Accredited with Improvement Plan

This is the accreditation category for the district. Districts are designated an accreditation category based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the accreditation category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Accredited with Distinction	at or above 80%
Accredited	at or above 64% - below 80%
Accredited with Improvement	at or above 52% - below 64%
Accredited with Priority Improvement Plan	at or above 42% - below 52%
Accredited with Turnaround Plan	below 42%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For districts with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	s Earned out of Points Eligible*	
Academic Achievement	Approaching	41.7%	(6.3 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Approaching	38.9%	(13.6 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Does Not Meet	35.6%	(5.3 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Meets	66.7%	(23.3 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met			
TOTAL	ossible egiste en eg indicator due to investig	48.5%	(48.5 out of 100 points)	n both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively

^{*} Districts may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient counts of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

^{**} Districts do not receive points for test participation. However, districts are assigned one accreditation category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject (reading, writing, math, science, and COACT), or (2) for districts serving multiple grade levels, meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject when individual subject rates are rolled up across grade levels AND the district makes AYP participation (in reading and math) for each grade level overall (not including disaggregated groups).

Finance	Meets requirements
Safety	Meets requirements
Districts do not receive noi	interface francia and cofety accourances. However, districts that do not make requirements in at least one area default to Accordited with Delevity Improvement Dian for remain Accordited with

Districts do not receive points for finance and safety assurances. However, districts that do not meet requirements in at least one area default to Accredited with Priority Improvement Plan (or remain Accredited with Turnaround Plan) until they meet requirements.

What do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a district's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) median growth: how the academic progress of the students in this district compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this district to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their median and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT composite scores.





District: TRINIDAD 1 - 1580

1 Year

								1100
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	343	67.4%	37	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	343	68.5%	40	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	343	51.6%	40	
Science	2	4		Approaching	115	40.0%	32	
Total	8	16	50%	Approaching				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	209	39	34	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	209	43	44	No
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	209	31	35	No
Total	5	12	41.7%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	6	16	37.5%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	149	37	36	Yes
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	163	40	34	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	33	18	65	No
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	75	33	64	No
Mathematics	7	16	43.8%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	149	38	46	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	163	45	45	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	34	18	60	No
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	66	44	76	No
Writing	4	16	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	149	29	36	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	163	29	36	No
Students w/ Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	33	21	59	No
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	98	35	55	No
Total	17	48	35.4%	Does Not Meet				
Test Participation 9	6 of Students Teste	d		Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	100.0%			95% Participation Rate	e Met	361	361	
Mathematics	100.0%			95% Participation Rate	e Met	364	364	
Writing	100.0%			95% Participation Rate	e Met	364	364	
Science	100.0%			95% Participation Rate	e Met	119	119	

District: TRINIDAD 1 - 1580

1 Year

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	269	54.3%	9	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	269	34.9%	16	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	269	46.8%	23	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	99	16.2%	0	
Total	6	16	37.5%	Approaching				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	255	33	39	No
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	255	40	75	No
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	255	38	50	No
Total	4	12	33.3%	Does Not Meet				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	4	16	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	160	34	44	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	187	33	41	No
Students w/ Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	29	22	79	No
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	93	31	68	No
Mathematics	7	16	43.8%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	160	42	79	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	187	42	76	No
Students w/ Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	29	35	98	No
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	146	40	91	No
Writing	4	16	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	160	36	56	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	187	37	50	No
Students w/ Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	29	22	88	No
English Language Learners	0	0			N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	123	31	79	No
Total	15	48	31.3%	Does Not Meet				
Test Participation 9	% of Students Teste	d		Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	99.6%			95% Participation Rat	e Met	275	276	
Mathematics	99.6%			95% Participation Rat	e Met	275	276	
Writing	99.6%			95% Participation Rat	e Met	275	276	
Science	100.0%			95% Participation Rat	e Met	100	100	

3

Level: High School

District: TRINIDAD 1 - 1580

1 Year

DISTRICT. TRINIDAD 1 - 1360	•							1 160
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	187	56.7%	13	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	187	17.6%	12	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	186	44.1%	38	
Science	2	4		Approaching	84	32.1%	18	
Total	6	16	37.5%	Approaching				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	177	37	27	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	177	43	96	No
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	176	35	54	No
Total	5	12	41.7%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	5	12	41.7%	Approaching Approa				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	103	37	30	Yes
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	123	37	31	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	=
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	56	38	79	No
Mathematics	6	12	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	103	43	98	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	123	43	97	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	_
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	_
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	114	45	99	No
Writing	4	12	33.3%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4	33.375	Does Not Meet	102	39	69	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	122	40	66	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0			N<20	-	-	-
English Language Learners	0	0			N<20			_
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	85	39	86	No
Total	15	36	41.7%	Approaching	03		30	140
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Rate/Score	Minimum State Expectation	
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	2	4		Approaching	117/107/ 103 /	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	80%	
Dropout Rate	4	4		Exceeds	775	0.1%	At/below state average	
Colorado ACT Composite	2	4		Approaching	107	18.5	Above state average	
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets			,	
Test Participation %	of Students Tested	1		Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	100.0%			95% Participation R	ate Met	197	197	
Mathematics	100.0%			95% Participation R		197	197	
Writing	99.5%			95% Participation R		196	197	
Science	100.0%			95% Participation R		87	87	
Colorado ACT				- P				

