District Performance Framework Report 2010

District: TRINIDAD 1 - 1580

Accredited with Improvement Plan

This is the accreditation category for the district. Districts are designated an accreditation category based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the accreditation category.

Framework Points Earned

at or above 64% - below 80%

at or above 52% - below 64%

at or above 42% - below 52%

at or above 80%

below 42%

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	s Earned out of Points Eligible*	
Academic Achievement	Approaching	52.1%	(7.8 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Approaching	52.8%	(18.5 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	48.0%	(7.2 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Meets	66.7%	(23.3 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met			
TOTAL		56.8%	(56.8 out of 100 points)	
eligible, so scores are not negatively i	mpacted.		udents. In these cases, the points are removed f participation rate in two or more subject areas a	
Finance	Meets requirements			
Safety	Meets requirements			

Districts do not receive points for finance and safety assurances. However, districts that do not meet requirements in at least one area default to Accredited with Priority Improvement Plan (or remain Accredited with Turnaround Plan) until they meet requirements.

What do the performance indicators measure?

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For districts with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and

Academic Achievement

Plan Assignment

Accredited

Accredited with Distinction

Accredited with Priority

Improvement Plan

Workforce Readiness.

Accredited with Improvement

Accredited with Turnaround Plan

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a district's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative growth: how the academic progress of the students in this district compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this district to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.





Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or jobs upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT composite scores.

Level: All Levels

(3 Yeai

Performance Indicators

District: TRINIDAD 1 - 1580

3 Yea	r
-------	---

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	981	66.9%	30	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	981	61.2%	23	
Writing	3	4		Meets	979	56.8%	53	
Science	2	4		Approaching	306	43.5%	41	
Total	9	16	56.3%	Approaching				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	593	40	31	Yes
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	600	35	54	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	596	44	39	Yes
Total	5	12	41.7%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	7	16	43.8%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	394	34	32	Yes
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	426	37	32	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	81	33	50	No
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	19	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	188	42	57	No
Mathematics	6	20	30.0%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	397	31	55	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	431	35	56	No
Students w/ Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	84	23	64	No
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	20	40	58	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	208	37	73	No
Writing	7	16	43.8%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	394	41	41	Yes
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	427	44	40	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	82	39	60	No
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	19	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	260	45	62	No
Total	20	52	38.5%	Approaching				
Test Participation	% of Students Tested	1		Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	99.8%			95% Participation Rate	e Met	1,038	1,040	
Mathematics	99.8%			95% Participation Rate	e Met	1,039	1,041	
Writing	99.9%			95% Participation Rate	e Met	1,039	1,040	
Science	100.0%			95% Participation Rate	e Met	322	322	

Performance Indicators

3 Year

District: TRINIDAD 1 - 1580

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	973	63.2%	30	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	972	38.7%	18	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	973	51.3%	32	
Science	2	4		Approaching	310	38.1%	28	
Total	8	16	50.0%	Approaching				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	895	52	34	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	902	52	75	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	896	48	53	No
Total	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	10	16	62.5%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	546	51	38	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	607	50	38	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	105	41	70	No
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	12			
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	319	52	60	No
Mathematics	9	16	56.3%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	550	52	81	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	612	51	79	No
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	111	43	95	No
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	13			
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	504	55	90	No
Writing	7	16	43.8%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	547	47	59	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	608	46	57	No
Students w/ Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	106	39	86	No
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	13			
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	405	47	79	No
Total	26	48	54.2%	Approaching				
Test Participation	% of Students Tested	1		Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	100.0%			95% Participation Rat	e Met	1,012	1,012	
Mathematics	100.0%			95% Participation Rat		1,012	1,012	
Writing	100.0%			95% Participation Rat		1,012	1,012	
Science	100.0%			95% Participation Rat		327	327	

Performance Indicators

District: TRINIDAD 1 - 1580

Level: High School

-

3 Year

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	635	65.7%	32	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	635	21.4%	26	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	635	39.5%	28	
Science	2	4		Approaching	326	31.9%	16	
Total	8	16	50.0%	Approaching				

Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Acudemic Growth	Foints Eurneu	Politis Eligible	<i>76 FOIII</i>	Kuting	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	583	49	23	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	583	50	99	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	583	44	63	No
Total	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				

Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?	
Reading	10	16	62.5%	Meets					
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	345	46	26	Yes	
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	394	48	27	Yes	
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	53	42	93	No	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	13				
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	198	49	76	No	
Mathematics	8	16	50.0%	Approaching					
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	345	49	99	No	
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	394	49	99	No	
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	53	47	99	No	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	13				
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	433	50	99	No	
Writing	7	16	43.8%	Approaching					
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	345	43	70	No	
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	394	41	69	No	
Students w/ Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	53	39	99	No	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	13				
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	319	48	89	No	
Total	25	48	52.1%	Approaching					
Postsocondary and Workforce Poodiness	Doints Farnad	Doints Eligible	% Doints	Datina	N	Pata/Score	Minimum State Expectation		

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Rate/Score	Minimum State Expectation
Graduation Rate	2	4		Approaching	343	77.0%	80%
Dropout Rate	4	4		Exceeds	2,356	0.7%	At/below state average
Colorado ACT Composite	2	4		Approaching	261	17.7	Above state average
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets			

