57.6	56.8	36.4	17.8	41.4	41.8	33.8	32.9	30.0	31.4
50th percentile	72.2	69.2	71.3	70.4	49.1	30.5	55.8	56.8	49.7	47.5	46.8	49.2
90th percentile	85.2	81.5	83.8	83.4	65.3	48.0	71.0	70.9	67.7	66.5	65.9	67.3

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

#### Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps Did my school meet adequate growth? YES, met adequate growth NO, did not meet adequate growth Exceeds 60-99 Exceeds 70-99 Meets 45-59 Meets 55-69 Approaching 30-44 Approaching 40-54 Does not meet 1-29 Does not meet 1-39

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

# **Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness**

This District's Graduation Rate (1-year DPF)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2007	75.4	78.9	79.4	79.3
Anticipated Year	2008	78.7	77.3	77.3	
of Graduation	2009	76.1	76.8	•	
	2010	79.1			

# This District's Graduation Rate (aggregated for 3-year DPF)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2007	75.4	78.9	79.4	79.3
Anticipated	2008	78.7	77.3	77.3	
Year of	2009	76.1	76.8		
Graduation	2010	79.1			
	Aggregated	77.3	77.7	78.4	79.3

#### State Average Dropout Rate-2009 (1-year DPF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year DPF)

	N of Students	Average Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

# State Average Colorado ACT Composite Score 2010 (1-year DPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year DPF)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2010 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade, and the graduating class is assigned by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student entering ninth grade in fall 2006 will graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2010 4-year graduation rate, 2009 5-year rate, 2008 6-year rate, and 2007 7-year rate (the shaded cells in the first table above). For the 3-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2007, 2008, 2009 and 2010 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2007, 2008 and 2009 5-year rate, aggregated 2007, 2008 and 2009 5-year rate, or 2007 7-year rate (the shaded cells in the second table above). For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year DPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

# 1-year vs. 3-year report

Districts receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated District Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more districts to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small districts may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official accreditation category for the district: the one under which the district has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. This is indicated on page 1.

6