District Performance Framework Report 2011 District: ROARING FORK RE-1 - 1180

This is the accreditation category for the district. Districts are designated an accreditation category based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the accreditation category.

Accredited

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Accredited with Distinction	at or above 80%
Accredited	at or above 64% - below 80%
Accredited with Improvement	at or above 52% - below 64%
Accredited with Priority Improvement Plan	at or above 42% - below 52%
Accredited with Turnaround Plan	below 42%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For districts with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible*	
Academic Achievement	Approaching	54.2%	(8.1 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	80.6%	(28.2 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	67.8%	(10.2 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Norkforce Readiness	Approaching	58.3%	(20.4 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met			
TOTAL		66.9%	(66.9 out of 100 points)	
mpacted.				n both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively

** Districts do not receive points for test participation. However, districts are assigned one accreditation category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject (reading, writing, math, science, and COACT), or (2) for districts serving multiple grade levels, meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject when individual subject rates are rolled up across grade levels AND the district makes AYP participation (in reading and math) for each grade level overall (not including disaggregated groups).

Finance	Meets requirements
Safety	Meets requirements

Academic Growth Gaps

Districts do not receive points for finance and safety assurances. However, districts that do not meet requirements in at least one area default to Accredited with Priority Improvement Plan (or remain Accredited with Turnaround Plan) until they meet requirements.

What do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a district's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their median and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) median growth: how the academic progress of the students in this district compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this district to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT composite scores.

SCHOOLView.

Performance Indicators

District: ROARING FORK RE-1 - 1180

3	Υ	e	а	I

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	3771	62.9%	22	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	3765	60.0%	21	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	3764	47.1%	26	
Science	2	4		Approaching	1079	35.5%	21	
Total	8	16	50%	Approaching				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	2427	49	36	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	2492	48	56	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	2434	49	47	Yes
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	1107	48	52	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	1338	48	53	No
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	176	48	74	No
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	1162	48	56	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	951	51	67	No
Mathematics	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	1161	45	69	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	1405	45	70	No
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	177	48	84	No
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	1229	46	71	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	1022	51	79	No
Writing	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	1110	47	63	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	1345	46	64	No
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	178	51	83	No
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	1167	45	66	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	1400	49	67	No
Total	30	60	50%	Approaching				
Test Participation %	of Students Teste	d		Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	99.8%			95% Participation Rat	e Met	3927	3937	
Mathematics	99.7%			95% Participation Rat		3922	3933	
Writing	99.6%			95% Participation Rat	e Met	3920	3934	
Science	99.3%			95% Participation Rat		1111	1119	

Performance Indicators

District: ROARING FORK RE-1 - 1180

Level: Middle School

3	Yeaı

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	2747	65.6%	36	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	2746	49.2%	50	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	2747	53.6%	38	
Science	2	4		Approaching	1105	46.0%	47	
Total	9	16	56.3%	Approaching				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	2601	61	33	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	2608	55	70	No
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	2600	60	56	Yes
Total	11	12	91.7%	Exceeds				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	18	20	90%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	994	64	58	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	1331	64	58	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	3	4		Meets	214	63	79	No
English Language Learners	4	4		Exceeds	1105	65	62	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	928	66	70	No
Mathematics	15	20	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	997	56	86	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	1336	55	86	No
Students w/ Disabilities	3	4		Meets	220	57	98	No
English Language Learners	3	4		Meets	1114	56	88	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	1270	57	93	No
Writing	15	20	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	994	60	78	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	1329	61	77	No
Students w/ Disabilities	3	4		Meets	214	66	91	No
English Language Learners	3	4		Meets	1104	62	80	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	1233	62	83	No
Total	48	60	80%	Meets				
Test Participation %	6 of Students Teste	d		Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	99.9%			95% Participation Ra	te Met	2874	2877	
Mathematics	99.9%			95% Participation Ra	te Met	2878	2880	
Writing	99.9%			95% Participation Ra	te Met	2873	2877	
Science	99.7%			95% Participation Ra	te Met	1153	1156	

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the district/school does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

