District: MAPLETON 1 - 0010

Accredited with Improvement Plan (Revised)

This is the accreditation category for the district. Districts are designated an accreditation category based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the accreditation category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Accredited with Distinction	at or above 80%
Accredited	at or above 64% - below 80%
Accredited with Improvement	at or above 52% - below 64%
Accredited with Priority Improvement Plan	at or above 42% - below 52%
Accredited with Turnaround Plan	below 42%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For districts with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible*	
Academic Achievement	Does Not Meet	25.0%	(3.8 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Approaching	52.8%	(18.5 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	50.0%	(7.5 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Does Not Meet	33.3%	(11.7 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met			
TOTAL		41.5%	(41.5 out of 100 points)	
* Districts may not be eligible for all peligible, so scores are not negatively	•	ient numbers of st	udents. In these cases, the points are removed	from both the points earned and the points

^{**} Districts do not receive points for test participation. However, districts that do not meet the 95% participation rate in two or more subject areas are assigned one accreditation category lower than their points indicate.

Finance	Meets requirements
Safety	Meets requirements

Districts do not receive points for finance and safety assurances. However, districts that do not meet requirements in at least one area default to Accredited with Priority Improvement Plan (or remain Accredited with Turnaround Plan) until they meet requirements.

What do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a district's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative growth: how the academic progress of the students in this district compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this district to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or jobs upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT composite scores.





District: MAPLETON 1 - 0010 3 Year

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	4,054	45.2%	3	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	4,051	45.1%	3	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	4,050	33.0%	6	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	1,241	16.9%	3	
Total	4	16	25.0%	Does Not Meet				

Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	2,518	48	49	No
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	2,663	48	68	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	2,528	46	61	No
Total	6	12	50.0%	Approaching				

Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	9	20	45.0%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	1,776	48	53	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	1,827	48	52	No
Students w/ Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	250	39	84	No
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	1,099	51	58	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	1,407	51	68	No
Mathematics	9	20	45.0%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	1,903	47	70	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	1,961	48	70	No
Students w/ Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	259	38	89	No
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	1,234	50	72	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	1,462	48	83	No
Writing	9	20	45.0%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	1,778	46	65	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	1,832	47	64	No
Students w/ Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	249	35	86	No
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	1,103	49	68	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	1,766	46	73	No
Total	27	60	45.0%	Approaching				

Test Participation	% of Students Tested	Rating	Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	99.4%	95% Participation Rate Met	4,258	4,286	
Mathematics	99.5%	95% Participation Rate Met	4,257	4,279	
Writing	99.5%	95% Participation Rate Met	4,258	4,279	
Science	99.2%	95% Participation Rate Met	1,290	1,300	

Performance Indicators Level: Middle School

District: MAPLETON 1 - 0010 3 Year

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	3,200	45.0%	5	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	3,193	24.9%	5	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	3,200	34.7%	8	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	1,062	20.1%	4	
Total	4	16	25.0%	Does Not Meet				

Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	3,005	45	49	No
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	3,002	41	88	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	3,010	45	72	No
Total	6	12	50.0%	Approaching				

Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	10	20	50.0%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	2,058	46	53	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	2,134	46	53	No
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	235	41	89	No
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	1,235	49	57	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	1,598	48	68	No
Mathematics	10	20	50.0%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	2,048	40	90	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	2,127	42	90	No
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	236	44	99	No
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	1,233	43	91	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	2,051	43	96	No
Writing	10	20	50.0%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	2,058	45	75	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	2,138	46	75	No
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	236	42	95	No
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	1,239	49	78	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	1,852	46	85	No
Total	30	60	50.0%	Approaching				

Test Participation	% of Students Tested	Rating	Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	99.1%	95% Participation Rate Met	3,342	3,373	
Mathematics	98.9%	95% Participation Rate Met	3,333	3,369	
Writing	99.1%	95% Participation Rate Met	3,343	3,374	
Science	96.7%	95% Participation Rate Met	1,117	1,155	

Level: High School

District: MAPLETON 1 - 00:	10							3 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	1,984	47.3%	4	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	1,985	12.1%	6	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	1,997	25.2%	4	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	925	26.7%	7	
Total	4	16	25.0%	Does Not Meet				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	1,693	52	52	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	1,689	50	99	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	1,706	53	90	No
Total	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	10	20	50.0%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	1,032	54	62	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	1,178	52	61	No
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	108	50	99	No
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	637	54	71	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	947	54	84	No
Mathematics	10	20	50.0%	Approaching		-		
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4	30.070	Approaching	1,031	48	 99	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	1,171	49	99	No
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	106	49	99	No
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	634	52	99	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	1,398	50	99	No
Writing	13	20	65.0%	Meets	1,330	30		140
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4	03.076		1.042			No
	2			Meets	1,042	56	93	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	1,188 110	53 48	92	No No
Students w/ Disabilities English Language Learners	3	4		Approaching	640	57	99 95	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets Meets	1,248	56	95 96	No
Total	33	60	55.0%	Approaching	1,240	30	90	INO
Destance described to the state of the state	Defeats From a d	Dainta Elimila	0/ D-1-4-			D. 16	Adiata Chata Farandatian	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N 1.020	Rate/Score	Minimum State Expectation	
Graduation Rate	1	4		Does Not Meet	1,020	55.5%	80%	
Dropout Rate	2	4		Approaching	8,015	8.2%	At/below state average	
Colorado ACT Composite Total	4	12	33.3%	Does Not Meet Does Not Meet	713	16.9	Above state average	
						Charles To a d	Tabal Co. 1	
	6 of Students Tested	7		Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	96.1%			95% Participation Rate N		2,112	2,198	
Mathematics	96.0%			95% Participation Rate N		2,116	2,204	
Writing	96.7%			95% Participation Rate N		2,126	2,198	
Science	96.7%			95% Participation Rate N		984	1,018	
Colorado ACT	98.9%			95% Participation Rate N	Иet	713	721	

