District Performance Framework Report 2011 District: SOUTH CONEJOS RE-10 - 0580

Accred	dited with l	Improvement Plan

This is the accreditation category for the district. Districts are designated an accreditation category based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring A guide below to determine the accreditation category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned	~
Accredited with Distinction	at or above 80%	_
Accredited	at or above 64% - below 80%	•
Accredited with Improvement	at or above 52% - below 64%	Po W
Accredited with Priority Improvement Plan	at or above 42% - below 52%	_
Accredited with Turnaround Plan	below 42%	Т

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For districts with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Point	s Earned out of Points Eligible*	
Academic Achievement	Does Not Meet	33.3%	(5.0 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Approaching	50.0%	(17.5 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	49.1%	(7.4 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Approaching	58.3%	(20.4 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met			
TOTAL		50.3%	(50.3 out of 100 points)	
impacted.				both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively ney do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one

conving multiple grade levels, most at least a 05% participation rate in all or all but one subject when individual subject

Finance	Meets requirements
levels AND the district makes AYP particip	ation (in reading and math) for each grade level overall (not including disaggregated groups).
subject (reading, writing, math, science, a	nd COACT), or (2) for districts serving multiple grade levels, meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject when individual subject rates are rolled up across grad

Finance	weets rec	quirement	S			
Safety	Meets rec	quirement	S			
	 1 6 .		IL A A A ALL A A		 1.6.1	

Districts do not receive points for finance and safety assurances. However, districts that do not meet requirements in at least one area default to Accredited with Priority Improvement Plan (or remain Accredited with Turnaround Plan) until they meet requirements.

What do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a district's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) median growth: how the academic progress of the students in this district compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this district to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their median and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT composite scores.

Performance Indicators

District: SOUTH CONEJOS RE-10 - 0580

3	Ye	aı
---	----	----

DPF 2011 0580 - 3 Year

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	198	65.7%	27	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	196	52.0%	8	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	197	44.7%	21	
Science	2	4		Approaching	48	37.5%	24	
Total	7	16	43.8%	Approaching				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	137	38	36	Yes
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	135	37	62	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	136	47	49	No
Total	5	12	41.7%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	6	12	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	109	38	36	Yes
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	134	39	36	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-		-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	44	43	60	No
Mathematics	4	12	33.3%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	109	37	65	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	132	37	63	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-		-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	61	47	78	No
Writing	6	12	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	108	47	53	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	133	46	49	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	84	47	64	No
Total	16	36	44.4%	Approaching				
Test Participation %	of Students Teste	d		Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	100.0%			95% Participation Rat	e Met	199	199	
Mathematics	100.0%			95% Participation Rat	e Met	198	198	
Writing	100.0%			95% Participation Rat	e Met	198	198	
Science	100.0%			95% Participation Rat	e Met	48	48	

Performance Indicators

District: SOUTH CONEJOS RE-10 - 0580

Level: Middle School

3 Yea	ľ
-------	---

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	1	4	70 F UIIILS	Does Not Meet	114	55.3%	13	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	114	24.6%	5	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	114	43.0%	5	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	54	25.9%	10	
		16	21 20/		54	23.9%	10	
Total	5	16	31.3%	Does Not Meet				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	111	40	36	Yes
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	110	21	85	No
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	111	32	54	No
Total	4	12	33.3%	Does Not Meet				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	6	12	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	81	40	36	Yes
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	102	40	36	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	41	45	60	No
Mathematics	3	12	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	81	20	85	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	102	24	85	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	72	25	94	No
Writing	3	12	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	81	33	53	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	102	34	54	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	54	34	78	No
Total	12	36	33.3%	Does Not Meet				
Test Participation %	of Students Teste	d		Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	98.4%			95% Participation Rate N	Vet	121	123	
Mathematics	98.4%			95% Participation Rate N		121	123	
Writing	99.2%			95% Participation Rate N		122	123	
Science	98.3%			95% Participation Rate N		59	60	

