District: PLATEAU VALLEY 50 - 1990 (1 Year**

Accredited (Revised)

This is the accreditation category for the district. Districts are designated an accreditation category based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the accreditation category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Accredited with Distinction	at or above 80%
Accredited	at or above 64% - below 80%
Accredited with Improvement	at or above 52% - below 64%
Accredited with Priority Improvement Plan	at or above 42% - below 52%
Accredited with Turnaround Plan	below 42%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For districts with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible*	
Academic Achievement	Approaching	47.9%	(7.2 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	75.0%	(26.3 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	66.7%	(10 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Does Not Meet	33.3%	(11.7 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met			
TOTAL		55.2%	(55.2 out of 100 points)	
* Districts may not be eligible for all peligible, so scores are not negatively	•	ent numbers of stu	udents. In these cases, the points are removed	from both the points earned and the points

eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

^{**} Districts do not receive points for test participation. However, districts that do not meet the 95% participation rate in two or more subject areas are assigned one accreditation category lower than their points indicate.

Finance	Meets requirements
Safety	Meets requirements

Districts do not receive points for finance and safety assurances. However, districts that do not meet requirements in at least one area default to Accredited with Priority Improvement Plan (or remain Accredited with Turnaround Plan) until they meet requirements.

What do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a district's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative growth: how the academic progress of the students in this district compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this district to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or jobs upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT composite scores.





District: PLATEAU VALLEY 50 - 1990

1	•	۵/	2
_	. 1	C	a

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	76	56.6%	9	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	76	56.6%	13	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	76	38.2%	13	
Science	2	4		Approaching	19	47.4%	48	
Total	5	16	31.3%	Does Not Meet				

Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	43	63	35	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	43	46	63	No
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	43	70	50	Yes
Total	10	12	83.3%	Meets				

Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	0	0						
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	18	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students	0	0		N/A	5	N/A	N/A	
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	3	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	3	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	0	0		N/A	16	N/A	N/A	
Mathematics	0	0						
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	18	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students	0	0		N/A	5	N/A	N/A	
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	3	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	3	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	0	0		N/A	16	N/A	N/A	
Writing	4	4	100.0%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	18	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students	0	0		N/A	5	N/A	N/A	
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	3	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	3	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	27	72	66	Yes
Total	4	4	100.0%	Exceeds				

Test Participation	% of Students Tested	Rating	Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	76	76	
Mathematics	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	76	76	
Writing	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	76	76	
Science	100.0%	N/A	19	19	

Performance Indicators Level: Middle School

District: PLATEAU VALLEY 50 - 1990 1 Year

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	54	79.6%	80	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	54	48.2%	44	
Writing	3	4		Meets	54	61.1%	64	
Science	3	4		Meets	22	50.0%	59	
Total	11	16	68.8%	Meets				

Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	51	59	23	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	51	52	70	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	51	54	50	Yes
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets				

Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	0	0						
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	17			
Minority Students	0	0		N/A	7			
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	5			
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	2			
Students needing to catch up	0	0		N/A	17			
Mathematics	2	4	50.0%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	17			
Minority Students	0	0		N/A	7			
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	5	·		
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	2			
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	23	52	91	No
Writing	0	0						
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	17			
Minority Students	0	0		N/A	7	'		
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	5	'		
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	2			
Students needing to catch up	0	0		N/A	14			
Total	2	4	50.0%	Approaching				

Test Participation	% of Students Tested	Rating	Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	54	54	
Mathematics	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	54	54	
Writing	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	54	54	
Science	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	22	22	

Level: High School

District: PLATEAU VALLEY 50 - 1990

District: PLATEAU VALLEY!	50 - 1990							1 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	69	63.8%	27	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	69	18.8%	16	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	69	37.7%	21	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	46	26.1%	8	
Total	7	16	43.8%	Approaching Approa				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	44	67	12	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	45	48	98	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	44	51	46	Yes
Total	9	12	75.0%	Meets				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	0	0				·		
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	15			
Minority Students	0	0		N/A	12			
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	2			
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	3			
Students needing to catch up	0	0		N/A	14			
Mathematics	2	4	50.0%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0	00.075	N/A	15			
Minority Students	0	0		N/A	12			
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	2			
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	3			
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	30	51	99	No
Writing	0	0				<u> </u>		
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	15			
Minority Students	0	0		N/A	12			
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	2			
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	3			
Students needing to catch up	0	0		N/A	18			
Total	2	4	50.0%	Approaching	10			
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Rate/Score	Minimum State Expectation	
Graduation Rate	1	4	70 1 311163	Does Not Meet	202	36.6%	80%	
Dropout Rate	1	4		Does Not Meet	522	13.2%	At/below state average	
Colorado ACT Composite	2	4		Approaching	57	17.1	Above state average	
Total	4	12	33.3%	Does Not Meet	31	17.1	Above state average	
Test Participation %	6 of Students Tested	1		Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	100.0%			95% Participation Rate	Met	99	99	
Mathematics	96.0%			95% Participation Rate		95	99	
Writing	100.0%			95% Participation Rate		99	99	
Science	95.0%			95% Participation Rate		57	60	
Colorado ACT	96.6%			95% Participation Rate		57	59	
COLORGIO ACT	30.070			3370 i di dicipation Nate	TYTEL		33	

