District: MESA COUNTY VALLEY 51 - 2000

Accredited with Improvement Plan

This is the accreditation category for the district. Districts are designated an accreditation category based on their overall _ framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the accreditation category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Accredited with Distinction	at or above 80%
Accredited	at or above 64% - below 80%
Accredited with Improvement	at or above 52% - below 64%
Accredited with Priority Improvement Plan	at or above 42% - below 52%
Accredited with Turnaround Plan	below 42%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For districts with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible*	
Academic Achievement	Meets	62.5%	(9.4 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	63.9%	(22.4 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	55.6%	(8.3 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Approaching	58.3%	(20.4 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met			
TOTAL		60.5%	(60.5 out of 100 points)	
* Districts may not be eligible for all p	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ent numbers of st	udents. In these cases, the points are removed	from both the points earned and the points

eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

^{**} Districts do not receive points for test participation. However, districts that do not meet the 95% participation rate in two or more subject areas are assigned one accreditation category lower than their points indicate.

Finance	Meets requirements
Safety	Meets requirements
Districts do not receive noi	ts for finance and safety assurances. However, districts that do not meet requirements in at least one area default to Asserbled with Driggity Improvement DI

remain Accredited with Turnaround Plan) until they meet requirements.

What do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a district's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative growth: how the academic progress of the students in this district compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this district to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or jobs upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT composite scores.





District: MESA COUNTY VALLEY 51 - 2000

1 Year

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	4,721	67.0%	35	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	4,705	61.8%	23	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	4,720	43.9%	24	
Science	2	4		Approaching	1,552	41.9%	36	
Total	8	16	50.0%	Approaching				

Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	2,937	49	31	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	2,971	43	55	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	2,948	48	47	Yes
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets				

Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	12	20	60.0%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	1,483	48	39	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	702	48	42	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	339	38	60	No
English Language Learners	3	4		Meets	172	55	60	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	923	54	60	No
Mathematics	9	20	45.0%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	1,515	42	62	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	727	44	64	No
Students w/ Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	343	34	72	No
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	198	44	70	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	1,048	48	76	No
Writing	9	20	45.0%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	1,491	46	58	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	704	47	62	No
Students w/ Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	342	38	78	No
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	173	52	74	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	1,634	51	67	No
Total	30	60	50.0%	Approaching				

Test Participation	% of Students Tested	Rating	Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	99.7%	95% Participation Rate Met	4,874	4,890	
Mathematics	99.8%	95% Participation Rate Met	4,878	4,890	
Writing	99.8%	95% Participation Rate Met	4,881	4,893	
Science	99.8%	95% Participation Rate Met	1,616	1,620	

Performance Indicators Level: Middle School

District: MESA COUNTY VALLEY 51 - 2000

1 Year

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	5,051	71.2%	55	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	5,056	48.4%	45	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	5,050	53.7%	40	
Science	3	4		Meets	1,538	48.3%	56	
Total	10	16	62.5%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	4,765	52	27	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	4,772	56	72	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	4,766	54	55	No
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
	12	20	60.0%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4	0010,1	Meets	2,136	51	37	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	1,046	51	39	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	506	43	70	No
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	275	52	59	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	1,475	53	62	No
Mathematics	12	20	60.0%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	2,142	54	83	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	1,050	56	84	No
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	507	50	97	No
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	277	54	92	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	2,180	56	93	No
Writing	10	20	50.0%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	2,137	53	72	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	1,047	54	70	No
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	507	41	91	No
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	276	53	84	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	2,074	54	83	No
Total	34	60	56.7%	Approaching				
Test Participation	% of Students Tested	d		Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	99.6%			95% Participation Ra	ate Met	5,212	5,232	
Mathematics	99.7%			95% Participation Ra	ate Met	5,217	5,233	
Writing	99.6%			95% Participation Ra		5,211	5,233	
Science	99.4%			95% Participation Ra	ate Met	1,578	1,587	

District: MESA COUNTY VALLEY 51 - 2000

1 Year

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	2,649	72.4%	53	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	2,675	32.8%	52	
Writing	3	4		Meets	2,650	49.0%	52	
Science	3	4		Meets	1,535	53.0%	59	
Total	12	16	75.0%	Meets				

Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	0/ Dainta	Dortina	A.	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
	Points Earnea		% Points	Rating	N		Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	2,454	56	17	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	2,490	54	94	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	2,457	51	54	No
Total	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				

Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
- "	44	20	70.00/		N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	14	20	70.0%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	963	52	37	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	554	53	37	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	241	49	90	No
English Language Learners	3	4		Meets	161	58	70	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	726	55	79	No
Mathematics	12	20	60.0%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	979	53	99	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	560	50	99	No
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	249	53	99	No
English Language Learners	3	4		Meets	164	55	99	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	1,474	55	99	No
Writing	10	20	50.0%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	963	48	82	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	554	50	78	No
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	241	45	99	No
English Language Learners	2	4	•	Approaching	161	47	95	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4	•	Approaching	1,096	53	93	No
Total	36	60	60.0%	Approaching				

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Rate/Score	Minimum State Expectation
Graduation Rate	2	4		Approaching	1,810	73.8%	80%
Dropout Rate	3	4		Meets	11,332	3.6%	At/below state average
Colorado ACT Composite	2	4		Approaching	1,482	19.5	Above state average
Total	7	12	58.3%	Approaching			

