## Accredited with Improvement Plan

This is the accreditation category for the district. Districts are designated an accreditation category based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the accreditation category.


## What do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement
The Achievement Indicator reflects how a district's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

## Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative growth: how the academic progress of the students in this district compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this district to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

## Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

## Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or jobs upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT composite scores.

## Performance Indicators <br> District: PUEBLO COUNTY 70-2700

Level: Elementary School


| Performance Indicators |  |  |  |  |  |  | Level: Middle School |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District: PUEBLO COUNTY 70-2700 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 Year |  |
| Academic Achievement | Points Earned | Points Eligible | \% Points | Rating | $N$ | \% Proficient/Advanced | District's Percentile |  |
| Reading | 3 | 4 |  | Meets | 2,163 | 73.7\% | 64 |  |
| Mathematics | 3 | 4 |  | Meets | 2,163 | 53.3\% | 59 |  |
| Writing | 3 | 4 |  | Meets | 2,162 | 61.9\% | 68 |  |
| Science | 3 | 4 |  | Meets | 708 | 58.0\% | 74 |  |
| Total | 12 | 16 | 75.0\% | Meets |  |  |  |  |
| Academic Growth | Points Earned | Points Eligible | \% Points | Rating | $N$ | Median Growth Percentile | Median Adequate Growth Percentile | Made Adequate Growth? |
| Reading | 3 | 4 |  | Meets | 2,048 | 46 | 25 | Yes |
| Mathematics | 1 | 4 |  | Does Not Meet | 2,053 | 39 | 63 | No |
| Writing | 3 | 4 |  | Meets | 2,050 | 47 | 45 | Yes |
| Total | 7 | 12 | 58.3\% | Approaching |  |  |  |  |
| Academic Growth Gaps | Points Earned | Points Eligible | \% Points | Rating | $\begin{gathered} \text { Subgroup } \\ N \end{gathered}$ | Subgroup Median Growth Percentile | Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile | Made Adequate Growth? |
| Reading | 10 | 20 | 50.0\% | Approaching |  |  |  |  |
| Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching | 668 | 43 | 34 | Yes |
| Minority Students | 3 | 4 |  | Meets | 625 | 45 | 29 | Yes |
| Students w/ Disabilities | 1 | 4 |  | Does Not Meet | 156 | 30 | 69 | No |
| English Language Learners | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching | 53 | 42 | 45 | No |
| Students needing to catch up | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching | 518 | 44 | 59 | No |
| Mathematics | 6 | 20 | 30.0\% | Does Not Meet |  |  |  |  |
| Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible | 1 | 4 |  | Does Not Meet | 669 | 33 | 74 | No |
| Minority Students | 1 | 4 |  | Does Not Meet | 625 | 39 | 68 | No |
| Students w/ Disabilities | 1 | 4 |  | Does Not Meet | 158 | 31 | 96 | No |
| English Language Learners | 1 | 4 |  | Does Not Meet | 53 | 38 | 79 | No |
| Students needing to catch up | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching | 747 | 42 | 88 | No |
| Writing | 8 | 20 | 40.0\% | Approaching |  |  |  |  |
| Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching | 668 | 42 | 57 | No |
| Minority Students | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching | 625 | 45 | 49 | No |
| Students w/ Disabilities | 1 | 4 |  | Does Not Meet | 156 | 35 | 90 | No |
| English Language Learners | 1 | 4 |  | Does Not Meet | 53 | 37 | 69 | No |
| Students needing to catch up | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching | 707 | 46 | 78 | No |
| Total | 24 | 60 | 40.0\% | Approaching |  |  |  |  |
| Test Participation | \% of Students Tested |  |  | Rating |  | Students Tested | Total Students |  |
| Reading | 99.7\% |  |  | 95\% Participation Ra |  | 2,260 | 2,267 |  |
| Mathematics | 99.7\% |  |  | 95\% Participation Ra |  | 2,261 | 2,268 |  |
| Writing | 99.6\% |  |  | 95\% Participation Ra |  | 2,259 | 2,267 |  |
| Science | 99.3\% |  |  | 95\% Participation Ra |  | 738 | 743 |  |



| Scoring Guide | Rating | Poin |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The district's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was: |  |  |
| - at or above the 90th percentile of all districts. | Exceeds |  |
| - below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all districts. | Meets |  |
| - below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all districts. | Approaching |  |
| - below the 15th percentile of all districts. | Does Not Meet |  |
| If the districtmeets the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was: |  |  |
| - at or above 60. | Exceeds |  |
| - below 60 but at or above 45 . | Meets |  |
| - below 45 but at or above 30. | Approaching |  |
| - below 30. | Does Not Meet |  |
| If the district does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was: |  |  |
| - at or above 70. | Exceeds |  |
| - below 70 but at or above 55. | Meets |  |
| - below 55 but at or above 40. | Approaching |  |
| - below 40. | Does Not Meet |  |
| If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was: |  |  |


| If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was: |
| :--- |
| $\bullet$ at or above 60 . |
| $\bullet$ below 60 but at or above 45. |
| $\bullet$ below 45 but at or above 30. |
| • below 30. |


|  | Exceeds |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Meets |
|  | 3 |
|  | Approaching |
|  | Does Not Meet |

Academic Growth Gaps

| If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was: |
| :--- |
| $\bullet$ at or above 70 . |
| $\bullet$ below 70 but at or above 55 . |
| $\bullet$ below 55 but at or above 40. |
| $\bullet$ below 40. |


| Graduation Rate: The district's graduation rate was: |
| :--- |
| • at or above $90 \%$. |
| • above $80 \%$ but below $90 \%$. |
| • at or above $65 \%$ but below $80 \%$ |
| • bew $65 \%$ |



- below 65\%.

