## District Performance Framework Report 2010

### District: ELLICOTT 22 - 1050

Level: All Levels

(1 Year\*\*\*)

Accre	dited	Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible*					
This is the accreditation catego	ry for the district. Districts are	Academic Achievement	Approaching	52.1%	(7.8 out of 15 points)					
designated an accreditation category based on their overa framework score, which is a percentage of the total points the earned out of the total points eligible in each performanc indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scorir guide below to determine the accreditation category.		Academic Growth	Approaching	61.1%	(21.4 out of 35 points)					
Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned	Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	59.8%	( 9 out of 15 points )					
Accredited with Distinction	at or above 80%									
Accredited	at or above 64% - below 80%	Postsecondary and	Meets	75.0%	( 26.3 out of 35 points )					
Accredited with Improvement	at or above 52% - below 64%	Workforce Readiness	Meets	75.070	( 20.5 out of 55 points )					
Accredited with Priority Improvement Plan	at or above 42% - below 52%	Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met							
Accredited with Turnaround Plan	n below 42%	•	· .							
Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For districts with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for		TOTAL		64.5%	( 64.5 out of 100 points )					
Academic Achievement, 35 fo Academic Growth Gaps, and Workforce Readiness.	or Academic Growth, 15 for	* Districts may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted. ** Districts do not receive points for test participation. However, districts that do not meet the 95% participation rate in two or more subject areas are assigned one accreditation category lower than their points indicate.								
		Finance	Meets requirements							
		Safety	Meets requirements							
		Districts do not receive points for fin remain Accredited with Turnaround		that do not meet	requirements in at least one area default to Acc	redited with Priority Improvement Plan (or				

### What do the performance indicators measure?

#### Academic Achievement

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a district's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

### Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative growth: how the academic progress of the students in this district compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this district to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

### Improving Academic



### Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

#### Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or jobs upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT composite scores.

# Performance Indicators

# Level: Elementary School

# District: ELLICOTT 22 - 1050

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	170	60.6%	18	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	170	64.7%	29	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	170	40.0%	16	
Science	3	4		Meets	50	48.0%	50	
Total	9	16	56.3%	Approaching				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	107	39	28	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	108	57	52	Yes
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	107	39	43	No
Total	6	12	50.0%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	6	12	50.0%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	70	36	33	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	34	51	27	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	9	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	7	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	<b>)</b> 1	4		Does Not Meet	25	34	59	No
Mathematics	10	12	83.3%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	71	62	56	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	35	65	51	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	9	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	8	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	32	53	71	No
Writing	4	12	33.3%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	70	32	47	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	34	43	44	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	9	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	7	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	<b>b</b> 1	4		Does Not Meet	52	36	65	No
Total	20	36	55.6%	Approaching				
Test Participation	% of Students Tested	1		Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	99.0%			95% Participation Rate	e Met	190	192	
Mathematics	99.5%			95% Participation Rate	e Met	191	192	
Writing	99.0%			95% Participation Rate	e Met	190	192	
Science	98.3%			95% Participation Rate		58	59	

# Performance Indicators

# Level: Middle School

# District: ELLICOTT 22 - 1050

1 Year
--------

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	199	58.8%	13	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	198	42.9%	31	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	198	43.4%	18	
Science	2	4		Approaching	66	45.4%	48	
Total	7	16	43.8%	Approaching				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	181	40	34	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	180	56	79	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	179	47	68	No
Total	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	7	16	43.8%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	121	40	38	Yes
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	39	38	58	No
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	20	46	86	No
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	17			
Students needing to catch u	p 2	4		Approaching	74	47	68	No
Mathematics	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	120	54	81	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	38	50	90	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	19			
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	17			
Students needing to catch u	<b>p</b> 3	4		Meets	102	65	94	No
Writing	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	119	49	76	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	39	60	85	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	19			
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	17			
Students needing to catch u	p 2	4		Approaching	106	53	85	No
Total	21	40	52.5%	Approaching				
Test Participation	% of Students Tested	1		Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	99.0%			95% Participation Rate	e Met	200	202	
Mathematics	98.5%			95% Participation Rate	e Met	199	202	
Writing	98.5%			95% Participation Rate	e Met	199	202	
					e mee		===	

# Performance Indicators

# District: ELLICOTT 22 - 1050

# Level: High School

1 Year

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile
Reading	3	4		Meets	144	71.5%	50
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	143	23.8%	29
Writing	2	4		Approaching	144	38.2%	22
Science	2	4		Approaching	67	34.3%	23
Total	9	16	56.3%	Approaching			

Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
	Tomits Eurieu		701 011103	Kuting			Percentile	Growth?
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	123	60	27	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	123	45	97	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	123	58	74	No
Total	9	12	75.0%	Meets				

Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	11	12	91.7%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	68	61	34	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	31	68	49	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	8			
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	9			
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	39	62	83	No
Mathematics	6	12	50.0%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	69	46	99	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	32	42	99	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	9			
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	9			
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	80	47	99	No
Writing	9	12	75.0%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	68	61	82	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	31	64	88	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	8			
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	9			
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	68	61	91	No
Total	26	36	72.2%	Meets				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Ν	Rate/Score	Minimum State Expectation	
Graduation Rate	4	4		Exceeds	48	91.7%	80%	
Dropout Rate	3	4		Meets	511	1.6%	At/below state average	

							,
Colorado ACT Composite	2	4		Approaching	57	17.7	Above state average
Total	9	12	75.0%	Meets			

