District Performance Framework Report 2010

District: BYERS 32J - 0190

Level: All Levels

(1 Year*

Accre	dited	Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible*	
This is the accreditation categories designated an accreditation ca		Academic Achievement	Meets	64.6%	(9.7 out of 15 points)	
framework score, which is a percent earned out of the total points indicator. The overall score is guide below to determine the acceleration of the total points and the total points are total points.	centage of the total points they eligible in each performance then matched to the scoring	Academic Growth	Meets	66.7%	(23.3 out of 35 points)	
Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned	Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	57.4%	(8.6 out of 15 points)	
Accredited with Distinction	at or above 80%					
Accredited	at or above 64% - below 80%	Postsecondary and	Meets	75.0%	(26.3 out of 35 points)	
Accredited with Improvement	at or above 52% - below 64%	Workforce Readiness			(,	
Accredited with Priority Improvement Plan	at or above 42% - below 52%	Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met			
Accredited with Turnaround Plan	n below 42%	•	•			
Framework points are calcula points earned out of points elig all indicators, the total points	tible. For districts with data on	TOTAL		67.9%	(67.9 out of 100 points)	
Academic Achievement, 35 fc Academic Growth Gaps, and Workforce Readiness.	or Academic Growth, 15 for	eligible, so scores are not negatively	impacted.		dents. In these cases, the points are removed fr articipation rate in two or more subject areas a	
		Finance	Meets requirements			
		Safety	Meets requirements			
		Districts do not receive points for fin remain Accredited with Turnaround		that do not meet	requirements in at least one area default to Acc	redited with Priority Improvement Plan (or

What do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a district's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative growth: how the academic progress of the students in this district compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this district to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

Improving Academic



Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or jobs upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT composite scores.

Performance Indicators

Level: Elementary School

District: BYERS 32J - 0190

Academic Achievement	Points Earned							
	Points Eurneu	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	123	78.0%	71	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	123	73.2%	57	
Writing	3	4		Meets	123	60.2%	68	
Science	3	4		Meets	37	51.4%	55	
Total	12	16	75.0%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	86	31	24	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	86	51	49	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	86	45	44	Yes
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	2	8	25.0%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	31	25	36	No
Minority Students	0	0		N/A	5	N/A	N/A	
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	12	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	3	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	20	19	50	No
Mathematics	6	8	75.0%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	31	44	54	No
Minority Students	0	0		N/A	5	N/A	N/A	
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	12	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	3	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	21	72	74	No
Writing	4	8	50.0%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	31	46	53	No
Minority Students	0	0		N/A	5	N/A	N/A	
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	12	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	3	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	36	45	62	No
Total	12	24	50.0%	Approaching				
Test Participation %	of Students Tested	I		Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	99.2%			95% Participation Ra	ate Met	126	127	
-	99.2%			95% Participation Ra	ate Met	126	127	
Mathematics	33. L /0							
Mathematics Writing	99.2%			95% Participation Ra	ate Met	126	127	

Performance Indicators

1 Year

District: BYERS 32J - 0190

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	85	64.7%	31	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	86	53.5%	60	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	86	53.5%	39	
Science	2	4		Approaching	38	39.5%	32	
Total	9	16	56.3%	Approaching				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	82	30	25	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	83	51	68	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	83	48	55	No
Total	6	12	50.0%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	2	8	25.0%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	37	19	29	No
Minority Students	0	0		N/A	11			
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	8			
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	7			
Students needing to catch up) 1	4		Does Not Meet	24	38	57	No
Mathematics	5	8	62.5%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	37	42	68	No
Minority Students	0	0		N/A	11			
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	8			
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	7			
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	34	68	92	No
Writing	4	8	50.0%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	37	48	58	No
Minority Students	0	0		N/A	11			
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	8			
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	7			
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	34	41	79	No
Total	11	24	45.8%	Approaching				
Test Participation	% of Students Tested	1		Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	98.9%			95% Participation Rat	te Met	87	88	
Mathematics	100.00/			95% Participation Rat	te Met	88	88	
	100.0%			3370 Fullepution has		00	88	
Writing	100.0%			95% Participation Rat		88	88	

Performance Indicators

District: BYERS 32J - 0190

Level: High School

1 Year

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile
Reading	3	4		Meets	68	83.8%	86
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	68	26.5%	34
Writing	3	4		Meets	68	58.8%	76
Science	2	4		Approaching	33	30.3%	15
Total	10	16	62.5%	Meets			

Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Acudemic Growth	Points Eurneu	Tomits Engible	<i>7</i> 8 POINts	Kutiliy	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	66	57	15	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	66	60	97	No
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	66	68	43	Yes
Total	10	12	83.3%	Meets				

Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4	75.0%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	24	57	15	Yes
Minority Students	0	0		N/A	6			
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	4			
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	1			
Students needing to catch up	0	0		N/A	15			
Mathematics	5	8	62.5%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	24	47	91	No
Minority Students	0	0		N/A	6			
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	4			
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	1			
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	51	69	99	No
Writing	8	8	100.0%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	24	69	47	Yes
Minority Students	0	0		N/A	6			
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	4			
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	1			
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	28	73	90	No
Total	16	20	80.0%	Meets				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Rate/Score	Minimum State Expectation	
Graduation Rate	4	4		Exceeds	32	90.6%	80%	