Scoring Guide 2011 Level: All Levels coring Guide for Performance Indicators on the District Performance Framework Report Performance Indicator Scoring Guide Point Value Total Possible Rating Framework Points The district's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was: • at or above the 90th percentile of all districts using 2010 (1-year DPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year DPF). **Exceeds** 4 16 Academic • below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all districts using 2010 (1-year DPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year DPF) Meets 3 (4 for each 15 Achievement • below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all districts using 2010 (1-year DPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year DPF). Approachin 2 content area) • below the 15th percentile of all districts using 2010 (1-year DPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year DPF). Does Not Mee 1 If the districtmeets the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was: • at or above 60. Exceeds 4 • below 60 but at or above 45. Meets 3 • below 45 but at or above 30. Approachin 2 12 Academic below 30. Does Not Mee 1 (4 for each 35 Growth lf the district does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was: content area) 4 • at or above 70. Exceeds below 70 but at or above 55. Meets 3 • below 55 but at or above 40. Approaching 2 • below 40. Does Not Mee 1 If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was: at or above 60. Exceeds 4 below 60 but at or above 45. Meets 3 2 below 45 but at or above 30. 60 Approaching Academic • below 30. Does Not Mee 1 (5 for each subgroup **Growth Gaps** If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was: group in 3 content 15 • at or above 70. **Exceeds** 4 areas) • below 70 but at or above 55. 3 Meets below 55 but at or above 40. 2 below 40. Does Not Mee 1 Graduation Rate: The district's graduation rate was: • at or above 90%. Exceeds 4 3 above 80% but below 90%. Meets at or above 65% but below 80%. Approaching 2 below 65%. Does Not Mee 1 Dropout Rate: The district's dropout rate was: • at or below 1%. 4 (4 for each sub-Postsecondary and Exceeds 35 Workforce Readiness at or below the state average but above 1% using 2009 (1-year DPF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year DPF). Meets 3 indicator) 2 • at or below 10% but above the state average using 2009 (1-year DPF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year DPF). **Approaching** • at or above 10%. Does Not Mee 1 Average Colorado ACT Composite: The district's average Colorado ACT composite score was: • at or above 22. **Exceeds** 4 • at or above the state average but below 22 using 2010 (1-year DPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year DPF). 3 Meets • at or above 17 but below the state average using 2010 (1-year DPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year DPF). Approaching 2 • at or below 17. Does Not Mee Cut-Points for each performance indicator: The district earned ... of the points eligible on this indicator. Cut-Points for accreditation category: The district earned ... of the total framework points eligible. • at or above 87.5% • at or above 80% Achievement; • at or above 62.5% - below 87.5% Meets Accredited Growth; Gaps; **Total Framework** • at or above 64% - below 80% Improvement **Postsecondary** at or above 37.5% - below 62.5% Approaching **Points** at or above 52% - below 64% • at or above 42% - below 52% • below 37.5% **Does Not Meet Priority Improvement** • below 42% District accreditation categories Accred. w/ Distinction The district is Accredited with Distinction. A district may not be accredited with a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer Accredited The district is Accredited. than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education is required The district is Accredited with an Improvement Plan. Accred. w/ Impr. Plan to restructure or close the district. The five consecutive years commence on July 1 during the

5

Accred. w/ Priority Impr. Plan

Accred. w/ Turnaround Plan

The district is Accredited with a Priority Improvement Plan.

The district is Accredited with a Turnaround Plan.

DPF 2011 1580 - 1 Year

summer immediately following the fall in which the district is notified that it is Accredited with

a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2010 baseline (1-year DPF)

		Reading		Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	59.3	58.9	57.1	58.0	34.5	18.3	38.5	42.4	32.9	29.5	28.6	30.3
50th percentile	71.5	70.5	71.5	70.5	50.0	32.2	54.7	56.4	48.6	48.0	45.6	48.9
90th percentile	84.4	83.6	84.8	84.6	68.8	52.1	69.7	72.3	67.6	69.7	69.1	70.4

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2008-10 baseline (3-year DPF)

		Reading		Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	60.4	56.6	57.6	56.8	36.4	17.8	41.4	41.8	33.8	32.9	30.0	31.4
50th percentile	72.2	69.2	71.3	70.4	49.1	30.5	55.8	56.8	49.7	47.5	46.8	49.2
90th percentile	85.2	81.5	83.8	83.4	65.3	48.0	71.0	70.9	67.7	66.5	65.9	67.3

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps Did my school meet adequate growth? YES, met adequate growth NO, did not meet adequate growth Exceeds 60-99 Exceeds 70-99 Meets 45-59 Meets 55-69 Approaching 30-44 Approaching 40-54 Does not meet 1-29 Does not meet 1-39

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

This District's Graduation Rate (1-year DPF)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2007	72.3	73.7	74.4	74.4
Anticipated Year	2008	81.4	81.4	79.6	
of Graduation	2009	72.6	73.8		
	2010	74.4			

This District's Graduation Rate (aggregated for 3-year DPF)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2007	72.3	73.7	74.4	74.4
Anticipated	2008	81.4	81.4	79.6	
Year of	2009	72.6	73.8		
Graduation	2010	74.4			
	Aggregated	74.9	76.0	76.7	74.5

State Average Dropout Rate-2009 (1-year DPF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year DPF)

	N of Students	Average Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average Colorado ACT Composite Score 2010 (1-year DPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year DPF)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2010 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade, and the graduating class is assigned by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student entering ninth grade in fall 2006 will graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2010 4-year graduation rate, 2009 5-year rate, 2008 6-year rate, and 2007 7-year rate (the shaded cells in the first table above). For the 3-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2007, 2008, 2009 and 2010 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2007, 2008 and 2009 5-year rate, aggregated 2007, 2008 and 2009 5-year rate, or 2007 7-year rate (the shaded cells in the second table above). For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year DPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

1-year vs. 3-year report

Districts receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated District Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more districts to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small districts may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official accreditation category for the district: the one under which the district has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. This is indicated on page 1.

6