District: SANGRE DE CRISTO RE-22J - 0110

(2 Vac:\*\*\*)

# Accredited

This is the accreditation category for the district. Districts are designated an accreditation category based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the accreditation category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Accredited with Distinction	at or above 80%
Accredited	at or above 64% - below 80%
Accredited with Improvement	at or above 52% - below 64%
Accredited with Priority Improvement Plan	at or above 42% - below 52%
Accredited with Turnaround Plan	below 42%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For districts with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible*	
Academic Achievement	Approaching	50.0%	( 7.5 out of 15 points )	
Academic Growth	Approaching	61.1%	( 21.4 out of 35 points )	
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	65.0%	( 9.8 out of 15 points )	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Meets	75.0%	( 26.3 out of 35 points )	
Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met			
TOTAL		65.0%	( 65.0 out of 100 points )	beth the points parced and the points divible so some are not accretical.

<sup>\*</sup> Districts may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient counts of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Districts do not receive points for test participation. However, districts are assigned one accreditation category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject (reading, writing, math, science, and COACT), or (2) for districts serving multiple grade levels, meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one subject when individual subject rates are rolled up across grade levels AND the district makes AYP participation (in reading and math) for each grade level overall (not including disaggregated groups).

Finance	Meets requirements
Safety	Meets requirements
Districts do not receive and	

Districts do not receive points for finance and safety assurances. However, districts that do not meet requirements in at least one area default to Accredited with Priority Improvement Plan (or remain Accredited with Turnaround Plan) until they meet requirements.

### What do the performance indicators measure?

#### **Academic Achievement**

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a district's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

## **Academic Growth**

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) median growth: how the academic progress of the students in this district compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this district to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

#### **Academic Growth Gaps**

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their median and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

#### Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT composite scores.





Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	276	61.2%	18	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	277	51.3%	8	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	277	38.6%	11	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	70	22.9%	5	
Total	5	16	31.3%	Does Not Meet				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	199	54	39	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	199	44	61	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	200	47	52	No
Total	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	12	16	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	119	49	44	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	64	55	45	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Learners	3	4		Meets	23	57	52	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	84	55	63	No
Mathematics	8	16	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	119	44	64	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	64	44	65	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	<del>-</del>	-
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	23	44	68	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	92	43	81	No
Writing	8	16	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	120	43	54	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	64	49	54	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	=	=
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	23	49	55	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	128	47	64	No
Total	28	48	58.3%	Approaching				
Test Participation	% of Students Teste	d		Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	99.6%			95% Participation Rate	Met	282	283	
Mathematics	100.0%	-		95% Participation Rate	Met	283	283	<u> </u>
Writing	100.0%			95% Participation Rate	Met	283	283	
Science	100.0%			95% Participation Rate	Met	71	71	

2

# **District: SANGRE DE CRISTO RE-22J - 0110**

3 Year

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	139	71.9%	58	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	141	45.4%	38	
Writing	3	4		Meets	141	58.2%	55	
Science	3	4		Meets	69	47.8%	53	
Total	11	16	68.8%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	134	53	32	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	137	48	73	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	136	52	54	No
Total	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	9	12	75%	Meets			·	
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	77	53	34	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	37	51	32	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	33	55	63	No
Mathematics	6	12	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	79	48	75	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	39	42	78	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	<u>-</u>	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	67	50	90	No
Writing	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	78	56	54	Yes
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	38	44	55	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	53	49	80	No
Total	22	36	61.1%	Approaching				
Test Participation 9	6 of Students Tester	d		Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	98.7%			95% Participation R	ate Met	148	150	
Mathematics	100.0%			95% Participation R	ate Met	150	150	
Writing	100.0%			95% Participation R	ate Met	150	150	
Science	100.0%			95% Participation R	ate Met	74	74	