Postsecondary and

| $\bullet$ at or below $1 \%$. |
| :--- |
| $\bullet$ at or below the state average but above $1 \%$. |
| $\bullet$ at or below $10 \%$ but above the state average. |
| $\bullet$ at or above $10 \%$. |


|  | Exceeds | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Meets | 3 |
|  | Approaching | 2 |
|  | Does Not Meet | 1 |

## Average Colorado ACT Composite: The district's average Colorado ACT composite score was:

## - at or above 22.

- at or above the state average but below 22 .
- at or above 17 but below the state average.
- at or below 17.


## Cut-Points for each performance indicator

Cut Point: The district earned ... of the points eligible on this indicator.

## Achievement;

## Growth; Gaps;

Postsecondary

- at or above $87.5 \%$

| • at or above $62.5 \%$ - below $87.5 \%$ | Exceeds |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\bullet$ at or above $37.5 \%$ - below $62.5 \%$ | Meets |
| - below $37.5 \%$ | Approaching |



| Cut-Points for accreditation category |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cut Point: The district earned ... of the total framework points eligible. |  |
| Total Framework Points | - at or above 80\% | Distinction |
|  | - at or above 64\% - below 80\% | Accredited |
|  | - at or above 52\% - below 64\% | Improvement |
|  | - at or above 42\% - below 52\% | Priority Improvement |
|  | - below 42\% | Turnaround |

## Cut-points for district accreditation categories

Accred. w/ Distinction

## Accredited

Accred. w/ Impr. Plan Accred. w/ Priority Impr. Plan
Accred. w/ Turnaround Plan

Plan description
The district is Accredited with Distinction. The district is Accredited.
The district is Accredited with an Improvement Plan.
The district is Accredited with a Priority Improvement Plan.
The district is Accredited with a Turnaround Plan.

A district may not be accredited with a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education is required to restructure or close the district. The five consecutive years commence on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the district is notified that it is Accredited with a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

## Reference

## Comparison Data

## Academic Achievement

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2010)

|  | Reading |  |  | Math |  |  | Writing |  |  | Science |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Elem | Middle | High | Elem | Middle | High | Elem | Middle | High | Elem | Middle | High |
| N of Schools | 175 | 165 | 167 | 176 | 165 | 167 | 175 | 165 | 167 | 133 | 135 | 138 |
| 15th percentile | 59.3 | 58.9 | 57.1 | 58.0 | 34.5 | 18.3 | 38.5 | 42.4 | 32.9 | 29.5 | 28.6 | 30.3 |
| 50th percentile | 71.5 | 70.5 | 71.5 | 70.5 | 50.0 | 32.2 | 54.7 | 56.4 | 48.6 | 48.0 | 45.6 | 48.9 |
| 90th percentile | 84.4 | 83.6 | 84.8 | 84.6 | 68.8 | 52.1 | 69.7 | 72.3 | 67.6 | 69.7 | 69.1 | 70.4 |

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10)

|  | Reading |  |  | Math |  |  | Writing |  |  | Science |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Elem | Middle | High | Elem | Middle | High | Elem | Middle | High | Elem | Middle | High |
| N of Schools | 181 | 182 | 183 | 181 | 182 | 182 | 181 | 182 | 183 | 172 | 175 | 179 |
| 15th percentile | 60.4 | 56.6 | 57.6 | 56.8 | 36.4 | 17.8 | 41.4 | 41.8 | 33.8 | 32.9 | 30.0 | 31.4 |
| 50th percentile | 72.2 | 69.2 | 71.3 | 70.4 | 49.1 | 30.5 | 55.8 | 56.8 | 49.7 | 47.5 | 46.8 | 49.2 |
| 90th percentile | 85.2 | 81.5 | 83.8 | 83.4 | 65.3 | 48.0 | 71.0 | 70.9 | 67.7 | 66.5 | 65.9 | 67.3 |

## Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

Decision tree to determine which scoring guide to use for Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps


## Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate
N of Students Mean Dropout Rate

|  | N of Students | Mean Dropout Rate |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1-year (2009) | 416,953 | 3.6 |
| 3-year (2007-09) | $1,238,096$ | 3.9 |


| State Average (Mean) Colorado ACT Composite Score |
| :--- |
|  |
|  |
| 1 -y of Student (2010) |
| 3 -year (2008-10) |

## 1-year vs. 3-year report

Districts receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated District Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more districts to be considered withinthe same performance framework. Some small districts may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small $N$ counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on thebasis of three years of data increases the N count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official accreditation category for the district: the one under which the district has ratings on a higher number of theperformance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3 -year reports may be based on onlytwo years of data if that is the only data available. This is indicated on page 1.