Test Participation	% of Students Tested	Rating	Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	98.2%	95% Participation Rate Met	2,730	2,780	
Mathematics	99.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	2,756	2,783	
Writing	98.2%	95% Participation Rate Met	2,731	2,780	
Science	98.8%	95% Participation Rate Met	1,582	1,601	
Colorado ACT	97.8%	95% Participation Rate Met	1,482	1,516	

Level: All Levels

	mance Indicators on the District Performance Framework Report				
erformance Indicator		Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Poir
	The district's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:				
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all districts.	Exceeds	4	16	
Academic	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all districts.	Meets	3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all districts.	Approaching	2	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all districts.	Does Not Meet	1		
	If the districtmeets the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:				
	• at or above 60.	Exceeds	4		
	below 60 but at or above 45.	Meets	3		
	below 45 but at or above 30.	Approaching	2	12	
Academic	• below 30.	Does Not Meet	1	(4 for each	35
Growth	If the district does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:			content area)	
	at or above 70.	Exceeds	4		
	below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3		
	below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2		
	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1		
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was:	•			
	• at or above 60.	Exceeds	4		
	below 60 but at or above 45.	Meets	3		
	below 45 but at or above 30.	Approaching	2	60	
Academic	• below 30.	Does Not Meet	1	(5 for each subgroup	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was:		group in 3 content	15	
	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	areas)	
	below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3	,	
	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2		
	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1		
	Graduation Rate: The district's graduation rate was:	20001100111000	_		
	• at or above 90%.	Exceeds	4		
	• above 80% but below 90%.	Meets	3		
	• at or above 65% but below 80%	Approaching	2		
	• below 65%.	Does Not Meet	1		
	Dropout Rate: The district's dropout rate was:	Does Not Wicet		12	
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.	Exceeds	4	(4 for each sub-	35
orkforce Readiness	at or below 1%. at or below the state average but above 1%.	Meets	3	indicator)	33
orkioice neauilless	• at or below the state average but above 1%. • at or below 10% but above the state average.	Approaching	2	iliuicatorj	
	• at or above 10%.	Does Not Meet	1		
	• at Or above 10%. Average Colorado ACT Composite: The district's average Colorado ACT composite score was:	poes not weet	Г т		
		Fyends			
	• at or above 22.	Exceeds	4		
	at or above the state average but below 22.	Meets	3		
	• at or above 17 but below the state average.	Approaching	2		
	• at or below 17.	Does Not Meet	1		

Cut-Points for each performance indicator							
Cut Point: The district earned of the points eligible on this indicator.							
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%						
Growth; Gaps;	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5% Meets						
Postsecondary	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching					
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet					

Cut-Points for accreditation category						
Cut Point: The district earned of the total framework points eligible.						
	• at or above 80%	Distinction				
Total Framework	• at or above 64% - below 80% Accredited					
Points	• at or above 52% - below 64% Improvement					
	• at or above 42% - below 52%	Priority Improvement				
	• below 42%	Turnaround				

Cut-points for district accreditation categories								
Plan description								
Accred. w/ Distinction	The district is Accredited with Distinction.	A district may not be accredited with a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer						
Accredited	The district is Accredited.	than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education is required						
Accred. w/ Impr. Plan	The district is Accredited with an Improvement Plan.	to restructure or close the district. The five consecutive years commence on July 1 during the						
Accred. w/ Priority Impr. Plan	The district is Accredited with a Priority Improvement Plan.	summer immediately following the fall in which the district is notified that it is Accredited with						
Accred. w/ Turnaround Plan	The district is Accredited with a Turnaround Plan.	a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.						

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2010)


		Reading		Math		Writing			Science			
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	175	165	167	176	165	167	175	165	167	133	135	138
15th percentile	59.3	58.9	57.1	58.0	34.5	18.3	38.5	42.4	32.9	29.5	28.6	30.3
50th percentile	71.5	70.5	71.5	70.5	50.0	32.2	54.7	56.4	48.6	48.0	45.6	48.9
90th percentile	84.4	83.6	84.8	84.6	68.8	52.1	69.7	72.3	67.6	69.7	69.1	70.4

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10)

								•				
		Reading			Math		Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	181	182	183	181	182	182	181	182	183	172	175	179
15th percentile	60.4	56.6	57.6	56.8	36.4	17.8	41.4	41.8	33.8	32.9	30.0	31.4
50th percentile	72.2	69.2	71.3	70.4	49.1	30.5	55.8	56.8	49.7	47.5	46.8	49.2
90th percentile	85.2	81.5	83.8	83.4	65.3	48.0	71.0	70.9	67.7	66.5	65.9	67.3

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

Decision tree to determine which scoring guide to use for Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps



Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate

	N of Students	Mean Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average (Mean) Colorado ACT Composite Score

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

1-year vs. 3-year report

Districts receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated District Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more districts to be considered withinthe same performance framework. Some small districts may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on thebasis of three years of data increases the N count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official accreditation category for the district: the one under which the district has ratings on a higher number of theperformance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on onlytwo years of data if that is the only data available. This is indicated on page 1.