Test Participation	% of Students Tested	Rating	Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	145	145	
Mathematics	99.3%	95% Participation Rate Met	144	145	
Writing	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	145	145	
Science	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	68	68	
Colorado ACT	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	57	57	

Academic Achievement	<ul> <li>The district's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:</li> <li>at or above the 90th percentile of all districts.</li> <li>below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all districts.</li> </ul>			Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Point	
Academic	<ul> <li>The district's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:</li> <li>at or above the 90th percentile of all districts.</li> <li>below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all districts.</li> </ul>							
	<ul> <li>at or above the 90th percentile of all districts.</li> <li>below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all districts.</li> </ul>							
				Exceeds	4	16	1	
Achievement				Meets	3	(4 for each	15	
	<ul> <li>below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all districts.</li> </ul>			Approaching	2	content area)		
	<ul> <li>below the 15th percentile of all districts.</li> </ul>			Does Not Meet	1			
	If the districtmeets the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student	growth percentile	was:					
	• at or above 60.			Exceeds	4			
	<ul> <li>below 60 but at or above 45.</li> </ul>			Meets	3			
	<ul> <li>below 45 but at or above 30.</li> </ul>			Approaching	2	12		
Academic	• below 30.			Does Not Meet	1	(4 for each	35	
Growth	If the district does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median	n student growth p	ercentile was:			content area)		
	• at or above 70.			Exceeds	4			
	• below 70 but at or above 55.			Meets	3			
	• below 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching	2			
	• below 40.			Does Not Meet	1			
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its stude	ent growth percen	tile was:					
	• at or above 60.			Exceeds	4			
	• below 60 but at or above 45.			Meets	3	60		
	• below 45 but at or above 30.			Approaching	2			
Academic	• below 30.			Does Not Meet	1	(5 for each subgroup	ว	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and	l its student growt	h percentile was:			group in 3 content	15	
	• at or above 70.			Exceeds	4	areas)		
	• below 70 but at or above 55.			Meets	3			
	• below 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching	2				
	• below 40.	Does Not Meet 1						
	Graduation Rate: The district's graduation rate was:							
	• at or above 90%.		Exceeds	4				
	• above 80% but below 90%.		Meets	3				
	• at or above 65% but below 80%	Approaching	2					
	• below 65%.	Does Not Meet	1					
	Dropout Rate: The district's dropout rate was:					12		
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.			Exceeds	4	(4 for each sub-	35	
Workforce Readiness	• at or below the state average but above 1%.			Meets	3	indicator)		
	• at or below 10% but above the state average.			Approaching	2			
	• at or above 10%.			Does Not Meet	1			
	Average Colorado ACT Composite: The district's average Colorado ACT composite score was	s:						
	• at or above 22.			Exceeds	4			
	• at or above the state average but below 22.			Meets	3			
	• at or above 17 but below the state average.			Approaching	2			
	• at or below 17.			Does Not Meet	1			
		Cut-Points for accre	editation category					
ut-Points for each pe	formance indicator				the total fra	mework points eligi	ble.	
	Point: The district earned of the points eligible on this indicator.	-	• at or above 809				Distinction	
· · ·	it or above 87.5% Exceeds	otal Framework	• at or above 649				Accredited	
	it or above 62.5% - below 87.5% Meets	Points	• at or above 529				Improvement	
Postsecondary •	it or above 37.5% - below 62.5% Approaching		• at or above 429			Pi	riority Improveme	
•	Does Not Meet	-	• below 42%				Turnaround	
ut-noints for district	ccreditation categories							
at points for district a	Plan description							
ccred. w/ Distinction	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ict may not be acc	radited with a Dria	rity Improveme	nt and /or To	rnaround Plan for lo	ngor	
ccredited		,		, ,		of Education is requi	0	
						•		
ccred. w/ Impr. Plan ccred. w/ Priority Im	· · ·					e on July 1 during th		
		er immediately foi ity improvement d	owing the fail in w	men me district	is noutrea tr	nat it is Accredited w	iui	

### Reference

## **Comparison Data**

### Academic Achievement

### Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2010)


	Reading				Math		Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	175	165	167	176	165	167	175	165	167	133	135	138
15th percentile	59.3	58.9	57.1	58.0	34.5	18.3	38.5	42.4	32.9	29.5	28.6	30.3
50th percentile	71.5	70.5	71.5	70.5	50.0	32.2	54.7	56.4	48.6	48.0	45.6	48.9
90th percentile	84.4	83.6	84.8	84.6	68.8	52.1	69.7	72.3	67.6	69.7	69.1	70.4

### Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	181	182	183	181	182	182	181	182	183	172	175	179
15th percentile	60.4	56.6	57.6	56.8	36.4	17.8	41.4	41.8	33.8	32.9	30.0	31.4
50th percentile	72.2	69.2	71.3	70.4	49.1	30.5	55.8	56.8	49.7	47.5	46.8	49.2
90th percentile	85.2	81.5	83.8	83.4	65.3	48.0	71.0	70.9	67.7	66.5	65.9	67.3

### Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

Decision tree to determine which scoring guide to use for Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps



## Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate

	N of Students	Mean Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

0 1	<u> </u>				
	N of Students	Mean Score			
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0			
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1			

### 1-year vs. 3-year report

Districts receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated District Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more districts to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small districts may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official accreditation category for the district: the one under which the district has ratings on a higher number of theperformance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on onlytwo years of data if that is the only data available. This is indicated on page 1.