Accredited with Priority Improvement Plan

This is the accreditation category for the district. Districts are designated an accreditation category based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the accreditation category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Accredited with Distinction	at or above 80%
Accredited	at or above 64% - below 80%
Accredited with Improvement	at or above 52% - below 64%
Accredited with Priority Improvement Plan	at or above 42% - below 52%
Accredited with Turnaround Plan	below 42%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For districts with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible*	
Academic Achievement	Does Not Meet	31.3%	(4.7 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Approaching	50.0%	(17.5 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	50.6%	(7.6 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Does Not Meet	33.3%	(11.7 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met			
TOTAL		41.5%	(41.5 out of 100 points)	

^{*} Districts may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient counts of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

^{**} Districts do not receive points for test participation. However, districts are assigned one accreditation category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject (reading, writing, math, science, and COACT), or (2) for districts serving multiple grade levels, meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject when individual subject rates are rolled up across grade levels AND the district makes AYP participation (in reading and math) for each grade level overall (not including disaggregated groups).

Finance	Meets requirements						
Safety	Meets requirements						
Districts do not rosoivo noi	Districts do not receive points for figures and cofety accurance. However, districts that do not most requirements in at least one area default to Accordited with Drivity Improvement Dian (or remain Accordited with						

Districts do not receive points for finance and safety assurances. However, districts that do not meet requirements in at least one area default to Accredited with Priority Improvement Plan (or remain Accredited with Turnaround Plan) until they meet requirements.

What do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a district's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) median growth: how the academic progress of the students in this district compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this district to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their median and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT composite scores.