Performance Indicators

District: SOUTH CONFIOS RE-10 - 0580

	-							
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	132	56.1%	13	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	136	13.2%	7	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	134	33.6%	14	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	67	23.9%	5	
Total	4	16	25%	Does Not Meet				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequat Growth?
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	116	61	37	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	116	49	99	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	118	61	84	No
Total	9	12	75%	Meets				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	10	12	83.3%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	82	57	36	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	108	61	37	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	53	61	78	No
Mathematics	6	12	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	83	46	99	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	108	47	99	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0			N<20	-	-	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	93	47	99	No
Writing	9	12	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	82	63	77	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	110	61	82	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	_
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	76	63	95	No
Total	25	36	69.4%	Meets				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Rate/Score	Minimum State Expectation	
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	3	4		Meets	121 /83/66/34	80.2 /78.3/74.2/73.5%	80%	
Dropout Rate	3	4		Meets	531	3.0%	At/below state average	
Colorado ACT Composite	1	4		Does Not Meet	76	16.3	Above state average	
Total	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				
Test Participation	% of Students Teste	d		Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	98.6%			95% Participation Rate	e Met	137	139	
Mathematics	100.0%			95% Participation Rate		141	141	
Writing	100.0%			95% Participation Rate		139	139	
Science	98.6%			95% Participation Rate	e Met	71	72	
Colorado ACT	100.0%			95% Participation Rate		76	76	

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the district/school does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

DPF 2011 0580 - 3 Year

Scoring Guide 2011	mance Indicators on the District Performance Framework Report						evel: All Leve
Performance Indicator				Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Poi
	he district's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced	was:					
-	 at or above the 90th percentile of all districts using 2010 (1-year 		seline (3-year DPF).	Exceeds	4	16	
Academic	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of		3	(4 for each	15		
Achievement	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of				2	content area)	
-	• below the 15th percentile of all districts using 2010 (1-year DPF			Does Not Meet	1	· · · ·	
	the districtmeets the median adequate student growth percentile				•		
	• at or above 60.		<u> </u>	Exceeds	4	1	
-	• below 60 but at or above 45.			Meets	3		
ľ	 below 45 but at or above 30. 			Approaching	2	12	
Academic	• below 30.			Does Not Meet	1	(4 for each	35
Growth	the district does not meet the median adequate student growth p	ercentile and its mea	ian student growth percentile was:		•	content area)	
	• at or above 70.		5,	Exceeds	4	· · · ·	
-	• below 70 but at or above 55.			Meets	3		
-	• below 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching	2		
-	• below 40.			Does Not Meet	1		
	the student subgroup meets the median adequate student growth	h percentile and its st	udent arowth percentile was:		1		
ľ	• at or above 60.			Exceeds	4		
-	• below 60 but at or above 45.			Meets	3		
-	• below 45 but at or above 30.	Approaching	2	60	5		
Academic	• below 30.	Does Not Meet	1	(5 for each subgrou			
Growth Gaps	the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate studen	nt growth percentile o	nd its student growth percentile was:		1	group in 3 content	15
	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	areas)			
-	below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3				
-	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2				
-	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1				
	raduation Rate: The district's graduation rate was:						
-	• at or above 90%.			Exceeds	4		
-	• above 80% but below 90%.	Meets	3	1			
-	• at or above 65% but below 80%.			Approaching	2		
-	• below 65%.	Does Not Meet	1				
	ropout Rate: The district's dropout rate was:			1	12		
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.			Exceeds	4	. (4 for each sub-	35
Vorkforce Readiness	• at or below the state average but above 1% using 2009 (1-year	Meets	3	indicator)	33		
	 at or below 10% but above the state average using 2009 (1-yea 	Approaching	2				
-	 at or above 10%. 	Does Not Meet	1				
	verage Colorado ACT Composite: The district's average Colorado A	CT composite score v	vas:		<u> </u>		
-	• at or above 22.	F		Exceeds	4		
-	• at or above the state average but below 22 using 2010 (1-year	DPF) or 2008-10 base	line (3-vear DPF).	Meets	3		
-	 at or above 17 but below the state average using 2010 (1-year) 	,		Approaching	2		
-	• at or below 17.			Does Not Meet	1		
It Points for each por	ormance indicator: The district earned of the points eligible on	this indicator	Cut-Points for accreditation catego			otal framowork poi	nts oligiblo
	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		t or above 80%	u or the t		stinction
Achievement; Growth; Gaps;	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets		t or above 64% - belov	., 000/		credited
-	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%						
Postsecondary	• at of above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching		t or above 52% - belov t or above 42% - belov			rovement
	• Delow 37.5%	Does Not Meet			N 52%		Improvement
			• b	elow 42%		lu	rnaround
strict accreditation ca							
cred. w/ Distinction	The district is Accredited with Distinction.		A district may not be accredited with a Pri		-	•	
credited	The district is Accredited.		than a combined total of five consecutive	,			
cred. w/ Impr. Plan	The district is Accredited with an Improvement Pla	n.	to restructure or close the district. The fiv	e consecutive years co	ommence or	n July 1 during the	
ccred. w/ Priority Imp	. Plan The district is Accredited with a Priority Improvement	ent Plan.	summer immediately following the fall in	which the district is no	otified that i	t is Accredited with	
cred. w/ Turnaround	Plan The district is Accredited with a Turnaround Plan.		a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Pla	ın.			