Test Participation	% of Students Tested	Rating	Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	99.8%	95% Participation Rate Met	668	669	
Mathematics	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	669	669	
Writing	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	669	669	
Science	99.4%	95% Participation Rate Met	345	347	
Colorado ACT	99.6%	95% Participation Rate Met	261	262	

Academic Achievement	 The district's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was: at or above the 90th percentile of all districts. below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all districts. 			Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Point
Academic	 The district's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was: at or above the 90th percentile of all districts. below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all districts. 						
	 at or above the 90th percentile of all districts. below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all districts. 						
				Exceeds	4	16	1
Achievement				Meets	3	(4 for each	15
	 below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all districts. 			Approaching	2	content area)	
	 below the 15th percentile of all districts. 			Does Not Meet	1		
	If the districtmeets the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student	growth percentile	was:				
	• at or above 60.			Exceeds	4		
	 below 60 but at or above 45. 			Meets	3		
	 below 45 but at or above 30. 			Approaching	2	12	
Academic	• below 30.			Does Not Meet	1	(4 for each	35
Growth	If the district does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median	n student growth p	ercentile was:			content area)	
	• at or above 70.			Exceeds	4		
	• below 70 but at or above 55.			Meets	3		
	• below 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching	2		
	• below 40.			Does Not Meet	1		
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its stude	ent growth percen	tile was:				
	• at or above 60.			Exceeds	4		
	• below 60 but at or above 45.			Meets	3		
	• below 45 but at or above 30.			Approaching	2	60	
Academic	• below 30.			Does Not Meet	1	(5 for each subgroup)
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and	l its student growt	h percentile was:			group in 3 content	15
	• at or above 70.			Exceeds	4	areas)	
	• below 70 but at or above 55.			Meets	3		
	• below 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching	2		
	• below 40.			Does Not Meet	1		
	Graduation Rate: The district's graduation rate was:						
	• at or above 90%.			Exceeds	4		
	• above 80% but below 90%.			Meets	3		
	• at or above 65% but below 80%			Approaching	2		
	• below 65%.			Does Not Meet	1		
	Dropout Rate: The district's dropout rate was:					12	
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.			Exceeds	4	(4 for each sub-	35
Workforce Readiness	• at or below the state average but above 1%.			Meets	3	indicator)	
	• at or below 10% but above the state average.			Approaching	2		
	• at or above 10%.			Does Not Meet	1		
	Average Colorado ACT Composite: The district's average Colorado ACT composite score was	s:					
	• at or above 22.			Exceeds	4		
	• at or above the state average but below 22.			Meets	3		
	• at or above 17 but below the state average.			Approaching	2		
	• at or below 17.			Does Not Meet	1		
		Cut-Points for accre	editation category				
ut-Points for each pe	formance indicator				the total fra	mework points eligi	ble.
	Point: The district earned of the points eligible on this indicator.	-	• at or above 809				Distinction
· · ·	it or above 87.5% Exceeds	otal Framework	• at or above 649				Accredited
	it or above 62.5% - below 87.5% Meets	Points	• at or above 529				Improvement
Postsecondary •	it or above 37.5% - below 62.5% Approaching		• at or above 429			Pi	riority Improveme
•	Does Not Meet	-	• below 42%				Turnaround
ut-noints for district	ccreditation categories						
at points for district a	Plan description						
ccred. w/ Distinction	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ict may not be acc	radited with a Dria	rity Improveme	nt and /or To	rnaround Plan for lo	ngor
ccredited		,		, ,		of Education is requi	0
						•	
ccred. w/ Impr. Plan ccred. w/ Priority Im	· · ·					e on July 1 during th	
		er immediately foi ity improvement d	owing the fail in w	men me district	is noutrea tr	nat it is Accredited w	iui

Reference

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2010)


		Reading		Math				Writing		Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	175	165	167	176	165	167	175	165	167	133	135	138
15th percentile	59.3	58.9	57.1	58.0	34.5	18.3	38.5	42.4	32.9	29.5	28.6	30.3
50th percentile	71.5	70.5	71.5	70.5	50.0	32.2	54.7	56.4	48.6	48.0	45.6	48.9
90th percentile	84.4	83.6	84.8	84.6	68.8	52.1	69.7	72.3	67.6	69.7	69.1	70.4

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10)

		Reading		Math				Writing		Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	181	182	183	181	182	182	181	182	183	172	175	179
15th percentile	60.4	56.6	57.6	56.8	36.4	17.8	41.4	41.8	33.8	32.9	30.0	31.4
50th percentile	72.2	69.2	71.3	70.4	49.1	30.5	55.8	56.8	49.7	47.5	46.8	49.2
90th percentile	85.2	81.5	83.8	83.4	65.3	48.0	71.0	70.9	67.7	66.5	65.9	67.3

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

Decision tree to determine which scoring guide to use for Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps



Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate

	N of Students	Mean Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

0 1	1	
	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

1-year vs. 3-year report

Districts receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated District Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more districts to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small districts may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official accreditation category for the district: the one under which the district has ratings on a higher number of theperformance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on onlytwo years of data if that is the only data available. This is indicated on page 1.