Graduation Rate	4	4		Exceeds	32	90.6%	80%
Dropout Rate	3	4		Meets	270	1.1%	At/below state average
Colorado ACT Composite	2	4		Approaching	29	18.7	Above state average
Total	9	12	75.0%	Meets			

Test Participation	% of Students Tested	Rating	Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	70	70	
Mathematics	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	70	70	
Writing	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	70	70	
Science	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	34	34	
Colorado ACT	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	29	29	

Academic Achievement	 The district's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was: at or above the 90th percentile of all districts. below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all districts. 			Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Point
Academic	 The district's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was: at or above the 90th percentile of all districts. below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all districts. 						
	 at or above the 90th percentile of all districts. below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all districts. 						
				Exceeds	4	16	1
Achievement				Meets	3	(4 for each	15
	 below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all districts. 			Approaching	2	content area)	
	 below the 15th percentile of all districts. 			Does Not Meet	1		
	If the districtmeets the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student	growth percentile	was:				
	• at or above 60.			Exceeds	4		
	 below 60 but at or above 45. 			Meets	3		
	 below 45 but at or above 30. 			Approaching	2	12	
Academic	• below 30.			Does Not Meet	1	(4 for each	35
Growth	If the district does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median	n student growth p	ercentile was:			content area)	
	• at or above 70.			Exceeds	4		
	• below 70 but at or above 55.			Meets	3		
	• below 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching	2		
	• below 40.			Does Not Meet	1		
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its stude	ent growth percen	tile was:				
	• at or above 60.			Exceeds	4		
	• below 60 but at or above 45.			Meets	3		
	• below 45 but at or above 30.			Approaching	2	60	
Academic	• below 30.			Does Not Meet	1	(5 for each subgroup)
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and	l its student growt	h percentile was:			group in 3 content	15
	• at or above 70.			Exceeds	4	areas)	
	• below 70 but at or above 55.			Meets	3		
	• below 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching	2		
	• below 40.			Does Not Meet	1		
	Graduation Rate: The district's graduation rate was:						
	• at or above 90%.			Exceeds	4		
	• above 80% but below 90%.			Meets	3		
	• at or above 65% but below 80%			Approaching	2		
	• below 65%.			Does Not Meet	1		
	Dropout Rate: The district's dropout rate was:					12	
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.			Exceeds	4	(4 for each sub-	35
Workforce Readiness	• at or below the state average but above 1%.			Meets	3	indicator)	
	• at or below 10% but above the state average.			Approaching	2		
	• at or above 10%.			Does Not Meet	1		
	Average Colorado ACT Composite: The district's average Colorado ACT composite score was	s:					
	• at or above 22.			Exceeds	4		
	• at or above the state average but below 22.			Meets	3		
	• at or above 17 but below the state average.			Approaching	2		
	• at or below 17.			Does Not Meet	1		
		Cut-Points for accre	editation category				
ut-Points for each pe	formance indicator				the total fra	mework points eligi	ble.
	Point: The district earned of the points eligible on this indicator.	-	• at or above 809				Distinction
	it or above 87.5% Exceeds	otal Framework	• at or above 649				Accredited
	it or above 62.5% - below 87.5% Meets	Points	• at or above 529				Improvement
Postsecondary •	it or above 37.5% - below 62.5% Approaching		• at or above 429			Pi	riority Improveme
•	Does Not Meet	-	• below 42%				Turnaround
ut-noints for district	ccreditation categories						
at points for district a	Plan description						
ccred. w/ Distinction	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ict may not be acc	radited with a Dria	rity Improveme	nt and /or To	rnaround Plan for lo	ngor
ccredited		,		, ,		of Education is requi	0
						•	
ccred. w/ Impr. Plan ccred. w/ Priority Im	· · ·					e on July 1 during th	
		er immediately foi ity improvement d	owing the fail in w	men me district	is noutrea tr	nat it is Accredited w	iui

Reference

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2010)


	Reading			Math				Writing		Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	175	165	167	176	165	167	175	165	167	133	135	138
15th percentile	59.3	58.9	57.1	58.0	34.5	18.3	38.5	42.4	32.9	29.5	28.6	30.3
50th percentile	71.5	70.5	71.5	70.5	50.0	32.2	54.7	56.4	48.6	48.0	45.6	48.9
90th percentile	84.4	83.6	84.8	84.6	68.8	52.1	69.7	72.3	67.6	69.7	69.1	70.4

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10)

		Reading		Math				Writing		Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	181	182	183	181	182	182	181	182	183	172	175	179
15th percentile	60.4	56.6	57.6	56.8	36.4	17.8	41.4	41.8	33.8	32.9	30.0	31.4
50th percentile	72.2	69.2	71.3	70.4	49.1	30.5	55.8	56.8	49.7	47.5	46.8	49.2
90th percentile	85.2	81.5	83.8	83.4	65.3	48.0	71.0	70.9	67.7	66.5	65.9	67.3

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

Decision tree to determine which scoring guide to use for Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps



Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate

	N of Students	Mean Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

0 1	1	
	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

1-year vs. 3-year report

Districts receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated District Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more districts to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small districts may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official accreditation category for the district: the one under which the district has ratings on a higher number of theperformance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on onlytwo years of data if that is the only data available. This is indicated on page 1.