District: ADAMS-ARAPAHOE 28J - 0180

1 Year

Reading									
Mellematics	Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
wining 1 4 Does Not Meet 8102 3.5.4% 9 Percentage Total 4 50 or Set Not Meet 2694 20.6% 3 Total Academic Growth Points Earned Points Eligible % Points Reading 4 4 Approaching 494 46 49 No Median Growth Percentile deficien Adequate Growth Percentile Made Adequate Growth Percentile	Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	8116	46.1%	2	
Total 1	Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	8112	47.5%	4	
Point Earmed Points Eligible Points Eligi	Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	8102	35.4%	9	
Academic Growth Points Elared Points Eligible % Points Rating N Median Growth Percentile Median Adequate Growth Percentile Made Adequate Growth Percentile Reading 2 4 Approaching 4994 466 49 No Mathematics 2 4 Approaching 5934 51 62 No Wifting 2 4 Approaching 5934 51 62 No Total 6 12 50% Approaching Subgroup Median Growth Subgroup Median Adequate Macked Macked 40 No Academic Growth Gaps Points Earned Points Eligible % Points Rating Subgroup Median Growth Subgroup Median Adequate Approaching Growth? Reading 9 20 45% Approaching Subgroup Median Growth Percentile Mode Adequate Approaching Free/Reduced Unch Eligible 2 4 Approaching 3773 45 5 No Students will substant suggisted to a point of the point substance of the point subs	Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	2694	20.6%	3	
Reading 2	Total	4	16	25%	Does Not Meet				
Mathematics	Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Maching 1	Reading	2	4		Approaching	4994	46	49	No
Academic Growth Gaps	Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	5034	51	62	No
Radamic Growth Gaps	Writing	2	4		Approaching	4993	47	52	No
Reading 9 20 45% Approaching Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 2 4 Approaching 45 55 No Minority Students 2 4 Approaching 492 45 53 No Students w/ Disabilities 1 4 Does Not Meet 498 35 84 No Students w/ Disabilities 1 4 Approaching 2638 47 57 No Students needing to catch up 2 4 Approaching 2732 47 71 No Mathematics 9 20 45% Approaching 2810 51 66 No Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 2 4 Approaching 3810 51 66 No Students w/ Disabilities 1 4 Does Not Meet 51 80 65 No English Language Learners 2 4 Approaching 2664 53 65 No Writing </td <td>Total</td> <td>6</td> <td>12</td> <td>50%</td> <td>Approaching</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Total	6	12	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 2	Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating				Made Adequate Growth?
Minority Students 2	Reading	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Students w/ Disabilities 1 4 Does Not Meet 498 35 84 No English Language Learners 2 4 Approaching 2638 47 57 No Most tunners 9 20 45% Approaching 2732 47 71 No Mathematics 9 20 45% Approaching 8810 51 66 No Minority Students 2 4 Approaching 4131 50 65 No Minority Students 2 4 Approaching 2813 50 65 No Students w/ Disabilities 1 4 Does Not Meet 501 37 88 No English Language Learners 2 4 Approaching 2664 53 65 No Students well pit catch up 2 4 Approaching 236 51 80 No Pree/Reduced Lunch Eligible 2 4 Approaching 3773	Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	3773	45	55	No
English Language Learners 2 4 Approaching 2638 47 57 No Students needing to catch up 2 4 Approaching 2732 47 71 No Mathematics 9 20 45% Approaching Students Students legible 2 4 Approaching 3810 51 66 No Minority Students 2 4 Approaching 4131 50 65 No Students w/ Disabilities 1 4 Does Not Meet 501 37 88 No English Language Learners 2 4 Approaching 2664 53 65 No Students needing to catch up 2 4 Approaching 2536 51 80 No Writing 9 20 45% Approaching 3773 47 58 No Pree/Reduced Lunch Eligible 2 4 Approaching 3773 47 56 No <	Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	4092	45	53	No
Students needing to catch up 2	Students w/ Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	498	35	84	No
Mathematics 9 20 45% Approaching Approaching 3810 51 66 No Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 2 4 Approaching Approaching 3810 51 66 No Minority Students 2 4 Approaching Approaching 4131 50 65 No Students w/ Disabilities 1 4 Does Not Meet 501 37 88 No English Language Learners 2 4 Approaching 2664 53 65 No Writing 9 20 45% Approaching 2536 51 80 No Writing 9 20 45% Approaching 3773 47 58 No Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 2 4 Approaching 3773 47 58 No Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 2 4 Approaching 3773 47 58 No Students W/ Disabilities 1 4	English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	2638	47	57	No
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 2 4 Approaching Approaching Approaching Al31 51 66 No Minority Students 2 4 Approaching Approaching Al31 50 65 No Students w/ Disabilities 1 4 Does Not Meet Sol 37 88 No English Language Learners 2 4 Approaching 2664 53 65 No Students needing to catch up 2 4 Approaching 2536 51 80 No Writing 9 20 45% Approaching Approaching 2536 51 80 No Writing 9 20 45% Approaching 3773 47 58 No Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 2 4 Approaching 4093 47 56 No Students w/ Disabilities 1 4 Approaching 499 34 83 No English Language Learners 2 4 Approaching 2634 49 59 No Total 27 <td>Students needing to catch up</td> <td>2</td> <td>4</td> <td></td> <td>Approaching</td> <td>2732</td> <td>47</td> <td>71</td> <td>No</td>	Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	2732	47	71	No