Performance Indicators

District: ROARING FORK RE-1 - 1180

District: ROARING FORK R	E-1 - 1180							3 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	2346	68.4%	41	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	2341	34.2%	60	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	2350	46.4%	40	
Science	2	4		Approaching	1167	47.2%	42	
Total	9	16	56.3%	Approaching				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	2124	61	19	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	2116	63	94	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	2127	57	62	No
Total	10	12	83.3%	Meets				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	16	20	80%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	545	62	67	No
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	1035	64	62	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	3	4		Meets	177	61	91	No
English Language Learners	3	4		Meets	783	65	72	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	733	67	86	No
Mathematics	14	20	70%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	543	63	99	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	1035	61	99	No
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	174	51	99	No
English Language Learners	3	4		Meets	783	60	99	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	1247	64	99	No
Writing	14	20	70%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	546	56	92	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	1037	56	91	No
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	177	52	99	No
English Language Learners	3	4		Meets	786	56	94	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	1096	59	94	No
Total	44	60	73.3%	Meets				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Rate/Score	Minimum State Expectation	
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	2	4		Approaching	1,544/ 1,156 / 784/403	75.3/ 79.5 /78.1/76.9%	80%	
Dropout Rate	3	4		Meets	8032	2.4%	At/below state average	
Colorado ACT Composite	2	4		Approaching	991	19.7	Above state average	
Total	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				
Test Participation 9	% of Students Tested	d		Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	99.5%			95% Participation R	ate Met	2448	2461	
Mathematics	99.3%			95% Participation R	ate Met	2439	2457	
Writing	99.6%			95% Participation R	ate Met	2450	2461	
Science	99.2%			95% Participation R	ate Met	1216	1226	
Colorado ACT	96.8%			95% Participation R	ate Met	991	1024	

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the district/school does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

Scoring Guide 2011	mance Indicators on the District Performance Framework Report						evel: All Leve
Performance Indicator				Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Poi
	he district's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced	was:					
-	 at or above the 90th percentile of all districts using 2010 (1-year 		seline (3-year DPF).	Exceeds	4	16	
Academic	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of				3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of				2	content area)	
-	• below the 15th percentile of all districts using 2010 (1-year DPF			Does Not Meet	1	· · · ·	
	the districtmeets the median adequate student growth percentile				•		
	• at or above 60.		<u> </u>	Exceeds	4	1	
-	• below 60 but at or above 45.			Meets	3		
ľ	 below 45 but at or above 30. 			Approaching	2	12	
Academic	• below 30.			Does Not Meet	1	(4 for each	35
Growth	the district does not meet the median adequate student growth p	ercentile and its mea	ian student growth percentile was:		•	content area)	
	• at or above 70.		5,	Exceeds	4	· · · ·	
-	• below 70 but at or above 55.			Meets	3		
-	• below 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching	2		
-	• below 40.			Does Not Meet	1		
	the student subgroup meets the median adequate student growth	h percentile and its st	udent arowth percentile was:		1		
ľ	• at or above 60.			Exceeds	4		
-	• below 60 but at or above 45.			Meets	3		
-	• below 45 but at or above 30.			Approaching	2	60	
Academic	• below 30.			Does Not Meet	1	(5 for each subgrou	p
Growth Gaps	the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate studen	nt growth percentile o	nd its student growth percentile was:		1	group in 3 content	
	• at or above 70.	5 1	5 1	Exceeds	4	areas)	_
-	below 70 but at or above 55.			Meets	3		
-	• below 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching	2		
-	• below 40.			Does Not Meet	1		
	raduation Rate: The district's graduation rate was:				•		
-	• at or above 90%.			Exceeds	4		
-	• above 80% but below 90%.			Meets	3		
-	• at or above 65% but below 80%.			Approaching	2		
-	• below 65%.			Does Not Meet	1		
	ropout Rate: The district's dropout rate was:				1	12	
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.			Exceeds	4	. (4 for each sub-	35
Vorkforce Readiness	• at or below the state average but above 1% using 2009 (1-year	DPF) or 2007-09 bas	eline (3-vear DPF).	Meets	3	indicator)	
	 at or below 10% but above the state average using 2009 (1-yea 			Approaching	2		
-	 at or above 10%. 			Does Not Meet	1		
	verage Colorado ACT Composite: The district's average Colorado A	CT composite score v	vas:		<u> </u>		
-	• at or above 22.	F		Exceeds	4		
-	• at or above the state average but below 22 using 2010 (1-year	DPF) or 2008-10 base	line (3-vear DPF).	Meets	3		
-	 at or above 17 but below the state average using 2010 (1-year) 	,		Approaching	2		
-	• at or below 17.			Does Not Meet	1		
It Points for each por	ormance indicator: The district earned of the points eligible on	this indicator	Cut-Points for accreditation catego			otal framowork poi	nts oligiblo
	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		t or above 80%	u or the t		stinction
Achievement; Growth; Gaps;	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets		t or above 64% - belov	., 000/		credited
-	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%						
Postsecondary	• at of above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching		t or above 52% - belov t or above 42% - belov			rovement
	• Delow 37.5%	Does Not Meet			N 52%		Improvement
			• b	elow 42%		lu	rnaround
strict accreditation ca							
cred. w/ Distinction	The district is Accredited with Distinction.		A district may not be accredited with a Pri		-	•	
credited	The district is Accredited.		than a combined total of five consecutive	,			
cred. w/ Impr. Plan	The district is Accredited with an Improvement Pla	n.	to restructure or close the district. The fiv	e consecutive years co	ommence or	n July 1 during the	
ccred. w/ Priority Imp	. Plan The district is Accredited with a Priority Improvement	ent Plan.	summer immediately following the fall in	which the district is no	otified that i	t is Accredited with	
cred. w/ Turnaround	Plan The district is Accredited with a Turnaround Plan.		a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Pla	ın.			