Level: All Levels

	mance Indicators on the District Performance Framework Report				
erformance Indicator	Scoring Guide	Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Poir
	The district's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:				
	at or above the 90th percentile of all districts.	Exceeds	4	16	
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all districts.	Meets	3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all districts.	2	content area)		
	below the 15th percentile of all districts.	Does Not Meet	1		
	f the districtmeets the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:				
	at or above 60.	Exceeds	4		
	below 60 but at or above 45.	Meets	3		
	below 45 but at or above 30.	Approaching	2	12	
Academic	• below 30.	Does Not Meet	1	(4 for each	35
Growth	f the district does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:			content area)	
	at or above 70.	Exceeds	4		
	below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3		
	below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2		
	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1		
	f the student subgroup meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was:	•			
	at or above 60.	Exceeds	4		
	below 60 but at or above 45.	Meets	3		
	below 45 but at or above 30.	Approaching	2	60	
Academic	• below 30.	Does Not Meet	1	(5 for each subgroup	,
Growth Gaps	f the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was:		group in 3 content	15	
·	at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	areas)	
	below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3	•	
	below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2		
	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1		
	Graduation Rate: The district's graduation rate was:	•			
	at or above 90%.	Exceeds	4		
	above 80% but below 90%.	Meets	3		
	at or above 65% but below 80%	Approaching	2		
	• below 65%.	Does Not Meet	1		
	Dropout Rate: The district's dropout rate was:			12	
Postsecondary and	at or below 1%.	Exceeds	4	(4 for each sub-	35
orkforce Readiness	• at or below the state average but above 1%.	Meets	3	indicator)	
	• at or below 10% but above the state average.	Approaching	2	,	
-	• at or above 10%.	Does Not Meet	1		
	Average Colorado ACT Composite: The district's average Colorado ACT composite score was:	12000 1100 11100			
	• at or above 22.	Exceeds	4		
	• at or above 22.	Meets	3		
	• at or above the state average but below 22.	Approaching	2		
	• at or below 17.	Does Not Meet	1		
	Cut-Points for accreditation category		·		

Cut-Points for each performance indicator							
	Cut Point: The district earned of the points eligible on this indicator.						
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%						
Growth; Gaps;	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets					
Postsecondary	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching					
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet					

Cut-Points for accreditation category						
	Cut Point: The district earned of the total framework points eligible.					
	• at or above 80%	Distinction				
Total Framework	• at or above 64% - below 80%	Accredited				
Points	• at or above 52% - below 64%	Improvement				
	• at or above 42% - below 52%	Priority Improvement				
	• below 42%	Turnaround				

Cut-points for district accreditation categories							
	Plan description						
Accred. w/ Distinction	The district is Accredited with Distinction.	A district may not be accredited with a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer					
Accredited	The district is Accredited.	than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education is required					
Accred. w/ Impr. Plan	The district is Accredited with an Improvement Plan.	to restructure or close the district. The five consecutive years commence on July 1 during the					
Accred. w/ Priority Impr. Plan	The district is Accredited with a Priority Improvement Plan.	summer immediately following the fall in which the district is notified that it is Accredited with					
Accred. w/ Turnaround Plan	The district is Accredited with a Turnaround Plan.	a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.					

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2010)


		Reading		Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	175	165	167	176	165	167	175	165	167	133	135	138
15th percentile	59.3	58.9	57.1	58.0	34.5	18.3	38.5	42.4	32.9	29.5	28.6	30.3
50th percentile	71.5	70.5	71.5	70.5	50.0	32.2	54.7	56.4	48.6	48.0	45.6	48.9
90th percentile	84.4	83.6	84.8	84.6	68.8	52.1	69.7	72.3	67.6	69.7	69.1	70.4

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10)

		Reading			Math		Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	181	182	183	181	182	182	181	182	183	172	175	179
15th percentile	60.4	56.6	57.6	56.8	36.4	17.8	41.4	41.8	33.8	32.9	30.0	31.4
50th percentile	72.2	69.2	71.3	70.4	49.1	30.5	55.8	56.8	49.7	47.5	46.8	49.2
90th percentile	85.2	81.5	83.8	83.4	65.3	48.0	71.0	70.9	67.7	66.5	65.9	67.3

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

Decision tree to determine which scoring guide to use for Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps



Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate

	N of Students	Mean Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average (Mean) Colorado ACT Composite Score

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

1-year vs. 3-year report

Districts receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated District Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more districts to be considered withinthe same performance framework. Some small districts may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on thebasis of three years of data increases the N count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official accreditation category for the district: the one under which the district has ratings on a higher number of theperformance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on onlytwo years of data if that is the only data available. This is indicated on page 1.