Reference

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2010 baseline (1-year DPF)												
		Reading Math Wri							riting Science			
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Elem Middle	
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	59.3	58.9	57.1	58.0	34.5	18.3	38.5	42.4	32.9	29.5	28.6	30.3
50th percentile	71.5	70.5	71.5	70.5	50.0	32.2	54.7	56.4	48.6	48.0	45.6	48.9
90th percentile	84.4	83.6	84.8	84.6	68.8	52.1	69.7	72.3	67.6	69.7	69.1	70.4

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2008-10 baseline (3-year DPF)

	Reading		Math		Writing		Science					
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	60.4	56.6	57.6	56.8	36.4	17.8	41.4	41.8	33.8	32.9	30.0	31.4
50th percentile	72.2	69.2	71.3	70.4	49.1	30.5	55.8	56.8	49.7	47.5	46.8	49.2
90th percentile	85.2	81.5	83.8	83.4	65.3	48.0	71.0	70.9	67.7	66.5	65.9	67.3

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).


Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

This District's Graduation Rate (1-year DPF)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year			
	2007	72.7	72.7	73.5	73.5			
Anticipated Year	2008	72.7	75.0	75.0				
of Graduation	2009	88.9	94.4					
	2010	89.2						

This District's Graduation Rate (aggregated for 3-year DPF)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2007	72.7	72.7	73.5	73.5
Anticipated	2008	72.7	75.0	75.0	
Year of	2009	88.9	94.4		
Graduation	2010	89.2			
	Aggregated	80.2	78.3	74.2	73.5



For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

State Average Dropout Rate-2009 (1-year DPF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year DPF)

	N of Students	Average Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average Colorado ACT Composite Score 2010 (1-year DPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year DPF)

	N of Students	Average Score	
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0	
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1	

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2010 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade, and the graduating class is assigned by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student entering ninth grade in fall 2006 will graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2010 4-year graduation rate, 2009 5-year rate, 2008 6-year rate, and 2007 7-year rate (the shaded cells in the first table above). For the 3-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2007, 2008, 2009 and 2010 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2007, 2008 and 2009 5-year rate, aggregated 2007 and 2008 6-year rate, or 2007 7-year rate (the shaded cells in the second table above). For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year DPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

1-year vs. 3-year report

Districts receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated District Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more districts to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small districts may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official accreditation category for the district: the one under which the district has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. This is indicated on page 1.