3

Level: High School

**District: SANGRE DE CRISTO RE-22J - 0110** 

3 Year

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	144	69.4%	42	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	144	20.1%	22	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	144	44.4%	37	
Science	2	4		Approaching	71	46.5%	41	
Total	8	16	50%	Approaching				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	134	59	23	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	134	53	96	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	134	66	73	No
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequat Growth?
Reading	10	12	83.3%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	76	71	25	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	33	59	22	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	=
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	49	62	76	No
Mathematics	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	76	60	96	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	33	51	97	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	92	53	99	No
Writing	11	12	91.7%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	76	71	78	No
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	33	75	76	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	76	64	92	No
Total	28	36	77.8%	Meets				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Rate/Score	Minimum State Expectation	
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	4	4		Exceeds	97/77/49/ <b>26</b>	84.5/93.5/91.8/ <b>96.2</b> %	80%	
Dropout Rate	3	4		Meets	542	2.6%	At/below state average	
Colorado ACT Composite	2	4		Approaching	49	19.5	Above state average	
Total	9	12	75.0%	Meets				
Test Participation	% of Students Tested	1		Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	98.7%			95% Participation Ra	te Met	151	153	
Mathematics	98.7%			95% Participation Ra	te Met	151	153	
Writing	98.7%			95% Participation Ra	te Met	151	153	
Science	98.7%		<u> </u>	95% Participation Ra	te Met	75	76	
Colorado ACT	98.0%			95% Participation Ra	te Met	49	50	

Scoring Guide 201 scoring Guide for Perfo	L mance Indicators on the District Performance Framework Report					evel: All Leve		
erformance Indicator			Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Poi		
	The district's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:							
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all districts using 2010 (1-year DPF) or 2008-10 baseline (	3-year DPF).	Exceeds	4	16			
Academic	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all districts using 2010 (1-y	year DPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year	DPF). Meets	3	(4 for each	15		
Achievement	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all districts using 2010 (1-y	year DPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year	DPF). Approaching	2	content area)			
	• below the 15th percentile of all districts using 2010 (1-year DPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year	ar DPF).	Does Not Mee	t 1				
	f the districtmeets the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student grov	wth percentile was:						
	• at or above 60.		Exceeds	4				
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	Meets	3					
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	Approaching	2	12				
Academic	• below 30.		Does Not Mee	t 1	(4 for each	35		
Growth	f the district does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median stu	dent growth percentile was:		_	content area)			
	• at or above 70.		Exceeds	4				
	• below 70 but at or above 55.		Meets	3				
	• below 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching	2				
	• below 40.		Does Not Mee	t 1				
	$\it f$ the student subgroup meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its student $\it g$	growth percentile was:		,				
	• at or above 60.		Exceeds	4				
	• below 60 but at or above 45.		Meets	3				
	• below 45 but at or above 30.		Approaching	2	60			
Academic	• below 30.		Does Not Mee	t 1	(5 for each subgroup			
Growth Gaps	f the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its s	_	group in 3 content	15				
	• at or above 70.		Exceeds	4	areas)			
	• below 70 but at or above 55.		Meets	3				
	• below 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching	2				
	• below 40.		Does Not Mee	t 1				
	Graduation Rate: The district's graduation rate was:			_				
	• at or above 90%.		Exceeds	4				
	• above 80% but below 90%.		Meets	3				
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.		Approaching	2				
	• below 65%.		Does Not Mee	t 1				
	Propout Rate: The district's dropout rate was:				12			
ostsecondary and	• at or below 1%.		Exceeds	4	(4 for each sub-	35		
orkforce Readiness	• at or below the state average but above 1% using 2009 (1-year DPF) or 2007-09 baseline (3	-year DPF).	Meets	3	indicator)			
	• at or below 10% but above the state average using 2009 (1-year DPF) or 2007-09 baseline (	3-year DPF).	Approaching	2				
	• at or above 10%.		Does Not Mee	t 1				
	Average Colorado ACT Composite: The district's average Colorado ACT composite score was:							
	• at or above 22.		Exceeds	4				
	• at or above the state average but below 22 using 2010 (1-year DPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-	year DPF).	Meets	3				
	• at or above 17 but below the state average using 2010 (1-year DPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-	year DPF).	Approaching	2				
	• at or below 17.		Does Not Mee	t 1				
t-Points for each per	formance indicator: The district earned of the points eligible on this indicator.	<b>Cut-Points for accreditation categor</b>	y: The district earn	ed of the t	otal framework poir	nts eligible.		
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5% Exceeds	• at	or above 80%		Di:			
Growth; Gaps;	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5% Meets	Total Framework • at	or above 64% - belo	w 80%	Ac	credited		
Postsecondary	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5% Approaching	Points • at	or above 52% - belo	w 64%	Imp	rovement		
	• below 37.5% Does Not Meet	• at	or above 42% - belo	w 52%	Priority	Improvement		
		• be	ow 42%		Tur	naround		
trict accreditation ca	tegories							
red. w/ Distinction	<u> </u>	rict may not be accredited with a Prio	rity Improvement a	nd/or Turnar	ound Plan for longer			
redited		a combined total of five consecutive y			•			
red. w/ Impr. Plan		tructure or close the district. The five			•			
red. w/ Priority Imp	·	ner immediately following the fall in w	•		, .			
wad/Taaaa	, :							