Level: All Levels

	mance Indicators on the District Performance Framework Report				
erformance Indicator		Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Poir
	The district's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:				
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all districts.	Exceeds Meets	4	16	
Academic	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all districts.	3	(4 for each	15	
Achievement	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all districts.	Approaching	2	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all districts.	Does Not Meet	1		
	If the districtmeets the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:				
	• at or above 60.	Exceeds	4		
	below 60 but at or above 45.	Meets	3		
	below 45 but at or above 30.	Approaching	2	12	
Academic	• below 30.	Does Not Meet	1	(4 for each	35
Growth	If the district does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:			content area)	
	at or above 70.	Exceeds	4		
	below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3		
	below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2		
	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1		
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was:	•			
	• at or above 60.	Exceeds	4		
	below 60 but at or above 45.	Meets	3		
	below 45 but at or above 30.	Approaching	2	60	
Academic	• below 30.	Does Not Meet	1	(5 for each subgroup	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was:	group in 3 content	15		
	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	areas)	
	below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3	,	
	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2		
	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1		
	Graduation Rate: The district's graduation rate was:	20001100111000	_		
	• at or above 90%.	Exceeds	4		
	• above 80% but below 90%.	Meets	3		
	• at or above 65% but below 80%	Approaching	2		
	• below 65%.	Does Not Meet	1		
	Dropout Rate: The district's dropout rate was:	Does Not Wicet		12	
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.	Exceeds	4	(4 for each sub-	35
orkforce Readiness	at or below 1%. at or below the state average but above 1%.	Meets	3	indicator)	33
orkioice neauilless	• at or below the state average but above 1%. • at or below 10% but above the state average.	Approaching	2	iliuicatorj	
	• at or above 10%.	Does Not Meet	1		
	• at Or above 10%. Average Colorado ACT Composite: The district's average Colorado ACT composite score was:	poes not weet	Г т		
		Fyends			
	• at or above 22.	Exceeds	4		
	at or above the state average but below 22.	Meets	3		
	• at or above 17 but below the state average.	Approaching	2		
	• at or below 17.	Does Not Meet	1		

Cut-Points for each performance indicator							
	Cut Point: The district earned of the points eligible on this indicator.						
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%						
Growth; Gaps;	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets					
Postsecondary	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching					
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet					

Cut-Points for accreditation category									
	Cut Point: The district earned of the total framework points eligible.								
	• at or above 80%	Distinction							
Total Framework	al Framework • at or above 64% - below 80%								
Points	• at or above 52% - below 64%	Improvement							
	• at or above 42% - below 52%	Priority Improvement							
	• below 42%	Turnaround							

Cut-points for district accreditation categories								
Plan description								
Accred. w/ Distinction	The district is Accredited with Distinction.	A district may not be accredited with a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer						
Accredited	The district is Accredited.	than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education is required						
Accred. w/ Impr. Plan	The district is Accredited with an Improvement Plan.	to restructure or close the district. The five consecutive years commence on July 1 during the						
Accred. w/ Priority Impr. Plan	The district is Accredited with a Priority Improvement Plan.	summer immediately following the fall in which the district is notified that it is Accredited with						
Accred. w/ Turnaround Plan	The district is Accredited with a Turnaround Plan.	a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.						

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2010)


	Reading		Math		Writing			Science				
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	175	165	167	176	165	167	175	165	167	133	135	138
15th percentile	59.3	58.9	57.1	58.0	34.5	18.3	38.5	42.4	32.9	29.5	28.6	30.3
50th percentile	71.5	70.5	71.5	70.5	50.0	32.2	54.7	56.4	48.6	48.0	45.6	48.9
90th percentile	84.4	83.6	84.8	84.6	68.8	52.1	69.7	72.3	67.6	69.7	69.1	70.4

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10)

	Reading		Math		Writing			Science				
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	181	182	183	181	182	182	181	182	183	172	175	179
15th percentile	60.4	56.6	57.6	56.8	36.4	17.8	41.4	41.8	33.8	32.9	30.0	31.4
50th percentile	72.2	69.2	71.3	70.4	49.1	30.5	55.8	56.8	49.7	47.5	46.8	49.2
90th percentile	85.2	81.5	83.8	83.4	65.3	48.0	71.0	70.9	67.7	66.5	65.9	67.3

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

Decision tree to determine which scoring guide to use for Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps



Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate

	N of Students	Mean Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average (Mean) Colorado ACT Composite Score

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

1-year vs. 3-year report

Districts receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated District Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more districts to be considered withinthe same performance framework. Some small districts may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on thebasis of three years of data increases the N count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official accreditation category for the district: the one under which the district has ratings on a higher number of theperformance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on onlytwo years of data if that is the only data available. This is indicated on page 1.