District: PEYTON 23 JT - 1060 (3 Year**

Accredited

This is the accreditation category for the district. Districts are designated an accreditation category based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the accreditation category.

Dlan Assimumant	Francous de Dainta Farra d
Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Accredited with Distinction	at or above 80%
Accredited	at or above 64% - below 80%
Accredited with Improvement	at or above 52% - below 64%
Accredited with Priority Improvement Plan	at or above 42% - below 52%
Accredited with Turnaround Plan	below 42%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For districts with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible*	
Academic Achievement	Meets	77.1%	(11.6 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	72.2%	(25.3 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	62.9%	(9.4 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Meets	83.3%	(29.2 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met			
TOTAL * Districts may not be aligible for all	possible points on an indicator due to insuffici	75.5%	(75.5 out of 100 points)	from both the points earned and the points

^{*} Districts may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

^{**} Districts do not receive points for test participation. However, districts that do not meet the 95% participation rate in two or more subject areas are assigned one accreditation category lower than their points indicate.

Finance	Meets requirements
Safety	Meets requirements

Districts do not receive points for finance and safety assurances. However, districts that do not meet requirements in at least one area default to Accredited with Priority Improvement Plan (or remain Accredited with Turnaround Plan) until they meet requirements.

What do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a district's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative growth: how the academic progress of the students in this district compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this district to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or jobs upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT composite scores.





District: PEYTON 23 JT - 1060 3 Year

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	458	78.8%	73	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	460	78.9%	77	
Writing	3	4		Meets	460	60.4%	68	
Science	4	4		Exceeds	139	67.6%	91	
Total	13	16	81.3%	Meets				

Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	294	47	25	Yes
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	295	33	37	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	295	52	37	Yes
Total	7	12	58.3%	Approaching		-		

Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	92	45	25	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	51	49	28	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	18	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	5	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	50	41	55	No
Mathematics	3	12	25.0%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	92	31	36	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	51	37	42	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	18	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	5	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	40	34	70	No
Writing	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	92	54	38	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	51	45	40	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	18	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	5	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	2	4	·	Approaching	114	45	53	No
Total	19	36	52.8%	Approaching				

Test Participation	% of Students Tested	Rating	Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	99.4%	95% Participation Rate Met	487	490	
Mathematics	99.6%	95% Participation Rate Met	491	493	
Writing	99.8%	95% Participation Rate Met	492	493	
Science	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	145	145	

Performance Indicators Level: Middle School

District: PEYTON 23 JT - 1060 3 Year

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	376	71.5%	57	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	377	59.4%	80	
Writing	3	4		Meets	377	70.6%	89	
Science	3	4		Meets	140	58.6%	78	
Total	12	16	75.0%	Meets				

Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	353	54	26	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	355	58	62	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	354	59	41	Yes
Total	9	12	75.0%	Meets				

Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	9	16	56.3%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	105	54	30	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	40	54	32	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	26	38	73	No
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	1			
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	99	48	58	No
Mathematics	8	16	50.0%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	105	51	68	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	40	55	74	No
Students w/ Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	27	35	98	No
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	1			
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	125	50	90	No
Writing	13	16	81.3%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	105	61	45	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	40	63	45	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	27	43	83	No
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	1			
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	107	61	73	No
Total	30	48	62.5%	Meets				

Test Participation	% of Students Tested	Rating	Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	98.7%	95% Participation Rate Met	390	395	
Mathematics	99.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	391	395	
Writing	99.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	391	395	
Science	98.6%	95% Participation Rate Met	143	145	

Level: High School

District: PEYTON 23 JT - 1060

3 Year

District: PEYTON 23 JT - 10	0 0							3 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	318	78.6%	76	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	319	33.2%	59	
Writing	3	4		Meets	318	59.8%	77	
Science	3	4		Meets	151	63.6%	83	
Total	12	16	75.0%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	283	63	14	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	285	62	90	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	284	59	45	Yes
Total	10	12	83.3%	Meets				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	12	16	75.0%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	63	71	19	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	20	50	17	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	25	53	79	No
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	3			
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	63	65	78	No
Mathematics	11	16	68.8%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4	00.070	Meets	65	60	99	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	20	57	95	No
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	27	51	99	No
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	3	31	33	INO
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	161	68	99	No
Writing	11	16	68.8%		101	08	95	INO
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3		06.6%	Meets	6.4	F0	70	No
		4		Meets	20	58	70	No
Minority Students	3	-		Meets		57	25	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	26	52	99	No
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	3		00	N
Students needing to catch up Total	3 34	48	70.8%	Meets Meets	105	61	90	No
						- · · /a		
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N 102	Rate/Score	Minimum State Expectation	
Graduation Rate	4	4		Exceeds	192	95.8%	80%	
Dropout Rate	4	4		Exceeds	1,222	0.4%	At/below state average	
Colorado ACT Composite	2	4		Approaching	162	20.0	Above state average	
Total	10	12	83.3%	Meets				
·	of Students Tested	d		Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	99.4%			95% Participation		333	335	_
Mathematics	99.7%			95% Participation		334	335	
Writing	99.4%			95% Participation		333	335	
Science	99.4%			95% Participation		157	158	
Colorado ACT	100.0%			95% Participation	n Rate Met	162	162	