5

a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

Accred. w/ Turnaround Plan

The district is Accredited with a Turnaround Plan.

# **Comparison Data**

#### **Academic Achievement**

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2010 baseline (1-year DPF)

		Reading		Math		Writing			Science			
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	59.3	58.9	57.1	58.0	34.5	18.3	38.5	42.4	32.9	29.5	28.6	30.3
50th percentile	71.5	70.5	71.5	70.5	50.0	32.2	54.7	56.4	48.6	48.0	45.6	48.9
90th percentile	84.4	83.6	84.8	84.6	68.8	52.1	69.7	72.3	67.6	69.7	69.1	70.4

#### Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 2008-10 baseline (3-year DPF)

	Reading			Math		Writing			Science			
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	60.4	56.6	57.6	56.8	36.4	17.8	41.4	41.8	33.8	32.9	30.0	31.4
50th percentile	72.2	69.2	71.3	70.4	49.1	30.5	55.8	56.8	49.7	47.5	46.8	49.2
90th percentile	85.2	81.5	83.8	83.4	65.3	48.0	71.0	70.9	67.7	66.5	65.9	67.3

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

#### Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps Did my school meet adequate growth? YES, met adequate growth NO, did not meet adequate growth Exceeds 60-99 Exceeds 70-99 Meets 45-59 Meets 55-69 Approaching 30-44 Approaching 40-54 Does not meet 1-29 Does not meet 1-39

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

# **Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness**

This District's Graduation Rate (1-year DPF)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2007	92.6	96.2	96.2	96.2
Anticipated Year	2008	79.2	87.0	87.0	
of Graduation	2009	92.9	96.4		
	2010	66.7			

# This District's Graduation Rate (aggregated for 3-year DPF)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2007	92.6	96.2	96.2	96.2
Anticipated	2008	79.2	87.0	87.0	
Year of	2009	92.9	96.4		
Graduation	2010	66.7			
	Aggregated	84.5	93.5	91.8	96.2

#### State Average Dropout Rate-2009 (1-year DPF) or 2007-09 baseline (3-year DPF)

	N of Students	Average Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

# State Average Colorado ACT Composite Score 2010 (1-year DPF) or 2008-10 baseline (3-year DPF)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2010 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade, and the graduating class is assigned by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student entering ninth grade in fall 2006 will graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2010 4-year graduation rate, 2009 5-year rate, 2008 6-year rate, and 2007 7-year rate (the shaded cells in the first table above). For the 3-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2007, 2008, 2009 and 2010 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2007, 2008 and 2009 5-year rate, aggregated 2007, 2008 and 2009 5-year rate, or 2007 7-year rate (the shaded cells in the second table above). For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year DPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

# 1-year vs. 3-year report

Districts receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated District Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more districts to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small districts may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official accreditation category for the district: the one under which the district has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. This is indicated on page 1.

6