Reference

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement

Percent of Stude	Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2010 baseline (1-year DPF)												
	Reading				Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286	
15th percentile	59.3	58.9	57.1	58.0	34.5	18.3	38.5	42.4	32.9	29.5	28.6	30.3	
50th percentile	71.5	70.5	71.5	70.5	50.0	32.2	54.7	56.4	48.6	48.0	45.6	48.9	
90th percentile	84.4	83.6	84.8	84.6	68.8	52.1	69.7	72.3	67.6	69.7	69.1	70.4	

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2008-10 baseline (3-year DPF)

	Reading				Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347	
15th percentile	60.4	56.6	57.6	56.8	36.4	17.8	41.4	41.8	33.8	32.9	30.0	31.4	
50th percentile	72.2	69.2	71.3	70.4	49.1	30.5	55.8	56.8	49.7	47.5	46.8	49.2	
90th percentile	85.2	81.5	83.8	83.4	65.3	48.0	71.0	70.9	67.7	66.5	65.9	67.3	

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).


Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

This District's Graduation Rate (1-year DPF)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2007	73.3	76.9	76.9	76.9
Anticipated Year	2008	73.9	78.8	79.3	
of Graduation	2009	74.9	83.0		
	2010	79.0			

This District's Graduation Rate (aggregated for 3-year DPF)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2007	73.3	76.9	76.9	76.9
Anticipated	2008	73.9	78.8	79.3	
Year of	2009	74.9	83.0		
Graduation	2010	79.0			
	Aggregated	75.3	79.5	78.1	76.9



For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

State Average Dropout Rate-2009 (1-year DPF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year DPF)

	N of Students	Average Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average Colorado ACT Composite Score 2010 (1-year DPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year DPF)

	N of Students	Average Score	
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0	
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1	

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2010 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade, and the graduating class is assigned by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student entering ninth grade in fall 2006 will graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2010 4-year graduation rate, 2009 5-year rate, 2008 6-year rate, and 2007 7-year rate (the shaded cells in the first table above). For the 3-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2007, 2008, 2009 and 2010 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2007, 2008 and 2009 5-year rate, aggregated 2007 and 2008 6-year rate, or 2007 7-year rate (the shaded cells in the second table above). For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year DPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

1-year vs. 3-year report

Districts receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated District Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more districts to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small districts may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official accreditation category for the district: the one under which the district has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. This is indicated on page 1.