Minority Students 2 4 Approaching between the control of the contr	Mathematics	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Students w/ Disabilities 1 4 Does Not Meet 501 37 88 No English Language Learners 2 4 Approaching 2664 53 65 No Students needing to catch up 2 4 Approaching 2536 51 80 No Writing 9 20 45% Approaching 3773 47 58 No Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 2 4 Approaching 3773 47 58 No Minority Students 2 4 Approaching 4093 47 56 No Students Wolssabilities 1 4 Does Not Meet 497 34 83 No English Language Learners 2 4 Approaching 2634 49 59 No Students needing to catch up 2 4 Approaching 3460 48 65 No Tost Participation	Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	3810	51	66	No
English Language Learners 2	Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	4131	50	65	No
Students needing to catch up 2 4 Approaching 2536 51 80 No Writing 9 20 45% Approaching 3773 47 58 No Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 2 4 Approaching 3773 47 58 No Minority Students 2 4 Approaching 4093 47 56 No Students w/ Disabilities 1 4 Does Not Meet 497 34 83 No English Language Learners 2 4 Approaching 2634 49 59 No Students needing to catch up 2 4 Approaching 3460 48 65 No Total 27 60 45% Approaching Students Tested Total Students Reading 99.3% 95% Participation Rate Met 8603 8664 Mathematics 99.3% 95% Participation Rate Met 8607 8668 Writing 99.3%<	Students w/ Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	501	37	88	No
Writing 9 20 45% Approaching Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 2 4 Approaching 3773 47 58 No Minority Students 2 4 Approaching 4093 47 56 No Students w/ Disabilities 1 4 Does Not Meet 497 34 83 No English Language Learners 2 4 Approaching 2634 49 59 No Students needing to catch up 2 4 Approaching 3460 48 65 No Total 27 60 45% Approaching Approaching 40 48 65 No Test Participation % of Students Tested Reading Approaching Students Tested Total Students Reading 99.3% 95% Participation Rate Met 8603 8664 Mathematics 99.3% 95% Participation Rate Met 8608 8665 Writing 99.3% 95% Participation Rate	English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	2664	53	65	No
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 2 4 Approaching 3773 47 58 No Minority Students 2 4 Approaching 4093 47 56 No Students w/ Disabilities 1 4 Does Not Meet 497 34 83 No English Language Learners 2 4 Approaching 2634 49 59 No Students needing to catch up 2 4 Approaching 3460 48 65 No Total 27 60 45% Approaching 3460 48 65 No Test Participation % of Students Tested Rating Students Tested Total Students Reading 99.3% 95% Participation Rate Met 8603 8664 Mathematics 99.3% 95% Participation Rate Met 8608 8665 Writing 99.3% 95% Participation Rate Met 8607 8668	Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	2536	51	80	No
Minority Students 2 4 Approaching 4093 47 56 No Students w/ Disabilities 1 4 Does Not Meet 497 34 83 No English Language Learners 2 4 Approaching 2634 49 59 No Students needing to catch up 2 4 Approaching 3460 48 65 No Total 27 60 45% Approaching Students Tested Total Students Reading 99.3% 99.3% 95% Participation Rate Met 8603 8664 Mathematics 99.3% 95% Participation Rate Met 8608 8665 Writing 99.3% 95% Participation Rate Met 8607 8668	Writing	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Students w/ Disabilities 1 4 Does Not Meet 497 34 83 No English Language Learners 2 4 Approaching 2634 49 59 No Students needing to catch up 2 4 Approaching 3460 48 65 No Total 27 60 45% Approaching Students Tested Total Students Reading 99.3% 95% Participation Rate Met 8603 8664 Mathematics 99.3% 95% Participation Rate Met 8608 8665 Writing 99.3% 95% Participation Rate Met 8607 8668	Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	3773	47	58	No
English Language Learners 2 4 Approaching 2634 49 59 No Students needing to catch up 2 4 Approaching 3460 48 65 No Total 27 60 45% Approaching Students Tested Total Students Reading 99.3% 95% Participation Rate Met 8603 8664 Mathematics 99.3% 95% Participation Rate Met 8608 8665 Writing 99.3% 95% Participation Rate Met 8607 8668	Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	4093	47	56	No
Students needing to catch up 2 4 Approaching 3460 48 65 No Total 27 60 45% Approaching Students Tested Total Students Reading 99.3% 95% Participation Rate Met 8603 8664 Mathematics 99.3% 95% Participation Rate Met 8608 8665 Writing 99.3% 95% Participation Rate Met 8607 8668	Students w/ Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	497	34	83	No
Total276045%ApproachingTest Participation% of Students TestedRatingStudents TestedTotal StudentsReading99.3%95% Participation Rate Met86038664Mathematics99.3%95% Participation Rate Met86088665Writing99.3%95% Participation Rate Met86078668	English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	2634	49	59	No
Test Participation% of Students TestedRatingStudents TestedTotal StudentsReading99.3%95% Participation Rate Met86038664Mathematics99.3%95% Participation Rate Met86088665Writing99.3%95% Participation Rate Met86078668	Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	3460	48	65	No
Reading 99.3% 95% Participation Rate Met 8603 8664 Mathematics 99.3% 95% Participation Rate Met 8608 8665 Writing 99.3% 95% Participation Rate Met 8607 8668	Total	27	60	45%	Approaching				
Mathematics 99.3% 95% Participation Rate Met 8608 8665 Writing 99.3% 95% Participation Rate Met 8607 8668	Test Participation %	6 of Students Tested	d		Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Writing 99.3% 95% Participation Rate Met 8607 8668	Reading	99.3%			95% Participation Rate	Met	8603	8664	
	Mathematics	99.3%			95% Participation Rate	Met	8608	8665	
Science 99.3% 95% Participation Rate Met 2850 2869	Writing	99.3%			95% Participation Rate	Met	8607	8668	
	Science	99.3%			95% Participation Rate	Met	2850	2869	

