District: ARCHULETA COUNTY 50 JT - 0220 (1 Year**

Accredited

This is the accreditation category for the district. Districts are designated an accreditation category based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the accreditation category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Accredited with Distinction	at or above 80%
Accredited	at or above 64% - below 80%
Accredited with Improvement	at or above 52% - below 64%
Accredited with Priority Improvement Plan	at or above 42% - below 52%
Accredited with Turnaround Plan	below 42%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For districts with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	s Earned out of Points Eligible*	
Academic Achievement	Meets	72.9%	(10.9 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	75.0%	(26.3 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	69.7%	(10.5 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Approaching	58.3%	(20.4 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met			
TOTAL		68.1%	(68.1 out of 100 points)	
* Districts may not be eligible for all	•	ent numbers of st	udents. In these cases, the points are removed f	rom both the points earned and the points

^{*} Districts may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

^{**} Districts do not receive points for test participation. However, districts that do not meet the 95% participation rate in two or more subject areas are assigned one accreditation category lower than their points indicate.

Finance	Meets requirements
Safety	Meets requirements
Districts do not necessive as	

Districts do not receive points for finance and safety assurances. However, districts that do not meet requirements in at least one area default to Accredited with Priority Improvement Plan (or remain Accredited with Turnaround Plan) until they meet requirements.

What do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a district's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative growth: how the academic progress of the students in this district compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this district to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or jobs upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT composite scores.





District: ARCHULETA COUNTY 50 JT - 0220

1 Year

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	413	74.1%	61	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	413	70.7%	50	
Writing	3	4		Meets	412	57.0%	58	
Science	2	4		Approaching	98	44.9%	41	
Total	11	16	68.8%	Meets				

Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	284	49	26	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching		52	53	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	285	49	42	Yes
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets				

Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	12	16	75.0%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	161	48	32	Yes
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	88	42	33	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	19	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	3	4		Meets	25	50	45	Yes
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	64	61	52	Yes
Mathematics	10	16	62.5%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	162	56	56	Yes
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	87	51	60	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	18	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	25	46	67	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	80	65	73	No
Writing	10	16	62.5%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	161	46	44	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	87	47	46	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	18	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	25	40	60	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	126	50	61	No
Total	32	48	66.7%	Meets				

Test Participation	% of Students Tested	Rating	Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	99.3%	95% Participation Rate Met	425	428	
Mathematics	99.5%	95% Participation Rate Met	425	427	
Writing	99.3%	95% Participation Rate Met	424	427	
Science	98.1%	95% Participation Rate Met	102	104	

Performance Indicators Level: Middle School

District: ARCHULETA COUNTY 50 JT - 0220

1 Year

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	244	72.5%	60	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	245	53.5%	60	
Writing	3	4		Meets	245	58.4%	58	
Science	3	4		Meets	118	62.7%	83	
Total	12	16	75.0%	Meets				
						-	_	

Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	221	57	26	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	222	63	71	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	222	57	54	Yes
Total	9	12	75.0%	Meets				

Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	12	16	75.0%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	115	58	33	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	62	62	43	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	20	33	76	No
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	13			
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	56	70	62	Yes
Mathematics	10	16	62.5%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	116	68	78	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	62	54	90	No
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	21	44	98	No
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	14			
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	99	67	94	No
Writing	9	16	56.3%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	116	60	62	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	63	52	75	No
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	21	44	93	No
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	14			
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	75	46	85	No
Total	31	48	64.6%	Meets				

Test Participation	% of Students Tested	Rating	Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	99.2%	95% Participation Rate Met	247	249	
Mathematics	99.6%	95% Participation Rate Met	248	249	
Writing	98.8%	95% Participation Rate Met	248	251	
Science	99.2%	95% Participation Rate Met	120	121	

District: ARCHULETA COUNTY 50 JT - 0220

Colorado ACT

96.4%

1 Year

DISTRICT: ARCHULETA COUR	NIY 50 JI - 0.	220						1 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	245	78.8%	75	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	244	45.9%	83	
Writing	3	4		Meets	246	57.7%	73	
Science	3	4		Meets	119	66.4%	84	
Total	12	16	75.0%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	218	56	12	Yes
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	217	72	82	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	219	51	38	Yes
Total	10	12	83.3%	Meets				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	9	12	75.0%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	94	57	19	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	45	58	26	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	15			'
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	7			,
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	49	59	75	No
Mathematics .	12	12	100.0%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	93	71	89	No
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	45	78	87	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	15			1
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	7			
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	99	71	99	No
Writing	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4	5511.71	Approaching	95	51	62	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	46	57	67	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	16			-
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	7			
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	77	55	93	No
Total :	29	36	80.6%	Meets				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Rate/Score	Minimum State Expectation	
Graduation Rate	2	4		Approaching	150	74.0%	80%	
Dropout Rate	3	4		Meets	874	3.1%	At/below state average	,
Colorado ACT Composite	2	4		Approaching	106	18.1	Above state average	,
Total	7	12	58.3%	Approaching			_	
Test Participation %	% of Students Tested	.		Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	99.2%			95% Participation	n Rate Met	249	251	
Mathematics	98.8%			95% Participation		248	251	,
Writing	99.6%			95% Participation		250	251	
Science	98.4%			95% Participation		119	121	,