Level: All Levels

	mance Indicators on the District Performance Framework Report				
erformance Indicator	Scoring Guide	Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Poi
	The district's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:				
	at or above the 90th percentile of all districts.	Exceeds	4	16	
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all districts.	Meets	3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all districts.	Approaching	2	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all districts.	Does Not Meet	1		
	If the districtmeets the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:				
	at or above 60.	Exceeds	4		
	below 60 but at or above 45.	Meets	3		
	below 45 but at or above 30.	Approaching	2	12	
Academic	• below 30.	Does Not Meet	1	(4 for each	35
Growth	If the district does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:			content area)	
	at or above 70.	Exceeds	4		
	below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3		
	below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2		
	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1		
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was:				
	at or above 60.	Exceeds	4		
	below 60 but at or above 45.	Meets	3		
	below 45 but at or above 30.	Approaching	2	60	
Academic	• below 30.	Does Not Meet	1	(5 for each subgroup	d
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was:	group in 3 content	15		
	at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	areas)	
	below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3		
	below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2		
	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1		
	Graduation Rate: The district's graduation rate was:		•		
	at or above 90%.	Exceeds	4		
	above 80% but below 90%.	Meets	3		
	at or above 65% but below 80%	Approaching	2		
	• below 65%.	Does Not Meet	1		
	Dropout Rate: The district's dropout rate was:	•		12	
Postsecondary and	at or below 1%.	Exceeds	4	(4 for each sub-	35
orkforce Readiness	• at or below the state average but above 1%.	Meets	3	indicator)	
	• at or below 10% but above the state average.	Approaching	2	,	
	• at or above 10%.	Does Not Meet	1		
	Average Colorado ACT Composite: The district's average Colorado ACT composite score was:		_		
	• at or above 22.	Exceeds	4		
	• at or above the state average but below 22.	Meets	3		
	• at or above the state average but below 22.	Approaching	2		
	• at or below 17.	Does Not Meet	1		
	Cut-Points for accreditation category		1 1		

Cut-Points for each performance indicator							
	Cut Point: The district earned of the points eligible on this indicator.						
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%						
Growth; Gaps;	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets					
Postsecondary	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching					
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet					

Cut-Points for accreditation category								
	Cut Point: The district earned of the total framework points eligible.							
	• at or above 80%	Distinction						
Total Framework	otal Framework • at or above 64% - below 80%							
Points	• at or above 52% - below 64%	Improvement						
	• at or above 42% - below 52%	Priority Improvement						
	• below 42%	Turnaround						

Cut-points for district accreditation categories							
	Plan description						
Accred. w/ Distinction	The district is Accredited with Distinction.	A district may not be accredited with a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer					
Accredited	The district is Accredited.	than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education is required					
Accred. w/ Impr. Plan	The district is Accredited with an Improvement Plan.	to restructure or close the district. The five consecutive years commence on July 1 during the					
Accred. w/ Priority Impr. Plan	The district is Accredited with a Priority Improvement Plan.	summer immediately following the fall in which the district is notified that it is Accredited with					
Accred. w/ Turnaround Plan	The district is Accredited with a Turnaround Plan.	a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.					

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2010)


	Reading		Math			Writing			Science			
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	175	165	167	176	165	167	175	165	167	133	135	138
15th percentile	59.3	58.9	57.1	58.0	34.5	18.3	38.5	42.4	32.9	29.5	28.6	30.3
50th percentile	71.5	70.5	71.5	70.5	50.0	32.2	54.7	56.4	48.6	48.0	45.6	48.9
90th percentile	84.4	83.6	84.8	84.6	68.8	52.1	69.7	72.3	67.6	69.7	69.1	70.4

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10)

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·											
	Reading		Reading Math				Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	181	182	183	181	182	182	181	182	183	172	175	179
15th percentile	60.4	56.6	57.6	56.8	36.4	17.8	41.4	41.8	33.8	32.9	30.0	31.4
50th percentile	72.2	69.2	71.3	70.4	49.1	30.5	55.8	56.8	49.7	47.5	46.8	49.2
90th percentile	85.2	81.5	83.8	83.4	65.3	48.0	71.0	70.9	67.7	66.5	65.9	67.3

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

Decision tree to determine which scoring guide to use for Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps



Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate

	N of Students	Mean Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average (Mean) Colorado ACT Composite Score

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

1-year vs. 3-year report

Districts receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated District Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more districts to be considered withinthe same performance framework. Some small districts may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on thebasis of three years of data increases the N count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official accreditation category for the district: the one under which the district has ratings on a higher number of theperformance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on onlytwo years of data if that is the only data available. This is indicated on page 1.