Level: Middle School

District: ADAMS-ARAPAHOE 28J - 0180

1 Year

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	7173	49.9%	6	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	7185	39.0%	23	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	7176	39.6%	11	
Science	2	4		Approaching	2171	29.2%	17	
Total	6	16	37.5%	Approaching				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	6745	51	52	No
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	6781	48	77	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	6774	50	68	No
Total	6	12	50%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	11	20	55%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	4863	53	60	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	5446	52	58	No
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	712	46	91	No
English Language Learners	3	4		Meets	3427	56	63	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	3246	54	77	No
Mathematics	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	4896	49	81	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	5478	49	80	No
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	717	45	99	No
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	3445	50	81	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	3699	51	95	No
Writing	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	4893	52	75	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	5477	51	73	No
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	717	41	93	No
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	3447	54	76	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	4105	52	84	No
Total	31	60	51.7%	Approaching				
Test Participation %	6 of Students Tester	d		Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	99.2%			95% Participation Rat	e Met	7662	7727	
Mathematics	99.2%			95% Participation Rat	e Met	7662	7726	
Writing	99.2%			95% Participation Rate Met		7664	7728	
Science	98.4%			95% Participation Rat	e Met	2318	2355	

Level: High School

District: ADAMS-ARAPAHOE 28J - 0180

1 Year

DISTRICT: ADAMS-ARAPAH	OE 20J - 0100							1 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	3839	46.4%	5	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	3846	19.1%	17	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	3841	27.8%	8	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	1996	26.8%	10	
Total	5	16	31.3%	Does Not Meet				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	3563	54	55	No
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	3575	51	99	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	3575	52	87	No
Total	6	12	50%	Approaching Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	12	20	60%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	2389	54	67	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	2814	56	64	No
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	357	46	99	No
English Language Learners	3	4		Meets	1773	61	72	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	1782	54	93	No
Mathematics	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	2395	52	99	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	2828	52	99	No
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	354	53	99	No
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	1783	53	99	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	2445	54	99	No
Writing	11	20	55%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	2399	53	92	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	2826	53	91	No
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	361	46	99	No
English Language Learners	3	4		Meets	1780	57	94	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	2480	53	97	No
Total	33	60	55%	Approaching				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Rate/Score	Minimum State Expectation	
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	1	4		Does Not Meet	2,581/2,630/ 2,544 /2,801	45.5/52.4/ 55.7 /53.8%	80%	
Dropout Rate	2	4		Approaching	18342	6.9%	At/below state average	
Colorado ACT Composite	1	4		Does Not Meet	1895	16.9	Above state average	
Total	4	12	33.3%	Does Not Meet				
Test Participation	% of Students Tested	1		Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	98.3%			95% Participation Rate	Met	4167	4238	
Mathematics	98.6%			95% Participation Rate	Met	4176	4237	
Writing	98.4%			95% Participation Rate	Met	4170	4238	
Science	98.6%			95% Participation Rate	Met	2172	2202	
Colorado ACT	96.0%			95% Participation Rate	Met	1895	1973	