95% Participation Rate Met

106

110

Level: All Levels

	mance Indicators on the District Performance Framework Report				
erformance Indicator	Scoring Guide	Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Poi
	The district's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:				
	at or above the 90th percentile of all districts.	Exceeds	4	16	
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all districts.	Meets	3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all districts.	Approaching	2	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all districts.	Does Not Meet	1		
	If the districtmeets the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:				
	at or above 60.	Exceeds	4		
	below 60 but at or above 45.	Meets	3		
	below 45 but at or above 30.	Approaching	2	12	
Academic	• below 30.	Does Not Meet	1	(4 for each	35
Growth	If the district does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:			content area)	
	at or above 70.	Exceeds	4		
	below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3		
	below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2		
	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1		
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was:				
	at or above 60.	Exceeds	4		
	below 60 but at or above 45.	Meets	3		
	below 45 but at or above 30.	Approaching	2	60	
Academic	• below 30.	Does Not Meet	1	(5 for each subgroup	d
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was:	group in 3 content	15		
	at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	areas)	
	below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3		
	below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2		
	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1		
	Graduation Rate: The district's graduation rate was:		•		
	at or above 90%.	Exceeds	4		
	above 80% but below 90%.	Meets	3		
	at or above 65% but below 80%	Approaching	2		
	• below 65%.	Does Not Meet	1		
	Dropout Rate: The district's dropout rate was:	•		12	
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.	Exceeds	4	(4 for each sub-	35
orkforce Readiness	• at or below the state average but above 1%.	Meets	3	indicator)	
	• at or below 10% but above the state average.	Approaching	2	,	
	• at or above 10%.	Does Not Meet	1		
	Average Colorado ACT Composite: The district's average Colorado ACT composite score was:		_		
	• at or above 22.	Exceeds	4		
	• at or above the state average but below 22.	Meets	3		
	• at or above the state average but below 22.	Approaching	2		
	• at or below 17.	Does Not Meet	1		
	Cut-Points for accreditation category		1 1		

Cut-Points for each performance indicator							
	Cut Point: The district earned of the points eligible on this indicator.						
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%						
Growth; Gaps;	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets					
Postsecondary	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching					
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet					

Cut-Points for accreditation category								
	Cut Point: The district earned of the total framework points eligible.							
	• at or above 80%	Distinction						
Total Framework	otal Framework • at or above 64% - below 80%							
Points	• at or above 52% - below 64%	Improvement						
	• at or above 42% - below 52%	Priority Improvement						
	• below 42%	Turnaround						

Cut-points for district accreditation categories							
	Plan description						
Accred. w/ Distinction	The district is Accredited with Distinction.	A district may not be accredited with a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer					
Accredited	The district is Accredited.	than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education is required					
Accred. w/ Impr. Plan	The district is Accredited with an Improvement Plan.	to restructure or close the district. The five consecutive years commence on July 1 during the					
Accred. w/ Priority Impr. Plan	The district is Accredited with a Priority Improvement Plan.	summer immediately following the fall in which the district is notified that it is Accredited with					
Accred. w/ Turnaround Plan	The district is Accredited with a Turnaround Plan.	a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.					

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2010)


	Reading		Math			Writing			Science			
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	175	165	167	176	165	167	175	165	167	133	135	138
15th percentile	59.3	58.9	57.1	58.0	34.5	18.3	38.5	42.4	32.9	29.5	28.6	30.3
50th percentile	71.5	70.5	71.5	70.5	50.0	32.2	54.7	56.4	48.6	48.0	45.6	48.9
90th percentile	84.4	83.6	84.8	84.6	68.8	52.1	69.7	72.3	67.6	69.7	69.1	70.4

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10)

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·											
	Reading		Reading Math				Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	181	182	183	181	182	182	181	182	183	172	175	179
15th percentile	60.4	56.6	57.6	56.8	36.4	17.8	41.4	41.8	33.8	32.9	30.0	31.4
50th percentile	72.2	69.2	71.3	70.4	49.1	30.5	55.8	56.8	49.7	47.5	46.8	49.2
90th percentile	85.2	81.5	83.8	83.4	65.3	48.0	71.0	70.9	67.7	66.5	65.9	67.3

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

Decision tree to determine which scoring guide to use for Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps



Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate

	N of Students	Mean Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average (Mean) Colorado ACT Composite Score

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

1-year vs. 3-year report

Districts receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated District Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more districts to be considered withinthe same performance framework. Some small districts may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on thebasis of three years of data increases the N count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official accreditation category for the district: the one under which the district has ratings on a higher number of theperformance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on onlytwo years of data if that is the only data available. This is indicated on page 1.