Scoring Guide 2011 Level: All Levels coring Guide for Performance Indicators on the District Performance Framework Report Performance Indicator Scoring Guide Point Value Total Possible Rating Framework Points The district's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was: • at or above the 90th percentile of all districts using 2010 (1-year DPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year DPF). Exceeds 4 16 Academic • below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all districts using 2010 (1-year DPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year DPF) Meets 3 (4 for each 15 Achievement • below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all districts using 2010 (1-year DPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year DPF). Approachin 2 content area) • below the 15th percentile of all districts using 2010 (1-year DPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year DPF). Does Not Mee 1 If the districtmeets the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was: • at or above 60. Exceeds 4 • below 60 but at or above 45. Meets 3 • below 45 but at or above 30. Approachin 2 12 Academic below 30. Does Not Mee 1 (4 for each 35 Growth lf the district does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was: content area) 4 • at or above 70. Exceeds below 70 but at or above 55. Meets 3 • below 55 but at or above 40. Approaching 2 • below 40. Does Not Mee 1 If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was: at or above 60. Exceeds 4 below 60 but at or above 45. Meets 3 2 below 45 but at or above 30. 60 Approaching Academic • below 30. Does Not Mee 1 (5 for each subgroup **Growth Gaps** If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was: group in 3 content 15 • at or above 70. **Exceeds** 4 areas) • below 70 but at or above 55. 3 Meets below 55 but at or above 40. 2 below 40. Does Not Mee 1 Graduation Rate: The district's graduation rate was: • at or above 90%. Exceeds 4 3 above 80% but below 90%. Meets at or above 65% but below 80%. Approaching 2 below 65%. Does Not Mee 1 Dropout Rate: The district's dropout rate was: • at or below 1%. 4 (4 for each sub-Postsecondary and Exceeds 35 Workforce Readiness at or below the state average but above 1% using 2009 (1-year DPF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year DPF). Meets 3 indicator) 2 • at or below 10% but above the state average using 2009 (1-year DPF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year DPF). **Approaching** • at or above 10%. Does Not Mee 1 Average Colorado ACT Composite: The district's average Colorado ACT composite score was: • at or above 22. **Exceeds** 4 • at or above the state average but below 22 using 2010 (1-year DPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year DPF). 3 Meets • at or above 17 but below the state average using 2010 (1-year DPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year DPF). Approaching 2 • at or below 17. Does Not Mee Cut-Points for each performance indicator: The district earned ... of the points eligible on this indicator. Cut-Points for accreditation category: The district earned ... of the total framework points eligible. • at or above 87.5% • at or above 80% Achievement; • at or above 62.5% - below 87.5% Meets Accredited Growth; Gaps; **Total Framework** • at or above 64% - below 80% Improvement **Postsecondary** at or above 37.5% - below 62.5% Approaching **Points** at or above 52% - below 64% • at or above 42% - below 52% • below 37.5% **Does Not Meet Priority Improvement** • below 42% District accreditation categories Accred. w/ Distinction The district is Accredited with Distinction. A district may not be accredited with a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer Accredited The district is Accredited. than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education is required The district is Accredited with an Improvement Plan. Accred. w/ Impr. Plan to restructure or close the district. The five consecutive years commence on July 1 during the

5

Accred. w/ Priority Impr. Plan

Accred. w/ Turnaround Plan

The district is Accredited with a Priority Improvement Plan.

The district is Accredited with a Turnaround Plan.

summer immediately following the fall in which the district is notified that it is Accredited with

a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement


Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2010 baseline (1-year DPF)

	Reading				Math Writing				Science			
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	59.3	58.9	57.1	58.0	34.5	18.3	38.5	42.4	32.9	29.5	28.6	30.3
50th percentile	71.5	70.5	71.5	70.5	50.0	32.2	54.7	56.4	48.6	48.0	45.6	48.9
90th percentile	84.4	83.6	84.8	84.6	68.8	52.1	69.7	72.3	67.6	69.7	69.1	70.4

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2008-10 baseline (3-year DPF)

	Reading				Math Writing			Science				
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	60.4	56.6	57.6	56.8	36.4	17.8	41.4	41.8	33.8	32.9	30.0	31.4
50th percentile	72.2	69.2	71.3	70.4	49.1	30.5	55.8	56.8	49.7	47.5	46.8	49.2
90th percentile	85.2	81.5	83.8	83.4	65.3	48.0	71.0	70.9	67.7	66.5	65.9	67.3

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).



For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

This District's Graduation Rate (1-year DPF)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2007	44.2	49.9	52.6	53.8
Anticipated Year	2008	46.7	52.1	55.7	
of Graduation	2009	44.4	52.4		
	2010	45.5			

This District's Graduation Rate (aggregated for 3-year DPF)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2007	44.2	49.9	52.6	53.8
Anticipated	2008	46.7	52.1	55.7	
Year of	2009	44.4	52.4		
Graduation	2010	45.5			
	Aggregated	45.2	51.4	54.1	53.8

State Average Dropout Rate-2009 (1-year DPF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year DPF)

	N of Students	Average Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average Colorado ACT Composite Score 2010 (1-year DPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year DPF)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2010 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade, and the graduating class is assigned by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student entering ninth grade in fall 2006 will graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2010 4-year graduation rate, 2009 5-year rate, 2008 6-year rate, and 2007 7-year rate (the shaded cells in the first table above). For the 3-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2007, 2008, 2009 and 2010 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2007, 2008 and 2009 5-year rate, aggregated 2007, 2008 and 2009 5-year rate, or 2007 7-year rate (the shaded cells in the second table above). For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year DPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

1-year vs. 3-year report

Districts receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated District Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more districts to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small districts may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official accreditation category for the district: the one under which the district has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. This is indicated on page 1.

6